# Reports from the Environmental Archaeology Unit, York 94/15, 61pp.

# Technical report: Biological remains from excavations on the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass, N. Humberside

by

Allan Hall, Harry Kenward, Michael Hill, Frances Large, Deborah Jaques, Keith Dobney, Michael Issitt, and Stephen Lancaster

#### **Summary**

Deposits from prehistoric pits and Roman-British pits and ditches excavated at a site along the line of the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass, N. Humberside, were investigated for their content of plant and invertebrate remains. A small corpus of bone, largely hand-collected, was also examined.

The prehistoric features gave very few remains (mostly charcoal), of little bioarchaeological value, although the charcoal provided the basis for dating by radiocarbon assay, confirming the dates inferred from pottery.

Some of the ditch fills from the two phases of Romano-British occupation gave large assemblages of plant and invertebrate remains. On the basis of these remains, the settlements at Leven appear likely to have practised arable agriculture, probably with no more than a limited amount of livestock. The biota evoke a picture of a mosaic of herbaceous vegetation, including stands of nettles and other rank weeds (perhaps along the ditch margins), shorter communities (perhaps locally trampled), and cultivated soil.

Bone was sparse and poorly preserved very little of it was identifiable; it adds only a small amount of information about domesticates.

Authors' address Prepared for:

Environmental Archaeology Unit University of York Heslington York YO1 5DD Humberside Archaeology Unit Estates and Property Management Department Humberside County Council County Hall BEVERLEY N. Humberside HU17 9BA

Telephone: (0904) 433843-51

Fax: (0904) 433850 May 5, 1994

# Technical report: Biological remains from excavations on the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass, N. Humberside

#### Introduction

Deposits of neolithic to Romano-British date were excavated along the line of the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass Humberside Humberside by the Archaeology Unit in 1992. An assessment potential of the bioarchaeological analysis was undertaken by the Environmental Archaeology Unit in 1993, using a selection of eight sediment samples and a small assemblage of hand-collected bone as the basis for prediction (Dobney et al. 1993). Most of the deposits excavated and sampled were fills of ditches and pits and, although preservation by anoxic waterlogging was evidently limited, and there were generally only very modest amounts of charred plant material, it was thought worthwhile to examine most of the samples available in an attempt to investigate the local environment and evidence for human activity in an area for which little was known, archaeologically.

#### Methods

# Sediment samples

Samples were inspected in the laboratory and a description of their lithology made using a standard *pro forma*. For most samples, subsamples of 1-3 kg were taken for analysis of macrofossils, following methods of Kenward *et al.* (1980; 1986). In most cases, too, a 'washover' was taken, to remove the less dense fraction. The flots or washovers were assigned a priority before the main phase of recording, but in the event all were scan-recorded (*sensu* Kenward 1992). Remains other than adult beetles and

bugs were often recorded semi-quantitatively on the scale 1, 2, 3, 'several' (about 4-9) and 'many' (more than about 10). Plant remains were recorded on a four-point scale (cf. Hall and Kenward 1990).

For all samples, a voucher of between 1 and 6 kg was retained (or the whole sample where no subsample was processed) and, for the larger samples, the remaining sediment was bulk-sieved to 1 mm (using a 500  $\mu$ m washover sieve).

Four of the samples from these excavations were employed in a student project (Lancaster 1994) investigating the effect of different degrees of harshness in disaggregation during processing. These extra subsamples are not considered here, although beetle and bug elytra from them were scanned for additional taxa.

### Vertebrate remains

All of the very small assemblage of bone from these excavations was hand-collected or came from a few samples bulk-sieved during the post-excavation analyses to reduce their volume. All of this material was surveyed and recording carried out at an appropriate level.

#### Results

#### Sediment samples

Details of the nature of the sediments, the investigations made and the results obtained are presented in this section. A consolidated species list is given in Table 1 and some data pertaining to the plant macrofossils in Tables 2-4. Summary data for the main statistics for the beetle and bug assemblages from Leven are given in Table 5. Species lists and statistics for the individual assemblages of plant remains and of adult beetles and bugs are given in Appendix Tables A1-A4. A list of samples and context types is presented in Table A5.

#### *Notes on insect identifications*

Many of the insect remains were fragmentary and for this reason posed difficulty in identification. More species were certainly present than represented in Table 1.

The record of two sclerites, probably from a single individuals, of the grain weevil *Sitophilus granarius* in the flot from sample 288 (context 290), poses a particular problem. There is no inherent reason why *S. granarius* should not have been present in the Leven area during the Roman period. However, no other strong synanthropes were recorded, and no sample produced even weak hints of the kind of insect community which has come to be considered typical of intensive human occupation. There was no clear community of cereal weeds, so an introduction of the weevil with seed grain can hardly be entertained.

It is, unfortunately, possible that the weevil was a processing contaminant, for samples from another site at which grain pests were abundant were undergoing sieving at about the same time as the material from Leven and several sieves whose mesh partly broken away from the frame (leaving a space in which fossils might lodge) were detected soon afterwards.

A second, and equally reprehensible, possible source is in cereals used to feed chickens whose run abutted the area in which the samples from this site stood. In this connexion, it is worth noting that at least three records of hempseed (*Cannabis sativa*) were made from bulk-sieved samples; these, too, are thought to be contaminants, since the seeds were found in subsamples with an otherwise very poor flora and hempseed formed part of the chickenfeed. These problems serve to emphasise the dangers of storing

samples in polyethylene bags, whose splayed necks serve as funnels for all manner of contaminants. Samples in this series which had been stored in plastic tubs with close-fitting lids were free of these presumed contaminants (the use of tubs, now normal within the Humberside Archaeology Unit, is recommended by Dobney *et al.* 1992).

#### Vertebrate remains

Hand-collected animal bone was recovered from a total of 57 contexts, but only five yielded more than 20 fragments. Although bone from all these contexts was scanned, the material from only 24 of them was recorded in any detail (Table 6). These represented all those contexts containing any identifiable fragments or those where there were ten or more unidentifiable fragments. The remaining 33 contexts produced mostly poorly preserved fragments, unidentifiable almost all producing no more than five fragments.

