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Background to the study

- Advances in DNA technology = increase in the range of conditions where prenatal tests will be available

- Obtaining separate informed consent to each... require system of obtaining broad classes of consent

- Such a system needs to be build on parents’ attitudes to testing

- No adequate classification system available
Aims of the studies

- Compare attitudes of different social and ethnic groups to different conditions

- Describe and compare reasons for these attitudes between conditions and between social and ethnic groups

- Devise a classification system??
The studies: Design

**Study 1a:**
Quantitative questionnaire (target n=400)

**Study 1b:**
Qualitative semi-structured interviews with a sub-sample from study 1a (target n=60)

Data from study 1a & 1b was supplemented with data from a sub-sample of women who had used genetic services (n=19)
The studies: Methodology

**Sample:**
Pregnant Pakistani & white indigenous women attending community antenatal clinics, over 30 weeks gestation

**Outcome measures:**
- Postnatal attitude towards prenatal diagnosis
- Postnatal attitude towards termination of pregnancy
The questionnaire...

hypothetical scenario

When answering the questions, assume that the test would be carried out:

- using routinely collected blood
- early in pregnancy
- with no risk to you or the pregnancy
- to tell you whether the baby definitely does or does not have the condition
### The questionnaire

Please tick either 'No', 'Yes', or 'Not sure'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main features of the condition</th>
<th>Would you want a prenatal test?</th>
<th>Would you consider termination if the test showed the baby had this condition?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child would have problems with lungs and digestive systems, require a lot of medical care throughout life and have a shortened lifespan (death probably before 40 years of age).</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A male child would have a progressive muscle-wasting disease, be wheelchair-bound by 11 or 12 years and have a much-shortened lifespan (death probably before 20 years of age).</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child would have severe learning disabilities/mental handicap, unable to speak or understand, require a lot of looking after and have a nearly normal lifespan.</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child would have severe learning disabilities/mental handicap, requires a lot of looking after and die within first few months of life.</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Completed Questionnaires: Ethnic origin x Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETHNIC ORIGIN</th>
<th>UK White</th>
<th>Pakistani</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCSE or less</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Level or more</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>222</strong></td>
<td><strong>198</strong></td>
<td><strong>420</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# All women who said "Yes" to PND: conditions ordered by "Yes" to Prenatal Diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Percentage of women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PND30-Not preferred gender</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND31-High risk of Alcoholism</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND32-Older at 50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND33-Alzheimer's</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND34-Cleft lip + palate</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND35-Neurofibromatosis</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND36-Cancer (bone)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND37-Glaucoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND38-Heart attack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND39-Stroke</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND40-Lung cancer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND41-Stroke</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND42-Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND43-Alopecia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND44-Arythmia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND45-Hepatitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND46-Hypercholesterolem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND47-Dyslexia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND48-Phenylketonuria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND49-Cystic Fibrosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND50-Moderate LD/MIH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND51-Blindness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND52-Epilepsy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND53-Phenylketonuria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND54-Fragile X synd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND55-Thalassaemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND56-Disability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND57-Severe LD/MIH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND58-Cystic Fibrosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND59-Quadriplegia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND60-Born without brain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Groups of women**

- All women (n=420)
All women who said "Yes" to PND: data subdivided by women who said "Yes" to Prenatal Diagnosis from each group: conditions ordered by "Yes" to PND
All women who said "Yes" to Prenatal Diagnosis: data subdivided by women who said "Yes" to Termination of Pregnancy from each group: conditions ordered by "Yes" to PND

Groups of women
- All women "YES" to PND (n=420)
- Ind White-Low ed (n=113)
- Ind White-Hi ed (n=109)
- Pakistani-Low ed (n=125)
- Pakistani-Hi ed (n=73)

