

ASSESSMENT GUIDELINE

Module Title	Professional Internship 2		
Module Code	HEA00071M	Module Level*	7
Word Limit /Exam Duration	[1] N/A [2] 2,500 words [3] 697.5 hours (recommended)	Assessment Type(s)	[1] Electronic Ongoing Assessment Record [2] Poster Presentation [3] Completion of Practice Hours (recommended)
Marking Criteria Guidelines should be read in conjunction with the marking criteria guidance for the module level* noted above: http://www.york.ac.uk/healthsciences/student-intranet/exam-assess/markgrid/			
Confidentiality It is a breach of confidentiality to disclose any personal information about a patient, service user, colleague, staff or any other person or place that could in principle enable them to be identified. For further guidance please refer to the departmental policy on Confidentiality at the following link: www.york.ac.uk/healthsciences/student-intranet/exam-assess/conduct/confidentiality/			
Assessment Timing The deadline for correctly presenting a submission is 4.30pm on the published submission date. The submission deadline is published on the Programme Assessment Schedule available on the following link: http://www.york.ac.uk/healthsciences/student-intranet/timetables/assessment-schedules/			
Referencing You must reference your work in accordance with departmental referencing guidelines which you can access via the following link: http://www.york.ac.uk/integrity/harvard.html			
Assessment Guidance			
<u>Formative – mid-point interview and presentation</u>			
There are two formative assessments associated with this module:			
1. Midpoint interview with your Practice Assessor You must arrange an interview with your Practice Assessor to review your progress in practice. Any significant concerns about your practice raised at this point, or at any other point during your practice experience, may be written into an action plan. You will need to successfully achieve this action plan by the end of your practice experience in order to pass this module.			
2. Presentation of case study within group seminar developing ideas for poster The student will be required to present their ideas for their case study and risk management strategy They will demonstrate how they will address the learning outcomes with respect to the case study they have identified. The presentation will last for 10-15 minutes followed by discussion in the seminar group for supportive and developmental feedback.			
<u>Summative - Completion of Electronic Ongoing Assessment Record (EOAR) and poster presentation</u>			
1. Completion of Electronic Ongoing Assessment Record (EOAR) workbook – Professional Internship 2 To successfully complete this element of the assignment you must achieve all performance criteria for Outcome A. The remaining outcomes (and all performance criteria) must be achieved wherever possible. If you are unable to achieve an outcome through lack of opportunity then this must be clearly documented in your PebblePad workbook. It is essential that you arrange a final interview with your Practice Assessor prior to your last week of practice. Full details are available in PebblePad. Failure to provide practice documentation without an approved extension will normally result in a first attempt fail. You must complete the Professional Internship 2 workbook in your Electronic Ongoing Assessment Record by the deadline indicated on the Assessment Schedule. Your work will be extracted from PebblePad and the results processed and archived.			

If you do not successfully achieve the outcomes for Professional Internship 2 you would normally be offered one opportunity to redeem the failure through a four-week action plan.

You must normally complete a recommended number of hours during Professional Internship 2. The Recommended number of hours can be found on your allocation sheet, which is available on the VLE.

2. Conference-style poster presentation

- Using a case-study approach design a poster that highlights how risk management affects the standard of health-care or current clinical practice delivered to a chosen patient
- Posters should be designed in size AO
- You may access support for the professional design of your poster from the module team

Guidance on the presentation

The presentation will be for 20 minutes (+/- 10%). After 22 minutes the examiner will stop the presentation.

In the presentation the case study and main elements of the poster should be described followed by a discussion and analysis of the reasoning involved in exploring the effectiveness of a named risk management strategy and the empirical evidence underpinning it.

Brief notes on small cards may be used as prompts during the presentation. Supplementary hand-outs for the audience are not to be used.

Please note that the presentation assessment has been amended for 2021 to be synchronous online with examiners.

In advance of the presentation dates, you are required to submit your presentation paperwork. If you do not submit your presentation paperwork by the published date your overall module mark will be subject to standard University penalties for late submission of assessments.

Due to the nature of the assessment for this module the University's anonymous marking policy does not apply to the **Portfolio** or the **Presentation** assessments as students can be identified through the content or delivery of the assessment. Therefore assessments submitted should be identified with the **student's name only** and not the student's examination candidate number.

3. Completion of Practice Hours

You should complete the recommended number of practice hours for this practice experience.

Date last reviewed: July 2020

Reviewer: Anita Savage Grainge

Date last updated: July 2020

Date last reviewed by External Examiner:

NAME

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SCIENCES

UNDERGRADUATE MARKER FEEDBACK SHEET

Module Title	Professional Internship 2	Module Code	HEA00071M
Title of Assessment	Oral presentation –20 minutes	Date of Assessment	
Assessment Pass Mark	50% (Theoretical Marking Criteria Level 7)	Cohort (if applicable)	
Markers		Attempt (delete as appropriate)	1 st Attempt

<p>Note for Students:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The pass mark for this assessment is indicated above The mark in e:Vision is your final mark and takes account any penalty mark deductions; late submission penalties are applied in accordance with the University policy <p>In the event of a referral or fail please refer to the accompanying letter</p>	INDICATIVE MARK % Mark before capping or late penalties	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">MARK AWARDED</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Completed by Student Assessment Office</td> </tr> </table>	MARK AWARDED	%	Completed by Student Assessment Office
MARK AWARDED					
%					
Completed by Student Assessment Office					