Preservation varied, although most of the material was very poorly preserved. It was characterised by extreme fragmentation, poor surface integrity, and the presence of numerous fragments showing what appeared to be advanced chemical erosion.

Although it is obvious that the assemblage from the site was dominated by cattle, some caprovid, pig and horse remains were also present (Table 6). Isolated teeth and slivers of enamel were the most commonly occurring elements; their predominance is usually taken to be indicative of severe preservational bias. In addition, measurable fragments, as well as mandibles containing teeth, were present only in very limited numbers.

The eight bulk-sieved samples, sorted quickly for animal bones, produced very little material. Preservation was very similar

to that of the hand-collected material, with bone appearing 'battered', very fragmented and consisting predominantly of unidentifiable fragments. Of note, however, was a single canid atlas (possibly fox) from context 258 (sample 374).

On the basis of its size, its obviously poor preservation, and broadly 'Romano-British' dating, the assemblage is unfortunately of very limited zooarchaeological significance and no substantive interpretative statements can be made.

Sediment samples: results by phase and context

In the following account, material is presented, within each archaeological phase and feature, by context. Descriptions included in the assessment report (Dobney *et al.* 1993) are marked †.

# Phase 1: Neolithic

Context 52 [fill of pit 53;depth: 0.26 m]

†Sample 61: Dark to mid brown, moist, crumbly sand with stones 2-20 mm. A total of 5 kg of this sample was sieved to 300  $\mu$ m to obtain charcoal for  $^{14}$ C dating.

The washover was found to contain, in addition to some charcoal fragments to about 10 mm, several fragments of hazel (*Corylus avellana*) nutshell. The latter were recovered for dating purposes (along with some of the charcoal, in case the sample of nutshell was too small). The charcoal included oak (*Quercus*) and ?rose family (Pomoideae). The washover also contained some germinating grass fruits, roots, several goosegrass (*Galium aparine*) fruits and single specimens of chickweed (*Stellaria media*) and corn spurrey (*Spergula arvensis*), all clearly modern. The charred material is not thought to be of recent origin, however. The residue consisted of sand and a little gravel. The material was not specifically examined for invertebrate remains.

The charred hazel nutshell fragment was dated at the Oxford University Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit as 5000±70 BP (OxA-4411).

**Context 778** [fill of pit 777; depth: 0.32 m]

†Sample 779: Dark gingery-brown, moist, unconsolidated sand with moderate numbers of stones to 25 mm. A 5 kg subsample was disaggregated to locate charcoal for <sup>14</sup>C dating.

The washover contained rather little charcoal (including probable hazel charcoal) and some fragments of hazel nutshell (submitted for dating). There were also some modern fumitory (*Fumaria*) and speedwell (*Veronica*) seeds. The residue was of quartz sand and gravel to 15 mm. The material was not examined for invertebrate remains.

The nutshell gave a radiocarbon date (OxA-4413) of  $4855\pm70$ .

## Phase 1: Bronze Age

**Context 173** [fill of pit 172, depth: 0.25 m]

†Sample 242: Light-mid yellow-grey-brown, moist, unconsolidated, slightly silty or clay sand with abundant angular flints. A 5 kg subsample was processed to obtain charcoal (in the event, sufficient was found from sample 243, see below, and no washover was taken).

The residue was mostly quartz sand with a little angular flint to 30 mm and rather a lot of iron-rich concretions in the >2 mm fraction (these appeared to have no organic content and may be 'an').

†Sample 243: Mottled grey-brown/pale orange-brown, moist, 'crisp' (brittle), slightly silty clay sand with abundant flints to 30 mm, and a trace of charcoal. Some localised small lumps of clay.

Charcoal for <sup>14</sup>C dating was sorted from the small washover from the 5 kg subsample; it included fragments of probable willow (cf. *Salix*), ash (*Fraxinus*) and oak, the largest being about 15 mm. The residue was sand and gravel, with a single fruit of annual knawel (*Scleranthus annuus*), a cornfield weed of sandy soils and perhaps a modern specimen.

The radiocarbon date from the charcoal submitted (OxA-4412) was  $3240\pm65$ .

Neither of these samples was examined specifically for invertebrate remains.

#### Phase 1: Iron Age

#### Context 13 [lower fill of pit 10; depth: 0.80 m]

†Sample 14: Dark grey-brown to brown, locally pale orange-brown, moist, plastic, sticky sandy silty clay, locally more silty or clayey. The clay component of this sample hindered disaggregation, so dilute sodium pyrophosphate solution was used after an initial soaking in water to facilitate processing.

The washover from this 2 kg subsample consisted mainly of modern roots, and a little charcoal to 20 mm (mostly < 2 mm). On closer inspection, the latter was found to consist of charred herbaceous plant material rather than woody tissue. This included some fragments of rhizome or root. It is most likely to represent burnt grass, perhaps from turves or tussocks. The presence of rather frequent water-blinks (*Montia fontana*) seeds perhaps also suggests burning of short grass turf. The residue consisted mainly of quartz sand and a little gravel (to 10 mm), with a trace of iron-rich concreted material (?iron pan).

A second subsample (of 3 kg) was processed during the second phase of this project. Again, Montia seeds were present (in very small amounts), along with charred herbaceous detritus and a little charcoal to 10 mm, and some modern rootlets. There was an uncharred grass caryopsis, possibly heath-grass (Danthonia decumbens) which it is thought, on account of its dark colour, was a fossil contemporaneous with the charred remains and the Montia; also present were uncharred Raphanus or Brassica pod fragments which were very pale and likely to be modern contaminants from the ploughsoil above the pit fill. A little more charcoal, including some possible hazel nutshell, was recorded from the residue of this subsample, along with a little pottery; otherwise, this fraction consisted only of sand with a little gravel.

