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1. Consider the following LimDep regression output that presents the coefficient estimates of a reduced-form relationship between catch per unit of effort (CPUE) and effort (TEFFORT) for the tiger prawn fishery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordinary least squares regression</th>
<th>Weighting variable = none</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dep. var. = CPUE</td>
<td>Mean= .1723784198, S.D= .348897312E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model size: Observations = 32, Parameters = 2, Deg.Fr. = 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals: Sum of squares = .27651925756E-01, Std.Dev. = .03037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit: R-squared = .205932, Adjusted R-squared = .24250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model test: F[ 1, 30] = 10.92, Prob value = .00247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic: Log-L = 67.4489, Restricted(b=0) Log-L = 62.4296</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LogLikelihoodPrCr = -6.928, Akaike Info. Cr = -4.091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocorrel: Durbin-Watson Statistic = 1.73784, Rho = .13103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Variable | Coefficient | Standard Error | t-ratio | |t|>|t| | |Mean of X | |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|--------|----------------|---|--|---|---|
| Constant  | .2089335994 | .12147166E-01 | 17.158 | .0000 | 183666.313 |
| TEFFORT   | -.1960938687E-05 | .593300082E-06 | -3.305 | .0026 | 183666.313 |

The descriptive statistics for the variables employed are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>All results based on nonmissing observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPUE</td>
<td>.172378420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEFFORT</td>
<td>183666.3125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Discuss the economic and statistical significance of the coefficient for TEFFORT.

(b) Discuss the statistical significance of the regression.

(c) Compute and interpret the elasticity of catch per unit of effort with respect to changes in effort.

(d) Compute and interpret the maximum sustainable yield of the tiger prawn fishery.
(e) Given a logistic growth model
\[ \frac{ds}{dt} = rs(K - s), \]
and a harvesting function
\[ h(e, s) = aes, \]
where
- $s > 0$ is the stock of fish at time $t$,
- $e > 0$ is an index of effort at time $t$,
- $0 < r < 1$ is the instantaneous growth rate of the fish biomass,
- $K$ represents the carrying capacity, and
- $a > 0$ is the technological efficiency of the harvest function,
derive a steady-state reduced-form relationship between catch per unit of effort, $\frac{c}{e}$, and effort, $e$, that can be estimated by OLS.

(15 marks)
2. An incinerator was built in Massachusetts in 1981. Data on nearby house prices (expressed in 1978 dollars) and other house characteristics are available for two years, one before the construction, 1978, and one after, 1981. Consider the following model estimated using the 1981 year data in which \( r_{\text{price}} \), the house price, is determined by the distance from the incinerator dummy \( \text{nearinc} \) defined as

\[
\text{nearinc} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if distance } \leq 15840 \text{ feet;} \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

\( P \)-values are reported in parentheses below the coefficient estimates and after the test statistics.

\[
\hat{r}_{\text{price}} = 101367.5145 - 30688.27376 \text{ nearinc}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{ } & \text{(0.000)} & \text{(0.000)} \\
\text{ } & \text{ } & \text{ } \\
\text{n} = 142, & F = 27.73 & (0.000), \\
\text{ } & R^2 = 0.165, & RSS = 0.1366141153 \cdot 10^{12}. \\
\end{array}
\]

(a) Discuss the economic significance of the estimated coefficients. (7 marks)

(b) Discuss the statistical significance of the regression. (7 marks)

(c) The following model was estimated using the 1978 year,

\[
\hat{r}_{\text{price}} = 82517.22764 - 18824.37050 \text{ nearinc}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{ } & \text{(0.000)} & \text{(0.000)} \\
\text{n} = 179, & F = 15.74 & (0.001), \\
\text{ } & R^2 = 0.082, & RSS = 0.1533244717 \cdot 10^{12}. \\
\end{array}
\]

Based on the results from the 1978 and 1981 years models, compute and interpret the impact of the incinerator on values of houses near the incinerator site. (7 marks)

(d) Based on the results from the 1978 and 1981 years models and the following pooled model,

\[
\hat{r}_{\text{price}} = 91035.49102 - 24456.64071 \text{ nearinc}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{ } & \text{(0.000)} & \text{(0.000)} \\
\text{n} = 321, & F = 41.32 & (0.000), \\
\text{ } & R^2 = 0.115, & RSS = 0.3107454541 \cdot 10^{12}. \\
\end{array}
\]

test whether the regression model differs across the two years. (7 marks)

(e) Based on the results from the following estimated pooled model,

\[
\hat{r}_{\text{price}} = 10480.454 + 13502.286 y81 + 7493.655 \text{ nearinc} - 15269.718 y81\text{nearinc} - 853.583 \text{ age} + 4.065 \text{ agesq} + 22.094 \text{ area} + 4576.815 \text{ rooms}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
\text{ } & \text{(0.326)} & \text{(0.000)} & \text{(0.058)} & \text{(0.003)} & \text{(0.000)} & \text{(0.000)} & \text{(0.000)} & \text{(0.006)} \\
\text{n} = 321, & F = 74.35 & (0.000), \\
\text{ } & R^2 = 0.624, & RSS = 0.1318184386 \cdot 10^{12}, \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( \text{age} \) is the age of the house, \( \text{agesq} \) its square, \( \text{rooms} \) is the number of rooms in the house, \( \text{area} \) is the square footage of the house, \( y81 \) is a dummy equal to 1 if the year is 1981, and \( y81\text{nearinc} \) is an interaction term equal to \( y81 \times \text{nearinc} \). Test whether the impact of the construction of the incinerator is significantly different from zero. (7 marks)

