Technical Report: Biological remains from a site at Low Farm, near Cottingham, East Riding of Yorkshire (site code: TSEP418)

Deborah Jaques, Allan Hall, Harry Kenward, Stephen Rowland and John Carrott

Summary

Twelve sediment samples and a small quantity of hand-collected bone from deposits of prehistoric to Romano-British date, revealed by excavations at Low Farm, north of Cottingham, were initially examined during an evaluation of their bioarchaeological potential.

One of the sediment samples, from the fill of a large pit, gave modest assemblages of both plant and invertebrate remains and a further subsample was subsequently examined. Radiocarbon dating (by AMS) of some plant remains recovered from this context was also undertaken, and the date obtained was for the early Bronze Age. The plant and invertebrate remains indicated aquatic deposition in the pit, though it did not necessarily always hold water. The immediate surroundings were swampy, but there was much evidence for a wider landscape dominated by agriculture, perhaps primarily pastoral.

The vertebrate remains were of no interpretative value.

Keywords: Low Farm; Cottingham; East Riding of Yorkshire; Bronze Age; Romano-British; Plant remains; insects; vertebrate remains

John Carrott
Deborah Jaques
Palaeoecology Research Services,
Unit 8, Dabble Duck Industrial Estate
Shildon, County Durham DL4 2RA
01388 772167

Allan Hall Harry Kenward Stephen Rowland Environmental Archaeology Unit, University of York 01904 433848/51 Prepared for

Humber Archaeology Partnership
The Old School
Northumberland Avenue
HULL HU2 0LN

30 April 2002

Technical Report: Biological remains from a site at Low Farm, near Cottingham, East Riding of Yorkshire (site code: TSEP418)

Introduction and methods

Twelve sediment samples ('GBA'/'BS' sensu Dobney et al. 1992), and a small quantity of hand-collected bone, were recovered from the deposits (from a total of seven trenches).

Sediment samples

The sediment samples were inspected in the laboratory. Four of the samples were selected for investigation and their lithologies were recorded, using a standard *pro forma*, prior to processing, following the procedures of Kenward *et al.* (1980; 1986), for recovery of plant and invertebrate macrofossils. The flots, washovers and residues were examined for plant remains. The flots and washovers were also examined for invertebrate remains, and the residues were examined for other biological and artefactual remains.

Plant remains (and other components of the residues) were recorded using a semi-quantitative scale from 1 (one to five individuals per kg of sample, or one or a few fragments of material which could not easily be counted) to 4 (many hundreds of individuals per kg, or a major component of the sample). Adult beetles and bugs were recorded at the 'detailed' level of Kenward (1992), and other invertebrates were recorded using a semi-quantitative scale of 1, 2, 3, 'several' (translated as 6), 'many' (15), with estimates for very large numbers. Quality of preservation was recorded using the scales of Kenward and Large (1998). In summary, preservation is recorded as chemical erosion (E) and fragmentation (F), in each case on a scale from 0.5 (superb) to 5.5 (extremely decayed or fragmented). Plant data were recorded directly into a database using Paradox software and insect data recorded on a pro forma and subsequently transferred to Paradox tables.

The principal sources for beetle ecology were *Sediment samples*

Friday (1988), Hansen (1987), Koch (1989-92), and for bugs, Southwood and Leston (1959) and the Royal Entomological Society handbooks.

Table 1 shows a list of the processed samples and notes on their treatment.

Vertebrate remains

Data for the vertebrate remains were recorded electronically directly into a series of tables using a purpose-built input system and *Paradox* software. For each context (or sample) subjective records were made of the state of preservation, colour of the fragments, and the appearance of broken surfaces ('angularity'). Additionally, where more than ten fragments were present, semi-quantitative information was recorded concerning fragment size, dog gnawing, burning, butchery and fresh breakage.

Where possible, fragments were identified to species or species group, using the reference collection at the EAU. Fragments not identifiable to species ('B' bones *sensu* Dobney *et al.* forthcoming) were grouped into categories: large mammal (assumed to be cattle, horse or large cervid); medium-sized mammal 1 (assumed to be caprovid, pig or small cervid); small mammal (rats, mice, voles etc); unidentified fish; unidentified bird; and completely unidentifiable.

Results

A complete list of remains recorded is given in Table 2 and individual lists for plant remains and other components of residues and washovers from the sediment samples, recorded during analysis of plant material, in Table 3. Tables 4 and 5 provide data concerning invertebrate remains, and details of the vertebrates are given in Table 7.

The results are presented in context number order. Archaeological information, provided by the excavator, is presented in square brackets.

