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Summary

Two sediment samples recovered from medieval pit fills during an excavation at the site of the former Pentecostal Church, St Sepulchre Street, Scarborough, North Yorkshire, were submitted for an evaluation of their bioarchaeological potential.

Well-preserved plant and invertebrate material was present in the sample from Context 5015, with rather less well preserved remains (but essentially rather similar) in that from 5016. There was evidence for a mixture of different kinds of litter from heathland/moorland and probably also seaweed. A variety of taxa, including remains from food plants, likely to have been useful to the occupants of the site was present, although numbers were often small.

The very well preserved shellfish remains from Context 5015 were mostly of limpet; often dismissed as 'famine food' or fish bait but likely to have had a far more important role as a staple foodstuff of coastal communities in the past.

Most of the vertebrate remains recovered were of fish (only a few large-sized mammal bones, some burnt, were present) and all were from the sample from Context 5015. The fish remains were extremely well preserved. Few well dated and systematically recovered fish assemblages have been published from sites in the North East of England outside of the major urban centres. Our understanding of the exploitation of past fish stocks, and the trade/supply relationships between coastal fisheries and urban settlements, would certainly benefit from the recording of further assemblages.

Given the scarcity of data for Scarborough, and assuming that adequate archaeological context and dating information is available, the current material deserves further analysis. Any further excavation at this site should certainly be accompanied by systematic sampling for the recovery of floral and faunal assemblages.
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Introduction

An archaeological excavation was carried out by Scarborough Archaeological and Historical Society at the site of the former Pentecostal Church, St Sepulchre Street, Scarborough, North Yorkshire (NGR TA 04788 88862), in 2003.

The excavation took place on the west side of the church in advance of repairs to the external wall. A series of medieval rubbish pits cut down into the natural clay were located showing good organic preservation. Additionally, a substantial stone wall was revealed that was probably the foundations of a medieval or later house which had been built over the infilled pits.

Two bulk sediment samples (‘GBA’/‘BS’ sensu Dobney et al. 1992) were submitted to Palaeoecology Research Services Limited (PRS), County Durham, for an evaluation of their bioarchaeological potential.

Methods

The lithologies of the samples were recorded, using a standard pro forma, and subsamples were processed, broadly following the procedures of Kenward et al. (1980), for the recovery of biological remains.

Plant remains and the general nature of the flots, the washerover and the wet residue were recorded briefly by ‘scanning’, identifiable taxa and other components being listed directly to a PC using Paradox software. The residue, of mineral material and the heavier organic fraction, from the sample from Context 5015 was dried and its components recorded.

Insects in the flots were recorded using ‘assessment recording’ sensu Kenward (1992), creating a list of the taxa observed during rapid inspection of the flot, with a semi-quantitative estimate of abundance, and a subjective record of the main ecological (e.g. aquatics, grain pests) or indicator/activity (e.g. for stable manure, Kenward and Hall 1997) groups present. A record of the preservational condition of the remains was made using scales given by Kenward and Large (1998). This scheme provides scales for chemical erosion and fragmentation (0.5-5.5, the higher figure representing the greatest degree of damage), and colour change (0-4), in each case giving a range and a value for the position and strength of the mode (Kenward and Large 1998, tables 2, 3 and 5-7).

Results

The results are presented in context number order. Archaeological information, provided by the excavator, is given in square brackets. A brief summary of the processing method and an estimate of the remaining volume of unprocessed sediment follows (in round brackets) after the sample numbers. Sample numbers were derived from the context numbers for PRS internal recording keeping purposes.

Context 5015 [medieval pit fill]
Sample 501501/T (3 kg sieved to 300 microns with paraffin flotation and washerover; approximately 13 litres of unprocessed sediment remain)

Moist, mid to dark grey-brown, brittle to crumbly (working soft), slightly sandy silt, with some fine herbaceous detritus and small lumps (to 25 mm) of light grey-brown slightly silty clay. Stones (20 to 60 mm), twigs and bone were present.

The large flot and moderate-sized washerover of about 600 ml between them yielded an abundance of well
preserved fruits and seeds. Much of the matrix material seen in the washerover consisted of woody and herbaceous detritus with a ‘twiggy’ and ‘strawy’ character, but fragments in neither category was very large (usually less than 10 mm). There was a considerable quantity of peat fragments and a number of remains likely to have arrived with peat or from peatland vegetation, notably traces of heather (Calluna vulgaris (L.) Hull) flowers, twigs and shoots, leaves and seeds of bell heather (Erica cinerea L.), and a single fruit of bog myrtle (Myrica gall L.). These are all likely to have been growing on moorland inland of Scarborough. Other ‘litter’ in the assemblage included bracken (Pteridium aquilinum (L.) Kuhn), of which both fronds and stalk fragments were rather frequent, cereal straw (evidenced by seeds of cornfield weeds such as corncockle, Agrostemma githago L. and corn marigold, Chrysanthemum segetum L.) as well as grass/cereal straw debris, and probably also hay or other cut grassland vegetation (represented for example by Leontodon and some other taxa). Domestic waste may be reflected in the presence of traces of hazel (Corylus avellana L.) nutshell and fragments of linseed (Linum usitatissimum L.) and ‘plum’ (Prunus domestica ssp. domestica (L.) C. K. Schneider) and in traces of charred cereals—wheat (Triticum vulgare (L.) Hul) flowers, twigs and shoots, leaves and seeds of bell heather (Erica cinerea L.), and a single fruit of bog myrtle (Myrica gall L.). These are all likely to have been growing on moorland inland of Scarborough.