*Invertebrates:* The only remains recognised were two earthworm egg capsules.

A further subsample of 24 kg was bulk-sieved to 1 mm. The residue was mostly flint gravel to 25 mm with a little charcoal to 15 mm and pottery.

#### **Context 11** [upper fill of pit 10, depth: 0.30 m]

Sample 12: Mid yellow-grey-brown, crumbly (working slightly plastic), slightly clay sand with traces of stones 2-6 mm and abundant charcoal (apparently actually coal, see below).

There were only modest numbers of flakes of coal to 2 mm, and pre-Quaternary megaspores (from coal) in the small washover, together with some modern rootlets. The residue was of sand with a little gravel and coal, but no charcoal.

*Invertebrates:* The flot contained no recognisable insect remains.

Sample 15: Mid grey-brown (with slightly paler orange-brown mottles and dark grey patches), slightly crumbly (working plastic) clay (grey patches sandy), with stones (flints) 2-6 mm common and stones 6-20 mm present.

The washover from the 1 kg test subsample examined was very small and included modern rootlets with traces of charred organic material and ?coal to 2 mm, a *Montia fontana* seed fragment and a charred fragment of a fruit of *Bilderdykia convolvulus* (black bindweed). The small residue was of sand with a little gravel and a trace of charcoal to 5 mm.

Invertebrates: None were recovered.

# **Context 68** [burnt fill of gulley 67; depth: 0.25 m]

Sample 88: Light/mid orange-brown (but somewhat varicoloured, including buff and mid grey), slightly crumbly (working plastic), sandy clay, with moderate amounts of charcoal.

The small washover from the 1 kg subsample examined contained rootlets with moderate numbers of coal flakes to 2 mm and pre-Quaternary megaspores (from coal); the residue was of sand with moderate amounts of gravel and a trace of coal to 10 mm.

Invertebrates: None were observed.

#### Context 90 [lower fill of ditch 48; depth: 0.60 m]

Sample 143: Light/mid grey-brown (with some slightly darker grey and gingery brown areas), soft (working plastic), moderately stony, slightly clay sand, with moderate numbers of stones 2-6 mm and traces of stones 6-20 mm. A trace of pottery also present.

There was a tiny flot from the 1 kg subsample processed. It contained pre-Quaternary megaspores and traces of arthropod cuticle and unidentified herbaceous detritus. The residue was of sand and flint gravel with no organic material.

Invertebrates: None were present.

### Context 117 [upper fill of ditch 48]

Sample 85: Light/mid yellow-grey-brown, crumbly, slightly silty sand, with traces of stones 2-20 mm. No further analysis was undertaken.

Context 125 [fill of gully 123; depth: 0.23 m]

Sample 157: Mid orange-brown, crumbly, soft, slightly silty sand, with traces of stones 2-60 mm. No further investigation undertaken.

# Phase 2: Romano-British (earliest C2)

Context 70 [fill of pit 69; depth: 0.18 m]

†Sample 71: Mid grey-brown to brown (?mottled), moist, crumbly to plastic, silty clay with a little burnt bone and a cobble to 150 mm; traces of modern roots and some fine charcoal.

The small washover from the 2 kg subsample consisted of modern roots together with charred 'seeds' of corn spurrey (2), buttercup (*Ranunculus* Section *Ranunculus*; 1) and sedge (*Carex* sp.; 1). The residue included modest amounts of very fragmentary bone and a little burnt ?daub. For the most part, though, the residue was of quartz sand and flints to 20 mm. Preservation of the bone was poor and specific identification was not possible. As with the hand-collected material (see below) a number of the fragments showed evidence of iron staining with

an even larger number showing evidence of burning, most being heavily calcined (and coloured white or blue). Processing a larger sample was felt to be unlikely to produce a useful assemblage. No more than a trace of invertebrate remains was present.

**Context 74** [lower, ashy, fill of pit 72; depth: 0.21 m]

Sample 78: Black (with buff flecks), crumbly silt with abundant charcoal.

A 0.25 kg subsample of this very small sample was processed. The washover and residue consisted of charcoal to 20 mm with traces of ?modern rootlets and a very little gravel.

Invertebrates: There were none.

#### **Context 73** [upper fill of pit 72; depth: 0.18 m]

Sample 79: Mid grey-brown/orange-brown (mottled, gleyed), crumbly (working plastic), slightly clay silt with traces of stones 2-20 mm. Traces of ?modern rootlets. No further investigation was undertaken.

Context 444 [upper fill of ditch 443; depth: 1.26 m]

Sample 460: Mid grey-brown, crumbly (working plastic), sandy silty clay, with traces of stones 2-6 mm. No further investigation was undertaken.

# Phase 2/3/4: Romano-British (perhaps C2-4)

**Context 405** [fill of ditch 404; depth: 1.12 m]

Sample 498: Slightly heterogeneous, mid/dark grey-brown, crumbly (working soft), slightly humic, slightly stony, slightly sandy silt with a little fine and coarse woody and herbaceous detritus and traces of stones 2-20 mm and patches of darker and paler grey-brown silt.

The moderately large flot from the 1 kg test subsample, together with the residue (which was about 50% woody and herbaceous detritus, the remainder sand and flint gravel) gave abundant

Urtica dioica achenes with large numbers of seeds of figwort (Scrophularia sp(p).), and moderate numbers of a variety of other taxa, including Chaerophyllum temulentum, Torilis japonica, Stellaria media, Polygonum persicaria and Sambucus nigra, and traces of several other taxa of waste places and cultivated soils. There were a few indicators of wetland habitats, too, notably Ranunculus Subgenus Batrachium and Zannichellia, and a rare record (for this site) of woody plant, in the form of a single alder (Alnus glutinosa) fruit and two stones of hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna). The Artemisietea community, with Chaerophyllum, Marrubium, Arctium and perhaps also the fairly frequent thistle (Carduus/Cirsium) and dock (Rumex) fruits, was conspicuous in this assemblage.