Context 2014 [one of the higher fills of ?Romano-British pit 2015]

Sample 10/T (2 kg sieved to 300 microns with washover)

Dry, very dark brown, brittle to crumbly and slightly indurated (working unconsolidated then slightly sticky when wet), sandy amorphous organic sediment/humic sand. Very small fragments of flint (2 to 6 mm) were present in the sample.

The small to moderate-sized residue of about 100 cm³ comprised sand and a little flint gravel. The small washover consisted of a few cm³ of charcoal, not all of which was fully charred, and a little very decayed wood. In places the part-charred wood was soft and more or less translucent, permitting some small (<1 mm) fragments to be identified from the anatomical characters visible on a microscope slide as birch (*Betula*). The few other identifiable plant remains were a mixture of weeds and wetland plants but in numbers of taxa and of remains too small to be interpretatively useful. Only scraps of very decayed and fragmented insect cuticle were present.

Context 2021 [primary fill of large pit 2015 (?used for water collection); AMS dated to early Bronze Age]

Sample 7/T (2 kg sieved to 300 microns with paraffin flotation)

Moist, mid brown to dark purplish brown (mottled on mm- and cm-scale, colour varying with organic content), slightly crumbly (working plastic and slightly sticky), very slightly sandy clay silt with some voids. Stones (2 to 60 mm of chalk and flint) and fragments of very decayed wood were present in the sample.

The small residue of about 100 cm³ was mostly sand and gravel. The small washover was of plant *Daphnia* ephippia were quite abundant, and about a third of the beetles and bugs were aquatics (13 species, 35 individuals), so deposition in water

detritus, mainly abundant seeds of stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*) with moderate numbers of elder (*Sambucus nigra*) and grass (Gramineae). The same taxa were found in the small flot. Other plant remains included a modest range of taxa likely to be found in marsh or riverside habitats, probably in an area with some disturbance by man or livestock. (For a discussion of the invertebrate remains from this subsample, see below.)

A single fragment of medium-sized mammal bone was recovered from this sample.

Sample 7/T2 (5 kg)

This additional subsample was initially used to extract plant remains for AMS dating (see below). It was then subjected to paraffin flotation and the plant and invertebrate remains recorded.

There was a moderate-sized residue of about 250 cm³ of which barely 50 cm³ formed a washover of woody and herbaceous detritus, the rest being sand and gravel (to 50 mm). As in the assessment subsample, the most abundant identifiable remains were 'seeds' of stinging nettle, with moderate numbers of seeds of toad rush (*Juncus bufonius*), duckweed (*Lemna*) and elder, and a small range of other taxa including some annual weeds of disturbed (?cultivated) ground. Traces of a fungal fruiting body (perithecium) of *Rosellinia* cf. *mammiformis* must have originated on tree bark.

The flot was quite small and about half consisted of fragments of invertebrate cuticle, mostly beetles. These fossils were mostly highly fragmented and had lost their resilience, falling apart very easily when manipulated, even when using a fine paintbrush. Many were visibly strongly decayed, having lost colour, sometimes patchily, and a significant proportion were too rotted or fragmented to be readily identified (E 3.0-5.5, mode 4.0 weak; F 2.5-5.5, mode 4.0 weak; trend to pale, range 1-4, mode 4 distinct). The number of adult beetles and bugs recorded was 108 individuals of 72 taxa, though remains of substantially more were probably present but unrecordable.

seems certain. The most abundant beetle was *Ochthebius minimus* (11 individuals), and most of the more abundant species were aquatics too: a

Helophorus species (5), a hydroporine, Hydrobius fuscipes, and Tanysphyrus lemnae (all 3; the last associated with duckweeds, Lemna). These records suggest shallow water, not too polluted and not necessarily permanent. Much of the rest of the fauna may have lived in a rather damp area with herbaceous vegetation and a range of dryish to damp litter, species such as Olophrum ?piceum, the shieldbug Picromerus bidens, Dyschirius ?globosus, Anthobium sp., and Cyphon sp. being very likely to be found together in swampy conditions, and many others being typical of plant debris.

A few species are likely to represent conditions further afield. A few dung beetles were present: single individuals of three *Aphodius* species and of *Onthophagus joannae* (representing the true dung beetles), and a few taxa often found in dung but frequently in other habitats (e.g. *Oxyomus sylvestris* and *Sphaeridium* sp.). *Megsternum obscurum*, the second most abundant beetle in the assemblage with nine individuals, is commonly found in dung but occurs in a range of other habitats too. There may have been dung presumably in grazing land presumably

One species associated with dead wood was recorded: the flatbug *Aneurus* sp. There were no species strongly suggestive of human structures or artificial accumulations of decaying matter.