Criteria	Outstanding (16 - 20 Marks)	Very good (14 - 15 Marks)	Good (12 - 13 Marks)	Adequate (10 - 11 Marks)	Inadequate (0 - 9 marks)
Structure & Organization (20%)					
Poster and delivery are appropriate for a professional audience.	Interpretations of case study and identified risk management strategies are explained clearly. Well-designed poster, with creative, original use of graphics to establish how risk management affects the standard of health care or current clinical practice delivered to a chose patient. Fluent delivery with a well-paced style. Delivers presentation within allocated time frame.	Interpretations of case study and identified risk management strategies are very good. Very good use of graphics within poster to establish how risk management affects the standard of health care or current clinical practice delivered to a chose patient. Clear delivery with coherent discussion and conclusion. Delivers presentation within allocated time frame.	Interpretations of case study and identified risk management strategies are sound. Good mix of graphics and text within poster to establish how risk management affects the standard of health care or current clinical practice delivered to a chose patient. Sound presentation with coherent discussion and conclusion. Delivers presentation within allocated time frame.	Interpretations of case study and identified risk management are limited. Satisfactory mix of graphics and text within poster. Coherent presentation for the most part but some elements may be unclear. Time requirement may not be adhered to.	Interpretation of case study and identified risk management is inadequate. Some graphics used within poster but mainly dependent on text. Time requirement may not be adhered to. Connections between ideas presented are unclear.

Comments:

Criteria	Outstanding (16 - 20 Marks)	Very good (14 - 15 Marks)	Good (12 - 13 Marks)	Adequate (10 - 11 Marks)	Inadequate (0 - 9 marks)
Knowledge (20%)					
Through poster and presentation displays a comprehensive understanding of how risk management affects the standards of health care or current clinical practice delivered to a chosen patient, alongside a substantive knowledge of the measures used to manage, reduce and balance risk.	Explorations of how risk management affects the standards of health care or current clinical practice are comprehensive, coherent and polished and stand up to scrutiny.	Explorations are coherent and helpful but the connections between concepts are explored less fluently.	Explorations are sound and some connections between concepts are explored.	Explorations are adequate but some concepts and connections may be missed. Poster and presentation is predominantly descriptive.	Explorations of how risk management affects the standards of current clinical practice are incomplete or unclear with little or no indication of how the concepts interact.
Comments:					

Criteria	Outstanding (16 - 20 Marks)	Very good (14 - 15 Marks)	Good (12 - 13 Marks)	Adequate (10 - 11 Marks)	Inadequate (0 - 9 marks)
Application of knowledge & understanding (20%)					
Clearly articulates how risk management affects the standards of healthcare or current clinical practice delivered to a chosen patient.	Interpretations of risk management and its affect upon the standards of health care or current clinical practice are clearly articulated. Unique insights into personal practice are presented.	Interpretations of risk management and its affect upon the standards of health care or current clinical practice are apparent. Implications for personal practice are presented.	Interpretations of risk management and its affect upon the standards of health care or current clinical practice are mostly coherent. Implications for personal practice are presented.	Interpretations of risk management and its affect upon the standards of health care or current clinical practice are limited. Few implications for personal practice are considered.	Interpretations of risk management and its affect upon the standards of health care or current clinical practice are incoherent. Implications for personal practice are not considered.
Comments:					

Criteria	Outstanding (16 - 20 Marks)	Very good (14 - 15 Marks)	Good (12 - 13 Marks)	Adequate (10 - 11 Marks)	Inadequate (0 - 9 marks)
Analysis (20%)					
Critically evaluates the use of risk management in current clinical practice.	Offers a critical interpretation of risk management in the context of contemporary nursing practice that informs recommendations for future personal practice.	Offers a critical evaluation of risk management in the context of contemporary nursing care and personal practice. Recommendations for future personal practice are coherent.	Offers a thoughtful analysis of risk management in the context of contemporary nursing practice. Recommendations for future personal practice are apparent but may be incomplete.	Articulates an understanding of risk management in the context of contemporary nursing practice. Analysis of experience is adequate but recommendations for future practice may be limited.	Does not evaluate risk management. Presentation is predominantly descriptive. Does not make recommendations for future practice.
Comments:					

Criteria	Outstanding (16 - 20 Marks)	Very good (14 - 15 Marks)	Good (12 - 13 Marks)	Adequate (10 - 11 Marks)	Inadequate (0 - 9 marks)
Source material (20%)					
Demonstrates discernment in selecting sources and the use of that material to support complex discussions. Integration of knowledge gained through reading, research and reflection to support arguments, formulate judgements and conclusions. Demonstrates the ability to critically evaluate current evidence.	A comprehensive range of evidence is integrated with other appropriate academic and professional resources and presented in a fluent, coherent manner.	A very good range of evidence is integrated with other appropriate academic and professional resources and presented in a coherent manner.	A good range of evidence is integrated with other appropriate academic and professional resources though the range of resources may not fully reflect the current evidence base.	Evidence sought supports work but may be presented with minor errors. Use of source material is adequate but work demonstrates an over-reliance on this and is predominantly descriptive.	Use of source materials is inaccurate, incomplete or inappropriate for the exploration of risk management or contemporary nursing practice.
Comments					

Strength of the presentation
Feed forward

Name	Role	Signature	Date
	1st Marker		
	2nd Marker		