Invertebrates: Insects were abundant, and other invertebrates included immense numbers of Daphnia ephippia, large numbers of Ostracoda, and numerous midge (Chironomidae) larvae. Mites, too, were abundant. The assemblage of adult beetles and bugs numbered 125 individuals, with 87 taxa identified. Mathematically diverse, the assemblage was dominated by species associated with natural or semi-natural habitats (% N OB = 72), with aquatics very important (% N W = 34). Clearly this deposit formed in water, and the richness of the insect community suggests that this water stood for a long time, of the order of a year assuming that there were nearby sources of colonists, longer if colonisation was sporadic from more distant sources. The most numerous taxa were aquatics: Tanysphyrus lemnae (9 individuals), a Helophorus species (8), and Ochthebius minimus (7). These and the other aquatics might be found today in still or sluggish water in drainage ditch, provided the water was not contaminated by fertilisers or pesticides.

Terrestrial beetles and bugs were indicative of moderately stable herbaceous vegetation such as might well occur along ditch margins, or in agricultural land, especially rough grazing. However, the insects gave no reason to suppose that this ditch was set in grazing land, for dung beetles were rare.

Decomposer insects formed an insignificant proportion of the assemblage (% N RT = 17), and absolute numbers were also small (N RT = 21). This component was estimated to be of unusually high diversity (compared with numerous other archaeological assemblages: alpha RT = 43,

although SE=25), and so appears to have been of mixed origins, probably largely as a transported component.

The bulk-sieved residue from 53 kg of sediment remaining consisted for the most part of flint gravel and a few stones to 50 mm, with moderate amounts of wood fragments, some partly charred, and a little bone.

#### Phase 3: Romano-British (perhaps C3)

Context 290 [lower fill of ditch 201; depth: 0.99 m]

Sample 288: Very heterogeneous: Light/mid grey-brown plastic and crumbly (working plastic), slightly humic, sandy silt with fine and coarse woody and herbaceous detritus and moderate numbers of stones 2-6 mm, with patches of grey clay, brown detritus, buff flecks, and red-brown patches.

The plant assemblage from the very small washover and the residue (of which about 15% by volume was organic matter, including very decayed wood) from the 1 kg test subsample included abundant stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*) achenes and moderate numbers of hemlock (Conium maculatum) and bur chervil (Anthriscus caucalis) mericarps, (Carduus/Cirsium) achenes, chickweed (Stellaria media) seeds and Sphagnum leaves; the other taxa were mostly weeds of waste ground, amongst them white horehound (Marrubium vulgare) which, with A. caucalis, is something of an indicator of hedgebank and roadside communities today (see below, sample 270, for more discussion of these taxa). There were a few taxa suggestive of the presence of grassland, perhaps even that forming on leached, somewhat 'acid' soils (as in heathland).

Invertebrates: Daphnia ephippia and mites were rather abundant, and there were 'several' Chironomidae (midge) larvae and unidentified adult flies. Various other arthropods were present in small numbers. There was a single larva of the click beetle Agrypnus murinus.

Adult beetles and bugs were present in modest numbers (N = 44, S = 39). Rich in outdoor forms and poor in decomposers, this assemblage resembled in general terms most of the more substantial ones from the site. There were sufficient aquatics to suggest at least intermittent open water, something

strongly supported by the *Daphnia* and midges. It appears likely that there were nettles (*Urtica* sp.) nearby, since the most abundant taxon was *Brachypterus* sp. and there was a specimen of *Heterogaster urticae* (compare this with the very abundant nettle achenes from this deposit).

There were two sclerites of *Sitophilus granarius*, the grain weevil, in the flot. This species is well known from Roman Britain, but is in unlikely company at the present site, where synanthropic species are conspicuously rare. The remains may be processing contaminants. This problem is discussed above.

The bulk-sieved subsample of 17 kg was mostly flint gravel with traces of wood and twig fragments and of coal.

# Context 202 [upper fill of ditch 201; depth: 0.60 m]

Sample 263: Mid/dark grey-brown, crumbly (working very slightly plastic), moderately stony, slightly clay sand, with moderate numbers of flints 2-60 mm. No further investigation was undertaken.

Sample 270: Mid grey-brown (with a few slightly orange-brown patches), crumbly (working plastic), slightly stony clay sand with moderate numbers of flints 2-6 mm and traces of flints 6-20 mm.

The small washover from the 1 kg subsample processed consisted largely of plant detritus including propagules; preservation was rather variable (no doubt consistent with inwash of material into a ditch). The most frequent remains were achenes of Urtica dioica and nutlets of Rumex, with smaller numbers of Conium and a range of other weeds of neglected ground. Notable amongst them were Anthriscus caucalis, Marrubium vulgare and cat-nip (Nepeta cataria), all (and especially the two labiates) characteristic of 'waysides' or 'roadsides', though not exclusive to these habitats-they are rather strong indicators of the phytosociological class Artemisietea (biennial/perennial tall herb communities of waste places, including hedgebanks and roadsides). Other disturbed habitats are also indicated, however; greater plantain (Plantago major) and knotgrass (Polygonum aviculare) suggest trampled soils. Celery-leaved crowfoot (Ranunculus sceleratus) achenes suggest disturbed soils by water. The residue for this subsample was of sand with

moderate amounts of gravel.

Invertebrates: A very small group of remains was recovered, with single adult individuals of 17 beetle and bug taxa and a few other remains. This assemblage has the appearance of s random extract from fauna like that typical of the site, although some taxa not recorded from other samples were present.

The 18 kg subsample bulk-sieved to 1 mm gave a residue largely of flint gravel and some other stones to 50 mm; the tiny washover was rich in achenes of *Ranunculus sceleratus*.

Sample 271: Mid grey (with some orange-brown patches), crumbly, very stony, silty clay, with stones 206 mm common and traces of stones 6-20 mm.