AMS dating: Sambucus *and* Rubus idaeus *and* R. fruticosus *seeds* 3300±50 BP (Cal BC 1690 to 1450 (Cal BP 3640 to 3400) Beta –161365)

Context 7013 [fill of ditch re-cut (7014), 2nd century AD]
Sample 1/T (3 kg sieved to 300 microns with

Sample 1/T (3 kg sieved to 300 microns with paraffin flotation)

Moist, dark brown, crumbly to unconsolidated, humic sand with some stones (6 to 20 mm, flint and quartz or quartzite) present.

The moderately large residue of about 350 cm³ comprised sand and flint gravel (to 40 mm), with

some small (<5 mm) fragments of iron-rich concreted material which may have been iron pan, and a trace of pottery (to 25 mm). The small washover and flot from this subsample gave modest numbers of stonewort (Characeae) oogonia—this calcareous green alga is most characteristic of newly-formed ponds and lakes—but otherwise there were only traces of elder and of hemp agrimony (*Eupatorium cannabinum*) of no interpretative value in isolation.

Context 7015 ['peaty' deposit found under Roman ditch features but not found in other sections excavated to the same depth elsewhere on the site: 'fill of ditch or waterlogged hollow']
Sample 3/T (2 kg sieved to 300 microns with

washover)

Moist, black, crumbly (working soft and just plastic when wet), silty amorphous organic sediment with some small stones (6 to 20 mm) present.

The moderate-sized residue of about 150 cm³ was mainly sand with a little flint gravel. The small washover consisted of a few cm³ of charcoal, with moderate numbers of Characeae oogonia and traces of elder and blackberry (*Rubus fruticosus* agg.) seeds, the oogonia probably indicating a deposit forming in standing water (or including sediment such as marl reworked from such a deposit). The few invertebrate remains were of no interpretative value and a large subsample would not appreciably improve matters.

Vertebrate remains

Six contexts from three of the seven trenches produced a total of 60 fragments, none of which were measurable or able to provide age-at-death information based on tooth wear.

Preservation of the bones was fairly poor, with much of the material being eroded and with very rounded edges. Bird bones from Context 7002 showed moderately better preservation, but the bones were quite fragile and the broken edges on some of the elements appeared to 'curl' rather like heat-damaged paper.

Just over half of the bones (33) were recovered from a single context (7002) and represented an incomplete bird skeleton. These remains were identified as a member of the Laridae (gull) family. Morphologically the bones resembled those of modern black-headed gull (*Larus ridibundus* L.) and kittiwake (*L. tridactylus* L.) reference specimens, although the latter was somewhat larger than the archaeological specimen. The remaining bones recovered from this site were mostly too poorly preserved to be identified to species, but a few cattle maxillary molars (Contexts 2003 and 2014) and a fragment of horse pelvis (Context 1009) were noted.

A summary of the hand-collected vertebrate remains is presented in Table 4; they did not warrant further analysis.

Discussion

Three of the four sediment samples examined yielded only rather few biological remains. The fourth, from the fill of a large pit (Context 2021) yielded quite large numbers of remains, though preservation was rather variable. They pointed to aquatic deposition (though not necessarily in permanent water) in a landscape which was dominated by human activity, presumably through agriculture, and probably including grazing, but with no evidence for arable cultivation.

Dobney, K., Hall, A. R., Kenward, H. K. and Milles, A. (1992). A working classification of sample types for environmental archaeology. *Circaea*, *the Journal of the Association for Environmental Archaeology* **9** (for 1991), 24-6.

Dobney, K., Jaques, D. and Johnstone, C. (forthcoming). [Protocol for recording vertebrate remains from archaeological sites].

Friday, L. E. (1988). A key to the adults of British water beetles. AIDGAP 189 (Reprinted from Field Studies 7, 1-151).

Hansen, M. (1987). The Hydrophilodea (Coleoptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark. *Fauna Entomologica Scandinavica* **18**. Leiden/Copenhagen: Brill/Scandinavian Science Press.

Kenward, H. K., Hall, A. R. and Jones, A. K. G. (1980). A tested set of techniques for the extraction of plant and animal macrofossils from waterlogged archaeological deposits, *Science and Archaeology* **22**, 3-15.

Kenward, H. K., Engleman, C., Robertson, A., & Large, F. (1986). Rapid scanning of urban archaeological deposits for insect remains, *Circaea* **3**, 163–172.

Kenward, H. and Large, F. (1998). Recording the preservational condition of archaeological insect fossils, *Environmental Archaeology* **2**, 49-60.

