SETAC

Work of a SETAC Group to Develop the Scientific **Basis for Guidance for Regulatory Ground Water** Monitoring: Vulnerability Assessment

Benedict Miles, BASF; Jutta Agert, Bayer; Nicole Baran, BRGM; Ludovic Loiseau, Syngenta; Anton Poot, CTGB

Vulnerability concepts:

Intrinsic or environmental vulnerability: natural conditions that determine vulnerability of an aquifer to leaching of a solute Specific vulnerability: the non-environmental factors

SETAC Environmental Monitoring The Advisory Group on Groundwater (EMAG GW) is currently developing a scientific basis for guidance on how to perform ground water monitoring studies to be used in a regulatory context in the EU.

Integral to both the design and the evaluation of such studies is the question of groundwater vulnerability to leaching, whether at the scale of individual groundwater monitoring sites, or at wider regional or national spatial scales

The EMAG GW document addresses

- concepts of groundwater leaching vulnerability
- spatial analysis and modelling approaches for mapping vulnerability
 - for identifying potential monitoring locations and
 - for setting monitoring data into context (what do the data represent?)
- monitoring site characterisation and interpretation of monitoring data



(a): intensity of use/agricultural practices; crop area and rotation, product application rates and timing cultivation and irrigation practices (b): site/soil vulnerability (or vulnerability to leaching) is mainly driven by soil texture, organic carbon content, depth of soil horizon, microbial activity, hydraulic conductivity, presence of cracks/macropores (c): aquifer vulnerability is mainly driven by factors determining influence of recharge on overall water quality; flow rate, storage/specific yield, recharge/discharge rate (residence time for solutes), (d): intrinsic vulnerability of a location results from (b) and (c) (e): overall vulnerability results from the combination of (a), (b) and (c)

The overall vulnerability is the combination of both aspects, which need to be considered when looking at vulnerability in the context of groundwater monitoring for pesticides

Vulnerability mapping:

- Environmental conditions determining leaching are spatially variable.
- Therefore geospatial analyses can be used to identify areas where environmental conditions provide more or less protection against leaching to groundwater.



- Such an analysis can be used to create a vulnerability map showing areas where an active substance or metabolite is more or less likely to leach to ground water compared to other areas.
- Analyses can inform study design and/or interpretation, e.g.
 - where are the most appropriate areas to locate monitoring sites?
 - how does the leaching vulnerability at monitoring sites where data are available compare to other areas?
- Possible analysis approaches include index methods, spatially distributed process-based models and metamodels



Monitoring site characterisation:

To understand relevance and representativity of monitoring data, information about the monitoring sites themselves – *characterisation* is needed. Generally, the better the characterisation of the site, the higher the level of confidence in the monitoring data.

Characterisation has two main aspects

- Assessment of intrinsic, specific and overall vulnerability (see above)
- Establishing a connectivity between the sampling point and treated fields; can the sampled water be linked hydrologically to infiltration from treated fields?