The 1 kg subsample examined yielded a small washover which was mostly of plant detritus and seeds, though preservation was rather poor. *Urtica dioica* and *Chenopodium* Section *Pseudoblitum* were both abundant and there were modest numbers of *Ranunculus sceleratus* achenes, henbane (*Hyoscyamus niger*) seeds and *Conium* fruits. These suggest disturbed, nutrient-enriched soils. The small range of other taxa included some other weeds but the assemblage was small. The residue was of sand with a little gravel.

*Invertebrates:* Although *Daphnia* ephippia were abundant, other remains were rare. There were single adult individuals of 13 bug and beetle taxa, all typical of the site.

The bulk-sieved subsample of 25 kg gave a residue of flint gravel to 40 mm, with a trace of pottery.

#### **Context 351** [fill of sump 350; depth: 1.00 m]

 $\dagger$ Sample 352: Mid-dark brown (with paler  $\pm$ gingery to orange-brown patches), moist, crumbly, slightly clay sand with very decayed wood, flints to 15 mm, and pot to 20 mm.

The material processed during the assessment gave a rather large flot from paraffin flotation, which was rich in well-preserved floating seeds of elderberry (*Sambucus nigra*), with abundant *Urtica dioica* achenes and modest numbers of seeds of *Stellaria media* and *Hyoscyamus niger*. The remaining plant

taxa from this and from the washover (which otherwise consisted largely of very decayed wood) were mostly also weeds of disturbed and cultivated soils (very few of them typical cornfield weeds, however) with a small proportion of plants from wet habitats. No true aquatics were recorded, however.

Deposition does, nevertheless, appear to have occurred in water, which may have been only temporary; there were abundant resting eggs (ephippia) of water fleas (Daphnia) and a small number of aquatic beetles. The terrestrial insects indicated the presence of at least some decaying matter, which may only have been natural fallen vegetation and dung. Although there were some weakly synanthropic species, they offered no evidence for human dwellings. There was some evidence for disturbance, as with the plant assemblage, with beetles typical of waste ground and bare soil. The plant-feeders indicated the presence of nettles and crucifers. Insect preservation was rather good and it was considered that a useful assemblage would be recovered from a larger subsample.

The residue was of quartz sand and gravel to 20 mm.

A further subsample (of 3 kg) was examined in the second phase of this project. The assemblage of plant macrofossils from the small flot was dominated by elderberry seeds stinging nettle achenes, with moderate numbers of and annual nettle (Urtica urens) achenes and seeds or fruits of henbane, chickweed and knotgrass (Polygonum aviculare agg.). Also present were moderate numbers of pod fragments of wild radish (Raphanus raphanistrum), the only good indicator of arable land in the assemblage. There were small numbers of a range of other weed taxa, including Marrubium vulgare and Conium maculatum, together with a few wetland taxa (Menyanthes, Hydrocotyle) and some probable indicators of grassland (Potentilla cf. erecta, Prunella vulgaris, Linum catharticum).

Invertebrates: A large assemblages of beetles and bugs was recovered (N = 181, S = 105). There were also numerous ephippia of Daphnia and at least one other cladoceran, mites, and adult flies, and smaller numbers of a variety of other arthropods.

Despite the presence of the cladocerans, aquatic beetles were not particularly numerous (% N W = 4, 7 individuals). Damp ground or waterside forms were more abundant (% N D = 15, 28 individuals), although half of this group was contributed by

Anotylus nitidulus (the most abundant species in the assemblage), which perhaps originated in dung or similar material rather than in waterside mud. It thus appears likely that there was only intermittent pooling or flow of water. Plant feeders were abundant in absolute terms, although contributing only 20% of the individuals. They suggest mixed herbaceous vegetation including nettles (Urtica sp.), clovers or vetches, and crucifers. The rich and varied nature of the 'natural habitat' component suggests a fairly stable environment, with long-lived habitats allowing a diverse fauna to colonise. The insects suggest an environment modified by human activity, but not dominated by it—grazing land, perhaps, or arable fringes. Decomposers were rather abundant, and proportionally quite well represented for an assemblage clearly formed under at least semi-natural conditions (% N RT = 35). Diversity of this component was fairly low (alpha RT = 24, SE = 5), suggesting that the originated on the spot or at least nearby. The species recorded probably lived in natural litter and dung, however; there is no evidence of accumulations of decaying matter created directly by human activity, unless in cutting down plants and leaving them to decay where they fell.

A 43 kg subsample bulk-sieved to 1 mm gave a residue consisting mostly of flint gravel to 50 mm, with traces of bone, charcoal, coal and moderate amounts of wood fragments to about 100 mm, perhaps all modern tree roots.

Context 716 [lower fill of ditch 715; depth: 0.60 m]

Sample 725: Dark grey-brown, crumbly, brittle (working soft to slightly plastic), moderately humic, very slightly sandy silt.

Two separate flots were taken from the 1 kg test subsample examined; there was a diverse flora in these and in the washover from the residue. *Urtica dioica* achenes were abundant amongst the plant remains, with very large numbers of *Chenopodium* Section *Pseudoblitum* seeds and *Ranunculus sceleratus* achenes. The remaining taxa included a small range of other probable weeds, with *Anthriscus caucalis* (discussed above) and several other weed taxa in moderate numbers. Notable and interpretatively contradictory were rare seeds of purging flax (*Linum catharticum*) and self-heal (*Prunella vulgaris*), indicators of short grassland habitats, and shoots of *Sphagnum* sp(p). and *Aulacomnium palustre*—mosses of acid peatland

habitats.

Invertebrates: Invertebrates were rather abundant; there were 96 adult individuals of 78 beetle and bug taxa, and a variety of other remains including large numbers of *Daphnia* ephippia and mites, 'many' midge (Chironomidae) larvae, 'several' ostracods, and four larvae of the click beetle *Athous haemorrhoidalis*.

The assemblage of adult beetles and bugs was estimated to be of very high mathematical diversity (alpha = 193, SE = 49), although all or most of the taxa recorded may have originated in a restricted range of macrohabitats. The representation of ecological groups indicated aquatic deposition in an area of semi-natural herbaceous vegetation. The water was probably only intermittent. Although decomposers accounted for 32% of the individuals, only natural litter and perhaps a little dung are indicated.