Kloet, G. S. and Hincks, W. D. (1964-77). A check list of British Insects. (2nd ed.) London: Royal Entomological Society.

Koch, K. (1989-92). *Die Käfer Mitteleuropas. Ökologie I-III*. Krefeld: Goecke & Evers.

Southwood, T. R. E. and Leston, D. (1959). *Land and water bugs of the British Isles*. London: Warne.

Tutin, T. G. *et al.* (1964-80). *Flora Europaea* **1-5**. Cambridge: University Press.

References

Table 1. List of processed sediment samples from excavations at Low Farm, near Cottingham (TSEP 418), with notes on their treatment.

Context	Sample	Notes
2014	10	2 kg sieved to 300 microns with washover
2021	7	2 kg sieved to 300 microns with paraffin flotation, with a further subsample of 5 kg sieved to 300 microns with paraffin flotation after extraction of plant remains for AMS dating
7013	1	3 kg sieved to 300 microns with paraffin flotation
7015	3	2 kg sieved to 300 microns with washover

Table 2. Complete list of taxa recorded from deposits at Low Farm, near Cottingham (TSEP 418). Nomenclature and taxonomy for plant remains follow Tutin et al. (1964-80) and Kloet and Hincks (1964-77) for insects.

Tentative records for insects are not included if secure ones were also made. Plant material not specifically noted as being preserved by charring or mineral replacement can be taken to be uncharred and unmineralised (i.e. 'waterlogged', but sometimes denoted simply as 'uncharred'). For invertebrates (all preserved by anoxic waterlogging), * = not used in calculating assemblage statistics (Table 5); ecode—ecological code used in generating main statistics; Sp(p).—species not previously listed; Sp(p). indet.—may be a species already listed.

Taxon	Vernacular	name	Remains recorded	
Alnus glutinosa (L.) Gaertner	alder		charcoal fragment(s)	
Urtica dioica L.	stinging nettle		achene(s)	
Rumex sp(p).	8 8 2		docks fruit(s)	
Chenopodium Section Pseudoblitum	red goosefoot etc.		seed(s)	
Chenopodium album L.	fat hen		seed(s)	
Atriplex sp(p).	oraches		seed(s)	
Stellaria sp(p).	stitchworts/c	hickweeds	seed(s)	
Ranunculus sceleratus L.	celery-leaved		achene(s)	
Rubus idaeus L.	raspberry		seed(s)	
R. fruticosus agg.	blackberry/b	ramble	seed(s)	
Aethusa cynapium L.	fool's parsley		mericarp(s) and mericarp fragm	ents
Galium aparine L.	goosegrass, o		epicarp (fruit skin)	
Lamium Section Lamiopsis	annual dead-		nutlet(s)	
Stachys sp(p).	woundworts		nutlet(s)	
Lycopus europaeus L.	gipsywort		nutlet(s)	
Mentha sp(p).	mints		nutlet(s)	
Plantago major L.	greater plant	ain	seed(s)	
Sambucus nigra L.	elder		seed(s) and seed fragments	
Eupatorium cannabinum L.	hemp agrimo	ony	achene fragment(s)	
Juncus inflexus L./J. effusus L./	1 0	•		
J. conglomeratus L.	hard/soft/cor	npact rush	seed(s)	
J. cf. compressus Jacq.	?round-fruite		seed(s)	
J. bufonius L.	toad rush		seed(s)	
Gramineae	grasses		waterlogged caryopsis/es	
<i>Triticum</i> sp(p).	wheats		charred caryopsis/es	
Lemna sp(p).	duckweeds		seed(s)	
Carex sp(p).	sedges		nutlet(s)	
Characeae	(freshwater g	green algae)	oogonium/ia	
Crustacea		*Heteropte	ra sp. (nymph)	u
*Daphnia sp. (ephippium)	oa-w		icinctus (Schrank)	oa-p
*Cladocera sp. L (ephippium)	oa-w	Delphacida		oa-p
1			sp. (nymph)	oa-p
INSECTA				
Неміртека		DIPTERA		
Aneurus sp.	1	*Bibionidae	e sp.	u
Picromerus bidens (Linnaeus)	oa-p			
Scolopostethus sp.	oa-p	COLEOPTER	A	
Lygaeidae sp.	oa-p	Dyschirius	?globosus (Herbst)	oa
Anthocoris sp.	oa-p	Trechus ob	tusus or quadristriatus	oa
Corixidae sp.	oa-w	Bembidion		oa
Agonum sp.	oa	Hydroporin	* *	oa-w
Carabidae sp.	ob	Agabus bip	ustulatus (Linnaeus)	oa-w