(continued ...
(f) The model in (e) was re-estimated after dropping the \textit{nearinc} variable resulting in the following estimated model,

\[
\hat{\text{rprice}} = 14194.193 + 11524.351 \text{ys}1 - 9126.162 \text{ys}1\text{nearinc} - \\
755.343 \text{age} + 3.522 \text{agesq} + 22.625 \text{area} + 4044.906 \text{rooms},
\]

\[
(0.179) \quad (0.000) \quad (0.024) \quad (0.000) \quad (0.000) \quad (0.015)
\]

\[n = 321, \quad F = 85.42 \quad (0.000), \quad R^2 = 0.620, \quad RSS = 0.1333478788 \cdot 10^{12}.\]

Compare the estimate on the interaction term \textit{ys}1\text{nearinc} with the one in (e). Explain why they are different.

(8 marks)

(g) The squared predicted value was added to the regression model in (d) and, after OLS estimation, the \textit{P}-value for this variable was found to be 0.0588. How does this information affect the your interpretation of the regression results? How would you modify your model?

(7 marks)
3. Consider the panel regression results given in Table 1 of a reduced-form model. Individual- and time-specific effects are included in the regressions. The dependent variable is the log of sulfur emission measured in tonnes of sulfur per capita. The independent variables are the log of per capita GDP, its square, and the log of trade. GDP per capita is measured in thousands real 1990 international dollars (The mean of LGDP is equal to 12.4, the minimum to 3.73, and the maximum to 20.9). TRADE is a measure of openness computed as the ratio of imports plus exports to GDP. There are 95 countries in the panel and the time span is 1980–1990. Figures in parentheses are P-values for regression coefficients and the Hausman tests.

Table 1: Panel Regression Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>World</th>
<th>OECD</th>
<th>Non-OECD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>FE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-5.526</td>
<td>-5.129</td>
<td>-72.452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0044)</td>
<td>(0.0043)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDP</td>
<td>3.158</td>
<td>2.972</td>
<td>34.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.0025)</td>
<td>(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDP2</td>
<td>-0.349</td>
<td>-0.310</td>
<td>-3.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0090)</td>
<td>(0.0104)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTRADE</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>-0.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0102)</td>
<td>(0.0550)</td>
<td>(0.1573)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hausman</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>12.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.003304)</td>
<td>(0.152333)</td>
<td>(0.008165)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Discuss the economic and statistical significance of the coefficients for LTRADE in the OECD equation estimated by random effects (RE).

(b) Compute and interpret the elasticity of per capita sulfur emissions with respect to changes in per capita income using the fixed effects (FE) estimates for the OECD sample.

(c) Explain what kind of unobserved effects are accounted for by the inclusion of individual- and time-specific effects in the EKC panel models.

(d) Based on the Hausman statistic decide for each sample (world, OECD, and non-OECD) which model, with fixed or random effects, is more appropriate.

(e) Compute the relevant turning points of the estimated curves for the world, OECD and non-OECD samples.

(f) What does reduced-form model mean (contrast it to a possible structural-form model of which the EKC equation is just one of the equations of a system)?

(15 marks)
4. Table 2 shows a three sector input-output table for the UK. The values are expressed in millions of pounds at 1993 basic prices. The table shows the value of domestically produced inputs going into the production of each commodity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Final demand</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary</strong></td>
<td>3969</td>
<td>15702</td>
<td>6211</td>
<td>12259</td>
<td>38141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manufacturing</strong></td>
<td>5742</td>
<td>75219</td>
<td>62070</td>
<td>148041</td>
<td>291071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Services</strong></td>
<td>6294</td>
<td>55369</td>
<td>253490</td>
<td>502441</td>
<td>817593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imports</strong></td>
<td>2935</td>
<td>41100</td>
<td>31873</td>
<td>92366</td>
<td>168275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sales by FD</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>1682</td>
<td>-2139</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net taxes</strong></td>
<td>-2483</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>29024</td>
<td>55155</td>
<td>83137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value added</strong></td>
<td>21680</td>
<td>101787</td>
<td>433245</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>556500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>38141</td>
<td>201071</td>
<td>817593</td>
<td>808122</td>
<td>1954717</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Compute the direct requirements matrix and use Newman's series to approximate Leontief's inverse using \( k = 3 \) (third order approximation).

(b) Interpret the coefficient of the manufacturing column of the Leontief inverse.

(7 marks)

Using the following table on direct \( CO_2 \) emission intensities measured in tonnes of \( CO_2 \) emitted per million of pounds of spending on domestic 1993 production,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( CO_2 )</td>
<td>1634</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>5687</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Compute the direct and indirect emission intensity vector of \( CO_2 \) and interpret its values.

(7 marks)

(d) Compute the base run commodity supply (gross output) and the base run emissions of \( CO_2 \).

(7 marks)

(e) Compute a new final demand assuming a 10 per cent increase in spending in the services sector sector off-set by a decrease of the manufacturing sector such that the aggregate final demand is unchanged.

(7 marks)

(f) Compute the counterfactual supply and the counterfactual emissions of \( CO_2 \) implied by the new final demand, and, using the base run emissions of \( CO_2 \) as a benchmark equilibrium, compare the new counterfactual equilibrium with the benchmark one.

(7 marks)

(g) Discuss the assumptions about the production technology underlying input-output analysis.

(8 marks)

/end of paper