A 21 kg subsample processed by bulk-sieving yielded a residue of flint gravel to 30 mm, with moderate amounts of poorly preserved bone and traces of charcoal (to 10 mm), coal, and twig fragments. The small washover was rich in *Urtica dioica* and *Ranunculus sceleratus* achenes with a few *Chenopodium* Section *Pseudoblitum* seeds. There was also a single sloe (*Prunus spinosa*) fruitstone which had been holed by a small mammal.

# Phase 3/4: Romano-British (perhaps C3-4)

**Context 370** [fill of ditch 369; depth: 0.26 m]

Sample 391: Mid grey-brown, crumbly, moderately stony sand with moderate numbers of flints 2-20 mm (and with occasional orange-brown clay patches and brown sand). A large woody root fragment, presumably recent, was also present.

The 1 kg subsample examined gave one of the largest assemblages of plant remains for this site. Amongst the herbaceous detritus and decayed wood fragments were large numbers of *Urtica dioica* achenes with moderate numbers of *Anthriscus caucalis, Sambucus nigra* and annual nettle (*Urtica urens*). Also present were *Marrubium vulgare* and rough chervil (*Chaerophyllum temulentum*), both suggestive of hedgebank or wayside vegetation. Besides a variety of other weeds of waste ground

and cultivated soils there were some peatland/waterside taxa—bogbean (*Menyanthes trifoliata*), *Sphagnum* (very decayed leaves) and bristle scirpus (*Scirpus setaceus*). The residue was of sand with moderate amounts of gravel and some modern tree root to 15 mm diameter.

Invertebrates: A modest-sized group of beetles and bugs (N = 54, S = 48) and various other invertebrates including 'many' Daphnia ephippia and beetle larvae, and 'several' fly puparia and adults and ants, was recovered. The main statistics of the beetle and bug assemblages were typical of the site (allowing for the small number of individuals on which they are based). Deposition was presumably where there was intermittent standing water, and the surroundings included semi-natural herh communities presumably maintained by human activity such as running grazing animals or cutting of the vegetation.

#### Phase 4: Romano-British (late C4)

**Context 258** [fill of ditch 257; depth: 1.50 m]

Sample 374: Mid/dark grey-brown, crumbly (working plastic), slightly stony, clay silt, with traces of stones 2-6 mm and iron pan or ferruginous concretion present.

The flot and residue from the 1 kg test subsample from this sample was much less rich in plant taxa than that from 375 (see below), though the character of the assemblage was much the same. *Marrubium* and *Anthriscus caucalis* were again present and *Urtica dioica* was the most frequent taxon (though only present in moderate numbers).

Invertebrates: Only Daphnia ephippia were at all abundant ('many'); there were single individuals of 24 beetle and bug taxa, some beetle larvae, and very little else. Allowing for assemblage size, the main statistics were close to the norm for the site. The species list, too, was typical of the material from Leven. Preservation was poor, so that identifications were difficult, and further remains may have disappeared completely.

The residue from the 23 kg subsample bulk-sieved to 1 mm consisted mostly of flint gravel with moderate amounts of iron-concreted sand and gravel and traces of charcoal, wood and twig fragments and bone.

Sample 375: Dark grey-brown, plastic to slightly crumbly (working plastic), moderately stony, sandy clay silt with moderate numbers of stones 2-6 mm and traces of stones 6-20 mm. Some modern moss growing on surfaces.

The small flot from the 1 kg test subsample examined contained some quite well preserved weed seeds, mainly *Urtica dioica*, *Stellaria media* and *Chenopodium* Section *Pseudoblitum*, but with *Conium*, *Urtica urens*, docks and thistles, *Marrubium* and *Anthriscus caucalis*, as in several other samples from these Romano-British ditch fills. The residue yielded traces of possible peat in lumps to 15 mm, *Sphagnum* leaves, and a possible sweet gale (*Myrica gale*) fruit, all suggestive of the presence of peatland material, most probably reworked locally.

Invertebrates: In addition to a moderately large group of beetles and bugs (N=96, S=78) there were various other invertebrate remains, with very large numbers of *Daphnia* ephippia (water flea resting eggs), 'many' mites and larval head capsules of chironomid flies and 'several' ostracods and fly pupae, perhaps of the same group. Chironomid midges usually reproduce in water.

Over half of the species and individuals of beetles and bugs were 'outdoor' forms (% N OB = 51), with appreciable numbers of aquatics (% N W = 14) and damp ground/waterside forms (% N D = 10). Plant feeders were well represented (% N P = 20), and about third of the fauna was contributed by decomposers, mostly taxa with a wide habitat spectrum. The decomposer group was of rather high diversity (alpha RT = 41, although SE = 17) and may have included a component which had dispersed naturally from habitats over a wide area. There was no evidence for human presence or activity beyond creating the ditch and maintaining the surrounding vegetation as herbaceous.

The 19 kg bulk-sieved subsample gave a reside of flint gravel to 40 mm, with traces of bone and wood.

# Context 358 [charcoal fill of pit 357; depth: 0.21 m]

†Sample 367: More or less mottled, pale orange-brown/mid grey-brown, moist, crumbly

(unconsolidated) clay sand with modest amounts of charcoal and stones to 30 mm.

The tiny washover comprised modern roots with a few seeds, apparently of modern origin (one fat-hen, *Chenopodium album*; several *Brassica* testas, ?rapeseed). The residue contained a little charcoal to 20 mm, but was mostly sand and gravel, the latter with rounded sandstones and angular flints. There were no invertebrates

#### **Context 401** [fill of gully 400; depth: 0.32 m]

Sample 727: Mid grey-brown (with occasional buff flecks), crumbly (working slightly plastic), slightly clay sand with traces of stones 2-20 mm.