Agabus sp	00.17	MOLLUSCA
Agabus sp.	oa-w	
Helophorus spp.	oa-w	Margaratifera/Unio sp.
Sphaeridium sp.	rf	Venezen
Cercyon analis (Paykull)	rt-sf	VERTEBRATA
Cercyon spp.	u	Larus spp.
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	rt	Equus f. domestic
Hydrobius fuscipes (Linnaeus)	oa-w	Bos f.domestic
Hydrophilinae sp.	oa-w	Indet. bone fragments
Onthophilus striatus (Forster)	rt-sf	
Ochthebius minimus (Fabricius)	oa-w	
Limnebius sp.	oa-w	
?Nargus sp.	u	
?Silpha atrata Linnaeus	u	
Micropeplus staphylinoides (Marsham)	rt	
Anthobium sp.	oa	
Olophrum ?piceum (Gyllenhal)	oa	
Omalium sp.	rt	
Carpelimus sp.	u	
Platystethus cornutus group	oa-d	
Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	rt	
Anotylus sculpturatus group	rt	
Stenus spp.	u	
Othius punctulatus (Goeze)	rt-st	
Xantholinus glabratus (Gravenhorst)	rt	
Staphylininae sp.	u	
Tachyporus sp.	u	
Tachinus sp.	u	
Aleocharinae spp.	u	
Aphodius spp.	ob-rf	
Oxyomus sylvestris (Scopoli)	rt-sf	
Onthophagus joannae Goljan	oa-rf	
Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	oa-p	
Cyphon sp.	oa-d	
Elateridae sp.	ob	
Brachypterus sp.	oa-p	
Meligethes sp.	oa-p	
Nitidulidae sp.	u	
Atomaria sp.	rd	
Enicmus sp.	rt-sf	
Corticarina sp.	rt	
Cortinicara gibbosa (Herbst)	rt	
Chrysolina fastuosa (Scopoli)	oa-p	
Crepidodera sp.	oa-p	
Apion sp.	oa-p	
Tanysphyrus lemnae (Paykull)	oa-w-p	
Curculionidae spp.	oa w p	
*Coleoptera sp. (larva)	u	
Colcopicia sp. (iaiva)	u	
HYMENOPTERA		
*Hymenoptera Parasitica sp.	u	
*Chalcidoidea sp.	u	
ARACHNIDA	u	
*Aranae sp.	u	
*Acarina sp.	u	
rearma sp.	u	
*?Egg mass indet.	u	

Table 3. Complete lists of plant remains and other components of samples recorded during examination of plant material from Low Farm, near Cottingham (TSEP 418). Samples are presented in context and sample order and within each list components are listed by decreasing abundance, using a semi-quantitative four-point scale.

Abbreviations: ab—abscission; ch—charred; dec—decayed; fgts—fragments; inc—including; max—maximum dimension; spec—specimen; v—very; for twig fragments, measurements are length x diameter in mm.

Context 2014, Sample 10/T	•		wood fgts	1	v dec, max 10
sand	2				mm
Atriplex sp(p).	1	C . 1			
Eupatorium cannabinum	1	fgts only	G 4 4 2021 G 1 7/T2		
Gramineae	1		Context 2021, Sample 7/T2	2	
Juncus inflexus/effusus/	1		Urtica dioica	3	50
conglomeratus	1		gravel	3	max 50 mm
Plantago major	1	· · · · · · · · ·	Juncus bufonius	2	
Sambucus nigra		inc fgts	Lemna sp(p).	2	
beetles	1	10	Sambucus nigra	2	
charcoal	1	max 10 mm	earthworm egg caps	2	
earthworm egg caps	1	20	sand	2	
flint gravel	1	max 30 mm	Aethusa cynapium	1	10
part-burnt wood	1	max 10 mm	Alnus (charcoal)	1	max 10 mm
wood fgts	I	v dec, max 5	Carex sp(p).	1	
		mm	Chenopodium album	1	
			Gramineae	1	
G 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			Heterodera (cysts)	1	
Context 2021, Sample 7/T	_		Juncus cf. compressus	1	
Urtica dioica	3		Juncus inflexus/effusus/		
Gramineae	2	• 6	conglomeratus	1	
Sambucus nigra		inc fgts	Lamium Section Lamiopsis	1	
beetles	2	~ 0	Lycopus europaeus	1	
gravel	2	max 50 mm	Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1	
sand	2		Ranunculus sceleratus	1	
Aethusa cynapium	1	fgts only	Rosellinia cf. mammiformis	1	
Chenopodium Sect. Pseudoblitum			Rubus fruticosus agg.	1	
Daphnia (ephippia)	1		Rubus idaeus	1	
Galium aparine (epicarp)	1		Stachys sp(p).	1	
Juncus inflexus/effusus/			Triticum sp(p).	1	single spec
conglomeratus	1		bark fgts	1	max 10 mm
Lemna sp(p).	1		beetles	1	
Lycopus europaeus	1		charcoal	1	max 10 mm
Mentha sp(p).	1		flint	1	max 25 mm
Ranunculus sceleratus	1		fly puparia	1	
cf. Rosellinia sp(p).	1		herbaceous detritus	1	
Rubus fruticosus agg.	1		mites	1	
Rumex $sp(p)$.	1		wood fgts	1	v dec, max 15
Stellaria sp(p).	1				mm
bone fgts		max 35 mm			
charcoal	1	max 15 mm	Context 7013, Sample 1/T		
earthworm egg caps	1		sand	3	
leaf ab pads	1		Characeae	2	
mites	1		flint gravel		max 40 mm
Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1		gravel	2	max 40 mm
?iron pan fgts		max 5 mm	Sambucus nigra	1	
Eupatorium cannabinum	1	fgts only	beetles	1	