Conditions
- PND30-Not preferred gender %
- PND13-High risk of Alcoholism %
- PND17-grossly overweight %
- PND5-Alzheimer's %
- PND6-Turner's Synd. %
- PND8-Mild LD/MH %
- PND4-Cleft lip + palate %
- PND22-Autism %
- PND16-Neurofibromatosis %
- PND19-Deafness %
- PND26-Cancer (bowel) %
- PND15-Klinefelter's synd. %
- PND20-Schizophrenia %
- PND14-Moderate LD/MH %
- PND25-Blindness %
- PND21-Huntington's disease %
- PND18-Phenyketonuria %
- PND14-Moderate LD/MH %
- PND24-Fragile X synd %
- PND12-Cystic Fibrosis %
- PND26-Cancer (bowel) %
- PND27-Epilepsy %
- PND10-Thalassaemia %
- PND28-Blindness %
- PND29-absent/dysfunctional limb %
- PND23-Duchenne Musc.Dyst. %
- PND7-Quadriplegia %
- PND1-Severe LD/MH %
- PND10-Thalassaemia %
- PND23-Duchenne Musc.Dyst. %
- PND7-Quadriplegia %
- PND9-born without brain %
A classification system??

Do individuals hold similar attitudes to different conditions?

Multivariate analysis conducted by Prof Tony Coxon of Edinburgh University

Two techniques:
- Multidimensional scaling
- Hierarchical cluster analysis
TESTING: HIERARCHICAL CLUSTERING (CONNECTEDNESS METHOD)
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12.12399960    . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . XXXXXXXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXXX .
12.36900040    . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . XXXXXXXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXXX .
12.48999980    . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . XXXXXXXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXXX .
12.56999970    . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . XXXXXXXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXXX .
13.00000000    . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . XXXXXXXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXXX .
14.42199990    . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . XXXXXXXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXXX .
Reasons?

- Women often considered it self-evident that a termination would not be justified.
- In Barbara’s words, a condition such as blindness, “wouldn’t bother me”.
- Problems were acknowledged, but regarded as insignificant or manageable in the overall scheme of things – there was plastic surgery, prostheses, Braille and sign language, therapy, parental reserves of understanding and patience.
Reasons why “yes”?

Nadira: If anything happened to me, or I died, or their dad’s not around, who’s going to look after them? Because the way a mother looks after you, nobody else does. They’re not other people’s problems and you know what people can be like out there. You gonna regret it yourself that it’s suffering ‘cos of me. You might as well just put an end to it before you knew it was gonna go through all that and die slowly, and that kid’s gonna blame you as well.
Heather: One child can live to be one, the other can live to be 30 - you never know, and you're living with that every day, not knowing whether you're going to bury them next year, or whether they're going to carry on and grow up into adulthood. She went on: ..even though you work full time, you still sort out the physio, the medicine, the hospital visit.
Different conditions?

Rebecca thought she probably would have a termination for thalassaemia: They’re going to know that they’re gonna die, and they’re not going to have much of a life in and out of hospital.

For her, facial disfigurement was not a reason for termination: Their life is just the same really.
Lareb disagreed: His parents will not look at him with hatred but friends, brothers and sisters will say, “you stay away from us, your face is not good, don’t come near our friends”...they will say “don’t sit with my friends, you go out - go to your room”.
Summary

1. Attitudes to testing

- A high level of interest in testing
- 6% of UK Pakistani women and 4% of white indigenous women wanted no prenatal testing at all
2. Attitudes to termination

For the great majority of conditions, fewer than a quarter of participants would consider a termination of pregnancy.

25% of UK Pakistani women and 6% of white indigenous women would consider a termination for none of the conditions on the list.
3. Conditions?

A small group of conditions, anencephaly, trisomy 13 or 18, quadriplegia, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, and to a lesser extent, severe learning difficulties, stand out from the rest.
The great majority of women would like prenatal tests for these conditions, and even women who on the whole rejected testing tended to make exceptions for these conditions.
Interest in termination was lower, but again, if a woman who was essentially against termination was prepared to make exceptions to that rule, these were the conditions seen to justify an exception being made.
4. “Clustering” of conditions?

Women’s views of “less serious” were much more variable than their views of “more serious”.

In focus groups, cluster approach acceptable, but only for “more serious” conditions.

Otherwise, most participants wanted to make their own choices.
Risk, anxiety and information

- Literature - and policy makers? - preoccupied with risk (of condition, and of miscarriage), and attendant anxiety
- Women want information about conditions for which they are not at increased risk
- In future? Non-invasive diagnostic tests?
- And today? Miscarriage risk is the same for information on one condition or 30