The washover from the 1 kg subsample examined gave only traces of two probable weed taxa and of a plant of wet places—not an interpretable assemblage; the residue was of sand with some gravel and iron-rich concreted material to 5 mm.

*Invertebrates:* None were found in the processed subsample.

#### Phase 6: Romano-British (late C4)

**Context 100** [fill of slot 99; depth: 0.15 m]

Sample 110: Mid yellow-brown , crumbly, very slightly clay sand, with traces of stones 2-20 mm (gingery rotted sandstone) and greyish, more clay-rich lumps. No further investigation undertaken.

#### Context 337 [fill of depression 373; depth: 0.40 m]

Sample 673 (lowermost): Mid/dark grey-brown (slightly darker within larger lumps), brittle (working crumbly), slightly stony, slightly silty sand, with traces of stones 2-6 mm.

The very small washover produced only very poorly preserved remains of two probable weed taxa, perhaps brought down from modern ploughsoil; the residue was of sand with some flint gravel to 30 mm and a few rounded stones. The residue from the bulk-sieved subsample of 18 kg was mostly flint to 30 mm with a few sandstone pebbles to 35 mm, with traces of very poorly preserved bone, pottery and charcoal and a little iron-concreted sediment.

Invertebrates: None were recorded.

Sample 383 (middle): Dark grey-brown (with occasional buff flecks), crumbly, slightly stony sandy silt with traces of stones 2-20 mm.

Three probable weed taxa were recorded from the very small washover from the 1 kg subsample examined; they may have originated in modern ploughsoil. There was also a trace of charred organic matter and coal to 2 mm. Sand and gravel made up the main part of the residue, with traces of charcoal to 10 mm. The 23 kg bulk-sieved subsample yielded a residue of flint gravel to 30 with one angular fragment of ?volcanic rock to 80 mm. There were traces of pottery and charcoal and ?iron-rich concretion.

Invertebrates: None were found.

Sample 382 (uppermost): Dark grey-brown to light/mid brown, crumbly (working soft), silty sand, with traces of stones 2-6 mm.

There were moderate numbers of poorly preserved corn spurrey (*Spergula arvensis*) seeds in the very small washover from the 1 kg subsample examined but otherwise only traces of three other taxa of no particular interpretative value. Sand was abundant in the residue with moderate amounts of gravel to 30 mm. Gravel made up the greatest part of the residue from bulk-sieving of 20 kg of this sample; with it were traces of charcoal, poorly preserved bone and pottery, with some iron-concreted sediment and ?daub.

Invertebrates: None were recorded.

# Context 493 [burnt fill of pit 492; depth: 0.48 m]

Sample 497: About equal amounts of buff and dark grey crumbly to soft (working slightly plastic), slightly stony silty sand, with traces of stones 2-20 mm and much very fine charcoal (in the darker parts).

The 1 kg subsample examined gave a very small washover with a few *Spergula arvensis* seeds, a *Polygonum aviculare* fruit and some modern rootlets and a modern legume (?*Trifolium*) seed. Pre-Quaternary megaspores (from coal) were present and the residue consisted of sand with some gravel to 20 mm.

Invertebrates: No invertebrate remains were seen.

Context 541 [charcoal fill of pit 540; depth: 0.19 m]

Sample 546: Mid buff to mid grey-brown, crumbly, very slightly clay sand, with traces of stones 2-20 mm and much charcoal.

There was a small washover from the 1 kg subsample processed. It included traces of charred fern frond, perhaps bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*), and charred herbaceous detritus which may have included sedge (*Carex*) stem and ?grass leaf or culm material. Otherwise, there were modern rootlets, a ?modern *Spergula arvensis* seed and a little charcoal to 10 mm. The residue was of sand with much flint gravel to 15 mm and a little more charcoal.

Invertebrates: None found.

**Context 544** [fill of construction slot 545; depth: 0.26 m]

Sample 566: Mid/dark grey-brown (with flecks of yellow and orange), crumbly (working very slightly plastic), moderately stony, slightly clay silty sand, with moderate numbers of stones 2-20 mm, moderate amounts of charcoal and a trace of very degraded bone.

A tiny washover was obtained from the 1 kg subsample examined; it included modern rootlets and ?root bark and a trace of very decayed bone; *Urtica dioica* achenes (in trace amounts) were the only identifiable plant remains. There were rather large amounts of pale orange, translucent, shiny, amorphous calcareous fragments less than 2 mm across whose origin could not be established. The residue was of sand with large amounts of gravel and a trace of charcoal.

*Invertebrates:* There were none in the processed subsample.

Context 567 [fill of ditch 568; depth: 0.36 m]

Sample 569: Dark grey, crumbly, moderately stony silty sand, with moderate numbers of flints 2-20 mm and traces of charcoal (perhaps much fine charcoal)

The tiny washover resulting from processing 1 kg of

this sample gave traces of charcoal and coal (with pre-Quaternary megaspores derived from it) and one or a few seeds of *Montia* (cf. two samples, 14 and 15, from the prehistoric pits), and fragments of *Chenopodium album* and *Spergula arvensis*. The residue was of sand with some gravel and a trace of charcoal.

Invertebrates: None observed.

#### Context 696 [lower fill of pit 646; depth: 0.30 m]

Sample 697: Mid grey-brown (but with evidence of orange-brown oxidised areas), plastic to crumbly (working plastic and crumbly), slightly stony, silty sand with a local content of clay, traces of stones 2-6 mm and traces of humic material.

The tiny flot contained only a few scraps of ?root bark; the washover from the residue of sand and flint gravel consisted of a very small amount of charcoal to 20 mm, but included four charred wheat (*Triticum*) and/or barley (*Hordeum*) grains in a very poor state of preservation.

Invertebrates: There were none.

The 9 kg subsample bulk-sieved to 1 mm gave a residue of flint gravel to 40 mm with traces of charred twig fragments to 30 mm, ?daub, and iron-concreted soil.