charcoal	1 max 5 mm	Context 7015, Sample 3/T	
earthworm egg caps	1	sand	3
pottery	1 max 25 mm	Characeae	2
root/rootlet fgts (modern)	1	flint gravel	2 max 25 mm
twig fgts (ch)	1 max 10 mm	Rubus fruticosus agg.	1
		Sambucus nigra	1 inc fgts
		charcoal	1 max 5 mm
		root/rootlet fgts	1
		unwashed sediment	1 max 5 mm

Context: 2021 Sample: 7/T+T2 ReM: D

1

rf

Table 4. Insects and other macro-invertebrates from Low Farm, near Cottingham (TSEP 418): species lists by sample. Taxa are listed in descending order of abundance.

Key: n - minimum number of individuals; q - quantification (s - semi-quantitative 'several', m - semi-quantitative 'many', both *sensu* Kenward *et al.* (1986), e - estimate); ecodes - ecological codes (see Table 6 for explanation); * - not used in calculation of statistics in Table 5.

Sphaeridium sp.

Context: 2021 Sample: //1+12	Ken	1: D		Spnaeridium sp.	1	n	rı
Weight: 7.00 E: 4.00 F: 4.00				Cercyon analis	1	n	rt-sf
				Cercyon sp. A	1	n	u
Notes: Entered HK 20/3/02. Two	dish	flot, a	about	Cercyon sp. B	1	n	u
half invertebrate fragments, mostly beetles.			Hydrophilinae sp.	1	n	oa-w	
Recorded in flot and (mostly) on	-		r.	Onthophilus striatus	1	n	rt-sf
Remains highly fragmented and f				?Nargus sp.	1	n	u
easily on handling; many too far				?Silpha atrata	1	n	u
and others doubtless completely lost. E 3.0-5.5,		Anthobium sp.	1	n	oa		
mode 4.0 weak; F 2.5-5.5, mode				Carpelimus sp.	1	n	u
pale, range 1-4, mode 4, distinct.				Platystethus cornutus group	1	n	oa-d
material tipped over and so may h				• • •	1		
	iave i	ost o	r gamed	Anotylus rugosus		n	rt
a few fossils: does not affect list.				Anotylus sculpturatus group	1	n	rt
				Stenus sp. A	1	n	u
	n	q	ecode	Stenus sp. B	1	n	u
Ochthebius minimus	11	n	oa-w	Othius punctulatus	1	n	rt-st
Megasternum obscurum	9	n	rt	Xantholinus glabratus	1	n	rt
Helophorus sp. A	5	n	oa-w	Staphylininae sp.	1	n	u
Hydroporinae sp. A	3	n	oa-w	Tachyporus sp.	1	n	u
Hydrobius fuscipes	3	n	oa-w	Tachinus sp.	1	n	u
Tanysphyrus lemnae	3	n	oa-w-p	Aleocharinae sp. A	1	n	u
Hydroporinae sp. B	2	n	oa-w	Aleocharinae sp. B	1	n	u
Limnebius sp.	2	n	oa-w	Aleocharinae sp. C	1	n	u
Micropeplus staphylinoides	2	n	rt	Aleocharinae sp. D	1	n	u
Olophrum ?piceum	2	n	oa	Aphodius sp. A	1	n	ob-rf
Omalium sp.	2	n	rt	Aphodius sp. B	1	n	ob-rf
Oxyomus sylvestris	2	n	rt-sf	Aphodius sp. C	1	n	ob-rf
Phyllopertha horticola	2	n	oa-p	Onthophagus joannae	1	n	oa-rf
Atomaria sp.	2	n	rd	Cyphon sp.	1	n	oa-d
Aneurus sp.	1	n	1	Elateridae sp.	1	n	ob-u
Picromerus bidens	1			<u>*</u>	1		
		n	oa-p	Brachypterus sp.		n	oa-p
Scolopostethus sp.	1	n	oa-p	Meligethes sp.	1	n	oa-p
Lygaeidae sp.	1	n	oa-p	Nitidulidae sp.	1	n	u
Anthocoris sp.	1	n	oa-p	Enicmus sp.	1	n	rt-sf
Corixidae sp.	1	n	oa-w	Corticarina sp.	1	n	rt
Aphrodes bicinctus	1	n	oa-p	Cortinicara gibbosa	1	n	rt
Delphacidae sp.	1	n	oa-p	Chrysolina fastuosa	1	n	oa-p
Dyschirius ?globosus	1	n	oa	Crepidodera sp.	1	n	oa-p
Trechus obtusus or quadristriatus	1	n	oa	Apion sp.	1	n	oa-p
Bembidion sp.	1	n	oa	Curculionidae sp. A	1	n	oa
Agonum sp.	1	n	oa	Curculionidae sp. B	1	n	oa
Carabidae sp.	1	n	ob	-			
Hydroporinae sp. C	1	n	oa-w	*Daphnia sp. (ephippium)	100	e	oa-w
Agabus bipustulatus	1	n	oa-w	*?Egg mass indet.	15	m	u
Agabus sp.	1	n	oa-w	*Psylloidea sp. (nymph)	6	S	oa-p
Helophorus sp. B	1	n	oa-w	*Acarina sp.	6	s	u u
*Bibionidae sp.	2	n	u u	*Hymenoptera Parasitica sp.	2	n	u
*Coleoptera sp. (larva)	2	n		*Aranae sp.	2	n	u
Colcopicia sp. (iai va)	4	11	u	manae sp.	2	11	u

