Optimisation of the experimental conduct to accurately determined K_d / K_F values of low adsorbing compounds

Tanya Ertunc+, Klaus Platz+, Thomas Richter+, Andreas Irmer*, Martin Traub*

BASF SE, Agrarzentrum Limburgerhof, 67117 Limburgerhof, Germany

* Eurofins Agroscience Services GmbH, Eutinger Str. 24, 75223 Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany

Introduction

The determination of accurate adsorption parameters is a key parameter for the assessment of the leaching behaviour of mobile, low adsorbing compounds through soils down to groundwater.

🗖 = BASF

We create chemistry

🔅 eurofins

Soil pellet with

V_{res}

V_{rec}

 $\frac{m_z^{adz}(eq)}{m_{ass}^{adz}(eq)} \bigg| \times \bigg(\frac{V_z}{m_{zoll}(dry)} \bigg)$

with

Care Ca

 $m_{as}^{abc} = c_{bould} * V_0$

 $V_{net} = V_{t} - V_{net}$

otal vons.... volume of supernatan

 $m_c^{ab} = m_{avarrand} - V_{rec} * c_{based}$

mass of test substance adsorbed to soil [µg] mass of test substance in aqueous phase [µg] mass of test item extracted from soil and test ve

concentration of test substance in aqueous phase [µg/cm³] total volume of the aqueous phase in contact with the soil during the adsorption test [cm³]

red from the tube [sg/cm3]

Applying the classical batch equilibrium method, the amount of residual liquid phase in the soil pellet after phase separation by centrifugation can still be so high that no meaningful K-values can be determined, even if the direct method (analysing both phases), is applied.

An optimised experimental approach is presented on how the amount of liquid phase in the soil after phase separation can be reduced to a solid/solution of greater than 3 (kg soil per L water) before soil extraction.

The impact of random and systematic errors has been taken into consideration when developing the experimental approach.

Experimental Set-up

- Commercially available equipment used for set-up
- Optimised separation of soil and liquid by centrifugation through a filter/frit system (advanced centrifugation technique)



Consideration of Random & Systematic Errors

Random Error

Is minimized by higher number of replicates and assessed in a statistical evaluation

Systematic Error

- > The experimental parameters that possibly lead to errors are analysed and found that they affect the resulting sorption value in a conservative way:
 - Precise determination or overestimation of V_0 , c_{liquid}
 - Precise determination or underestimation of $V_{\text{rec}}, m_{\text{extracted}}$
 - Underestimation of V_{rec} results in overestimation of V_{res}

Examples:

- Particles / DOM (Dissolved Organic Matter) pass the frit system \rightarrow Overestimation of c_{iiquid}
- Loss at the determination of $V_{rec} \! \rightarrow \! Underestimation$ of V_{rec}
- Underestimation of $V_{rec} \rightarrow Overestimation of V_{res}$

Example Adsorption of a fungicide metabolite in two different soils at very low rates (K_d of 0.007 L/kg - 0.12 L/kg)



- > A "p value (soil/solution ratio * K_d) \ge 0.3" (Boesten (1990)) can be achieved with K_d -values <0.1 L/kg using the optimised experimental design
- > Determination of reliable K-values when p < 0.3 is feasible under consideration of following measurements
- Sufficient number of experiments to handle random error (>2 replicates)
- Appropriate experimental settings to ensure that potential systematic errors lead to conservative estimate of the K-value
- Reliable regression needs to be achieved in the isotherms experiment (r²)
- Statistical assessment to investigate if $K_{\rm d}$ values are different from zero at each concentration level
- Calculation of 95% percentile to assess reliability of the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{F}}\text{-values}$ derived

References: - OECD 106; Adsorption-desorption Using a Batch Equilibrium Method; Adopted 21.01.2000; - J.J.T.I. Boesten (1990) Influence of solid/liquid ratio on the experimental error of sorption coefficients in pesticide/soil systems. Pestic. Sci. 30, 31-41; - J.J.T.I. Boesten (2015). Effects of random and systematic errors on Freundlich parameters for pesticide sorption. Soil Sci. Soc. AM. J. 79:1306-1318; - Unpublished Document: OECD 106: Evaluators checklist – a guidance note developed by MS experts.