The rather small residue (dry weight 0.45 kg) was mostly of fine charred and uncharred plant material (largely charcoal and small wood fragments), sand and stones (to 70 mm). A range of organic remains was present including wood (10 g to 50 mm, including wood ‘chips’), fragments of twig/root, larger pieces of charcoal (3g, to 12 mm), further hazel nutshell (2 g), a charred grain (unidentified), several fly puparia, limpets (25 g, all common limpet – Patella vulgata L.), winkle (Littorina littorea (L.)) and bone.

Over 200 fragments of bone (90 g) were recovered from this sample, all of which were extremely well preserved. Most were fish bone and included the remains of gadid, some more closely identified as cod (Gadus morhua L.), ling (Molva molva (L.)), and haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus (L.)). Some of the gadid vertebrae had been chopped. Mostly these represented large individuals, probably greater than one metre in overall length. Additionally, thornback ray (Raja clavata (L.)), herring (Clupea harengus L.) and eel (Anguilla anguilla (L.)) were also present within the assemblage. Mammal remains were scarce; some were burnt and most were from large-sized mammals (assumed to be cow, horse or large deer).

Three small pot fragments (10 g, to 45 mm) were also recovered.

Context 5016 [medieval pit fill]
Sample 501601/T (3 kg sieved to 300 microns with paraffin flotation; approximately 15 litres of unprocessed sediment remain)

Moist, mid to dark grey-brown, brittle to crumbly (working soft), humic silt, with small patches of light yellow-grey-brown to light grey silty clay (to 30 mm) and occasional lumps of indurated pale grey clay (to 8 mm).

Something of the same range of plant material as in Context 5015 was observed in the moderate-sized flot and residue, the latter of about 500 ml of which about 50 ml comprised sand, grit and gravel, the rest being granular organic detritus including wood and peat. Preservation was rather less good with most material showing some erosion and/or oxidation. This was, if anything, a similar deposit to that represented by Context 5015 but with stronger decay prior to the remains being sealed in the ground.

The flot, of modest size, was mainly wood fragments. Insect remains were fairly abundant, though ecologically mixed. Mites were quite abundant. Preservation was fair (E 2.0-3.0, mode 2.5 weak; F 2.5-3.0, mode 2.5 weak). There was evidence of water from remains such as a resting body of the bryozoan Lophopus crystallinus (Pallas), a fragment of caddis fly
larval case, and the beetles *Chaetarthria semiulinum* (Herbst), *Helophorus* sp. and *Ochthebius* sp. Local decomposing matter was indicated by a range of species, though only *Micropeplus fulvus* Erichson, *Anotylus nitidulus* (Gravenhorst) and *Lathridius minutus* group were represented by more than single individuals. Plant-feeders were present in modest numbers, though with mixed origins, some perhaps from local weeds (e.g. *Ceutorhynchus ?contractus* (Marsham)), and others (e.g. *Strophosomus ?sus* Stephens) from moorland or heath. Analysis of remains from a larger or additional subsample would probably be informative.

**Discussion and statement of potential**

Well-preserved plant and invertebrate material was present in the sample from Context 5015, with rather less well preserved remains (but essentially rather similar) in that from 5016. There was evidence for a mixture of different kinds of litter from heathland/moorland (including peat) and probably also seaweed (presumably from the shore nearby). A variety of taxa, including remains from food plants, likely to have been useful to the occupants of the site—or at least those people whose rubbish these deposits represent—was present, although numbers were often small.

The very well preserved shellfish remains from Context 5015 were mostly of limpet. These have often been dismissed as ‘famine food’ or fish bait, but Wickham-Jones (2003) has quoted several sources indicating that in the past (certainly from the late 17th century to modern times) they have had a far more important role as a staple foodstuff of coastal communities.

The fish remains from this site are extremely well preserved, and although the assemblage is not large it does show the potential of certain deposits at this site for the recovery of bone, fish in particular. Few well dated and systematically recovered fish assemblages have been published from sites in the North East of England outside of the major urban centres. Neither the exploitation of past fish stocks, nor the trade/supply relationships between coastal fisheries and urban settlements, are well understood and we would certainly benefit from the recording of further assemblages.

**Recommendations**

Given the scarcity of data for Scarborough, and assuming that adequate archaeological context and dating information is available, this material deserves further analysis for insect remains, both to enhance site reconstruction and to provide data for future synthesis. A proper record of the plant and vertebrate remains from a larger subsample from 5015 would also be worthwhile to provide complementary information and to explore further the nature of resource utilisation.

Any further excavation at this site should certainly be accompanied by systematic sampling for the recovery of floral and faunal assemblages.

**Retention and disposal**

All of the current material should be retained for the present.

**Archive**

All material is currently stored by Palaeoecology Research Services (Unit 8, Dabble Duck Industrial Estate, Shildon, County Durham), along with paper and electronic records pertaining to the work described here.
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