*Cladocera sp. L (ephippium)	1	n	oa-w
*Heteroptera sp. (nymph)	1	n	u
*Chalcidoidea sp.	1	n	u

Table 5: Main statistics for the assemblages of adult Coleoptera and Hemiptera (excluding Aphidoidea and Coccidoidea) from Low Farm (TSEP418). For explanation of codes see Table 6.

Context	2021	ALPHART	21
Sam ple	7	SEALPHART	7
Ext	/T+T2	SRD	1
S	72	PSRD	1
N	108	NRD	2
ALPHA	94	PNRD	2
SEALPHA	18	ALPHARD	0
SOB	41	SEALPHARD	0
PSOB	57	SRF	5
NOB	65	PSRF	7
PNOB	60	NRF	5
ALPHAOB	48	PNRF	5
SEALPHAOB	11	ALPHARF	0
SW	13	SEALPHARF	0
PSW	18	SSA	5
NW	35	PSSA	7
PNW	32	NSA	6
ALPHAW	8	PNSA	6
SEALPHAW	2	ALPHASA	0
SD	2	SEALPHASA SSF	0 4
PSD	3	SSF PSSF	4 6
ND	2	NSF	5
PND	2	PNSF	5
ALPHAD SEALPHAD	0	ALPHASF	0
SP SEALPHAD	13	SEALPHASF	0
PSP	18	SST	1
NP	16	PSST	1
PNP	15	NST	1
ALPHAP	0	PNST	1
SEALPHAP	0	ALPHAST	0
SM	0	SEALPHAST	0
PSM	0	SSS	Ö
NM	0	PSSS	0
PNM	0	NSS	0
ALPHAM	0	PNSS	0
SEALPHAM	0	ALPHASS	0
SL	1	SEALPHASS	0
PSL	1	SG	0
NL	1	PSG	0
PNL	1	NG	0
ALPHAL	0	PNG	0
SEALPHAL	0	ALPHAG	0
SRT	19	SEALPHAG	0
PSRT	26		
NRT	31		
PNRT	29		

Table 6. Abbreviations for ecological codes and statistics used for interpretation of insect remains in text and tables. Lower case codes in parentheses are those assigned to taxa and used to calculate the group values (the codes in capitals).

See Table 2 for codes assigned to taxa from the present site. Alpha - the index of diversity alpha (Fisher *et al.* 1943); Indivs - individuals (based on MNI); No - number.

