



Degradation of Crop Protection Products in Brazilian soils Nastasia Baudin¹, Samantha Marshall², Irene Bramke², Mark Garrod², Carol Mckillican^{2bis}, Gary Bending¹

Introduction

- Crop protection products (CPPs) = Chemicals protecting agricultural systems from weeds, pests and disease
- Stringent regulations control the use of CPPs ensuring there is no unacceptable risk to the environment, human and animal health^[1] Need for in-depth understanding of the environmental fate of CPPs including microbial **degradation** in soil
- Most of the chemical fate studies in soil use temperate soils ullet
- Little is known about the behaviour in tropical soils and the physicoulletchemico-biological interactions leading to these differences are unclear
- Brazilian soils are highly weathered soils that vary widely in key

Mobility Study

Compound on Compound in **Soil Solution Soil Surface**

Fig. 5: K_d is the soil-water partition coefficient, it is measured by quantifying the distribution of chemical between the concentration sorbed to soil and the concentration in the aqueous phase

		Eig 6: Kd values give
K _{oc}	Mobility class	an indication of the
0-50	Very high	an indication of the
50-150	High	propensity of a
150-500	Medium	compound to move i
500-2000	Low	soll, Ku values are old
2000-5000	Slight	normalised by the
>5000	Immobile	the soil (Kee)
		Ine soli (roc)

mpound in			Ads		Des			
il Solution		Soils	K _d	Ads K _{oc}	K _d	Des K _{oc}		
ition coeffi	cient it is	GM	373	3299	750	6634		
ribution of chemical	LVD	96	3256	255	8636			
d to soil and the ase		RQ	9	1036	19	2234		
g. 6: Kd values give n indication of the ropensity of a	lues give	PV	405	13962	769	26529		
	f a move in	18 Acres	65	2238	105	3622		
oil, Kd value	es are often	Fig. 7: The table shows adsorption						
ormalised by	y the	partition coefficient and desorption partition coefficient for						
ganic matte	er content of	GM. LV	D. RO	. PV and	18 Ac	res soils		

properties, such as pH, clay and organic matter content

Aim of this work = Study degradation and mobility of ulletThiabendazole in 4 different Brazilians soils and 1 temperate soil

Brazilian Soils Fig. 1: a

Tropical Rain Forest Tropical Rain Forest Cerrado (Savanna) Caatinga (Thorny Scrub) Pantanal (Periodically Wet Land) **Tropical Semideciduous Forest** Pampas (Grassland)

Maps showing the diversity of (a) biomes and (b) soils in Brazil Soils map (b) is based on World Reference Base qualifiers^[2] Colours represent the type of soil based on physico-chemical properties and geological history



Desorption Study

- Systems contain 1g soil, 2 ml water, 3mL ethylhexanol
- ^{14}C Thiabendazole was applied to soils at 20 μ g ai/g and aliquots taken on 0.04, 0.13, 1, 3, 7 and 14 DAT from the ethylhexanol layer. Aliquots were analysed by LSC Fig.9: 3 phase



Fig. 8: % of thiabendazole released in the organic layer

Conclusions

The decline of Thiabendazole over time was due to Thiabendazole binding to soil rather than degradation ie Dissipation. Therefore, Thiabendazole was less available in the pore water over time

experiment

The compound desorbs from the soil and moves into the water layer. Then it is taken up by the solvent sink layer. The sink continuously pulls compound out of the water layer allowing more to desorb from the soil





	PV	GM	LVD	RQ	18 Acres
% Clay	38	50	52	4	19
% OM	5.0	19.5	5.1	1.5	5.0
pН	5.2	4.1	4.0	4.0	5.2
CEC	28.7	18.6	9.8	3.5	15

Fig.2: Appearance and properties (sand, clay and organic matter content) of Gleissolo (GM), Latossolo (LVD), Neossolo (RQ), Argissolo (PV) and temperate (18 Acres) soils

Degradation Study

• ¹⁴C Thiabendazole (structure shown) was applied to soils shown above at 500 g ai/ha

- Systems containing 700g soil were incubated under aerobic conditions in the dark at 20°C and in a flow-through system
- Recovery was determined over a 120 day time course \bullet
- Extracts, unextracted residues, NaOH traps were quantified





- HPLC analysis of soil extracts up to 120 DAT revealed that 100% of the extracted radioactivity constituted unaltered parent compound (Fig 3,4)
- AND
 - No radioactivity was found in the NaOH traps (no Thiabendazole) mineralization)

Therefore there had been no breakdown of Thiabendazole by 120 DAT

- Clear differences in the behaviour of Thiabendazole in soil were observed between the different soils
- Adsorption K_d are in increasing order 9 (RQ), 65 (18 acres), 96 (LVD), 373 (GM) and 405 (PV) and % of recovery at 120 DAT 62.1% (RQ), 33.8% (LVD), 33.4% (18 Acres), 18.1% (GM) and 14,6% (GM)
- These differences in mobility and dissipation may reflect differences in physico-chemical properties such as clay content, organic matter content, pH and cation exchange capacity

Future Work

- Calculation of Thiabendazole DissT50 and comparison with K_d
- Further studies of the degradation and adsorption characteristics

Fig. 3: Graph showing recovery of Thiabendazole in soil extracts in 4 Brazilian soils; Gleissolo (GM), Latossolo (LVD), Neossolo (RQ), Argissolo (PV) and one temperate soil (18 Acres)

Fig. 4: Distribution of total recovered radioactivity over time

Shown as % AR in soil extract, CO₂ and the unextracted residue for Brazilian Neossolo soil (RQ) across a range of model compounds

- Interrogation the combined soil physico-chemical properties and compound fate data for any correlations
- Microbial community structure analysis: comparison of microbial lacksquarecommunities in different Brazilian soils, differences between Brazilian soils and temperate soils, and correlations with the soil physico-chemical properties

School of Life Sciences, The University of Warwick, UK ² Product Metabolism & Analytical Science platform, Syngenta Product Safety, Jealott's Hill International Research Centre, UK ^{2bis} Product Metabolism & Analytical Science platform, Syngenta Crop Protection, Greensboro, North Carolina, USA

^[1] Reis Machado T. 1999. Brazilian and South American Pesticide S ENCE Registration: The Industrial Perspective. International Pesticide Product Registration Requirements, 19, pp 150-168; Ŷ ^[2] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Stated. 2004. Ш Fertiliser use by Crop in Brazil. www.fao.org REFI