No taxa	S	Percentage of indivs of grain pests	PNG
Estimated number of indivs (MNI)	N	No decomposer taxa $(rt + rd + rf)$	SRT
Index of diversity (´)	alpha	Percentage of RT taxa	PSRT
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha	No RT indivs	NRT
No 'certain' outdoor taxa (oa)	SOA	Percentage of RT indivs	PNRT
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	PSOA	Index of diversity of RT component	alpha RT
No 'certain' outdoor indivs	NOA	Standard error	SEalphaRT
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor indivs	PNOA	No 'dry' decomposer taxa (rd)	SRD
No OA and probable outdoor taxa (oa+ob)	SOB	Percentage of RD taxa	PSRD
Percentage of OB taxa	PSOB	No RD indivs	NRD
No OB indivs	NOB	Percentage of RD indivs	PNRD
Percentage OB indivs	PNOB	Index of diversity of the RD component	alphaRD
Index of diversity of the OB component	alphaOB	Standard error	SEalphaRD
Standard error	SEalphaOB	No 'foul' decomposer taxa (rf)	SRF
No aquatic taxa (w)	SW	Percentage of RF taxa	PSRF
Percentage of aquatic taxa	PSW	No RF indivs	NRF
No aquatic indivs	NW	Percentage of RF indivs	PNRF
Percentage of W indivs	PNW	Index of diversity of the RF component	alphaRF
Index of diversity of the W component	alphaW	Standard error	SEalphaRF
Standard error	SEalphaW	No synanthropic taxa (sf+st+ss)	SSA
No damp ground/waterside taxa (d)	SD	Percentage of synanthropic taxa	PSSA
Percentage D taxa	PSD	No synanthropic indivs	NSA
No damp D indivs	ND	Percentage of SA indivs	PNSA
Percentage of D indivs	PND	Index of diversity of SA component	ALPHASA
Index of diversity of the D component	alphaD	Standard error	SEALPHASA
Standard error	SEalphaD	No facultatively synanthropic taxa (sf)	SSF
No strongly plant-associated taxa (p)	SP	Percentage of SF taxa	PSSF
Percentage of P taxa	PSP	No SF indivs	NSF
No strongly P indivs	NP	Percentage of SF indivs	PNSF
Percentage of P indivs	PNP	Index of diversity of SF component	ALPHASF
Index of diversity of the P component	alphaP	Standard error	SEALPHASF
Standard error	SEalphaP	No typical synanthropic taxa (st)	SST
No heathland/moorland taxa (m)	SM	Percentage of ST taxa	PSST
Percentage of M taxa	PSM	No ST indivs	NST
No M indivs	NM	Percentage of ST indivs	PNST
Percentage of M indivs	PNM	Index of diversity of ST component	ALPHAST
Index of diversity of the M component	alphaM	Standard error	SEALPHAST
Standard error	SEalphaM	No strongly synanthropic taxa (ss)	SSS
No wood-associated taxa (l)	SL	Percentage of SS taxa	PSSS
Percentage of L taxa	PSL	No SS indivs	NSS
No L indivs	NL	Percentage of SS indivs	PNSS
Percentage of L indivs	PNL	Index of diversity of SS component	ALPHASS
Index of diversity of the L component	alphaL	Standard error	SEALPHASS
Standard error	SEalphaL	No uncoded taxa (u)	SU
No indivs of grain pests (g)	NG	Percentage of uncoded indivs	PNU

Table 7. Summary of hand-collected vertebrate remains from excavations at Low Farm, near Cottingham (TSEP418).

Context	No. of fragments	Notes
1007	2	2 shaft fragments - freshly broken.
1009	1	Horse pelvis - eroded bone surface and rounded edges - fresh breakage. Possibly dog gnawed and may be some knife marks but remains tentative because of condition of bone.
2003	1	1 cow upper molar.
2007	13	One bone heavily fragmented during excavation. Very poorly preserved large-sized mammal metapodial.
2014	1	1 cow upper molar (M3).
7002	33	Most of remains represent single bird skeleton - closest to black-headed gull and kittiwake (although latter somewhat larger) - skull + mandible, humerus x 2, scapula x 1, carpometacarpal, coracoid x 2, femur x 2, ulna, sternum, vertebra, rib x 2, fibula, tibiotarsus, pelvis, furcula. Mammal remains included large and medium-sized mammal shaft fragments and a number of unidentified fragments.
7013	8	Large-sized mammal mandible and ?shaft fragments - preservation very poor. Much fresh breakage in evidence.
7021	1	1 burnt shaft fragment.