# Phase 7: Romano-British (late C4)

Context 43 [fill of ditch 42; depth: 0.23 m]

Sample 107: Mid grey-brown (with dark orange-brown flecks), crumbly (working slightly plastic), slightly clay sand, with traces of flints and rotted ferruginous sandstone 2-60 mm.

There was a very small washover from the 1 kg subsample examined; it consisted of very decayed *Conium* fruit fragments in moderate numbers, with traces of two or three other probable weeds and some modern rootlets. There were traces of charcoal to 2 mm and of very decayed wood. The residue was of sand with traces of gravel to 10 mm and moderate amounts of iron-concreted sediment to 40 mm.

*Invertebrates:* Only a single, unidentifiable, beetle fragment was found.

#### Context 47 [fill of ditch 46; depth: 0.43 m]

Sample 108: Light/mid buff-brown, with gingery flecks, crumbly, slightly stony, silty sand with traces of stones 2-20 mm.

Although it was not intended that further work should be carried out on this sample, a 12 kg subsample was bulk-sieved. The washover from this was tiny and consisted of a few very poorly preserved fragments of *Conium* fruits with *Urtica dioica* and *Spergula arvensis* and a modern *Viola* seed. There were traces of coal and charcoal to 2 mm and pre-Quaternary megaspores from the former. The residue was sand with traces of gravel to 50 mm and of iron-concreted sediment.

Invertebrates: None could be found.

#### Context 55 [fill of ditch 54; depth: 0.73 m]

Sample 109: Mid grey-brown, crumbly (working slightly plastic), slightly stony, slightly clay sand, with traces of stones 2-20 mm. No further investigation undertaken.

#### Context 95 [fill of ditch 94; depth: 0.40 m]

Sample 111: Light/mid slightly yellowish-brown, crumbly to slightly sticky, slightly stony clay sand, with traces of stones 2-20 mm. No further investigation undertaken.

#### Context 97 [fill of ditch 96; depth: 0.70 m]

Sample 112: Mid/dark grey-brown, crumbly (working slightly plastic), moderately stony, slightly silty and clay sand, with traces of stones 2-20 mm including mid red-brown rotted fine-grained sandstone.

There were only very small numbers of identifiable plant remains in the tiny flot from the 1 kg subsample examined, essentially weeds of the kinds generally observed in these deposits. The residue was of sand and flint gravel with no organic component.

*Invertebrates:* There were none in the processed subsample.

The 11 kg bulk-sieved subsample yielded a residue rich in flint gravel to 50 mm, with traces of bone (including some teeth) and pottery; there were moderate amounts of iron-concreted sediment in clasts up to 40 mm.

#### Context 317 [fill of ditch 316]

Sample 319 [lower fill, depth 2.22 m]: Mid grey-brown (with patches of mid yellow-brown), soft to crumbly (working slightly plastic), slightly stony silty sand with traces of stones 2-20 mm.

There was a rather large assemblage of plant remains from this lower fill in a washover from a 1 kg subsample which was rather large; preservation was sometimes rather poor, probably related to inwash. Indeed, terrestrial taxa were the most frequent, notably Urtica dioica, which was abundant, but also prickly sow-thistle (Sonchus asper), whose achenes were moderately common. The other taxa included a wide range of weeds and waterside species and included several Marrubium nutlets (discussed above). A seed of three-veined sandwort (Moehringia trinervia) may perhaps be considered with the group of plants of hedgebanks mentioned earlier, though it is characteristic of shaded areas in woodland and scrub where there is no cover from tall-growing herbaceous plants, such as in the interiors of hedges and woodland margins. There were perhaps one or two hints of the presence of grassland habitats, something which was generally extremely rare in these assemblages. The residue was small-mainly sand with traces of flint to 30 mm and a trace of charcoal.

Invertebrates: There were 61 individuals of 58 adult beetle and bug taxa, and other remains which included 'many' Daphnia ephippia. Only two beetle taxa were represented by more than one individual: Brachypterus sp. (3) and Enicmus sp. (2). Brachypterus species live on nettles (Urtica), and two other nettle-feeders were present. Much of the recorded fauna could have lived on ditch banks and the surroundings seem to have supported no more than herbaceous vegetation.

Sample 318 [upper fill, depth 0.6 m]: Dark grey-brown (with areas of buff and dark orange-brown), ±brittle to ±crumbly (working ±plastic), very stony, slightly clay sandy silt with flints 2-20 mm common and traces of charcoal.

The washover from the 1 kg subsample processed was small but consisted mostly of herbaceous detritus, including rootlets and moderate numbers of seeds, though these were rather decayed. Water crowfoot (*Ranunculus* Subgenus *Batrachium*) achene were abundant, indicating open water or water margins, and traces of duckweed (*Lemna* sp.) and toad-rush (*Juncus bufonius*) serve to support this interpretation. Otherwise, the small assemblage consisted of weeds or plants with rather unspecific ecological indications. The residue was of sand with a little gravel to 15 mm.

*Invertebrates:* There were few, and only single individuals of twelve beetle taxa were found. Allowing for the diminutive size of the assemblage, it was typical of the site.

#### Context 336 [fill of ditch 335; depth: 1.50 m]

Sample 430: Mid/dark grey-brown, crumbly (working slightly plastic), very stony, slightly clay sandy silt with moderate numbers of stones 2-6 mm and traces of stones 6-20 mm. Some modern algae on outer surfaces.

There was a tiny washover from the 1 kg subsample processed and this included a few poorly preserved seeds of weed and other taxa recorded in quantity from many of the other contexts at this site. There were traces of charcoal to 10 mm and of pre-Quaternary megaspores (from coal). The residue was of sand with traces of gravel to 15 mm and some iron-concreted sediment in clasts to 20 mm.

*Invertebrates*: The only remains observed were of a single modern springtail (Collembola).

A 32 kg subsample processed by bulk-sieving gave a residue of flint gravel to 20 mm with large amounts of iron-rich concreted material to 25 mm (some in the form of moulds of worm burrows or root channels); there was also a trace of pottery.

†Sample 442: Dark grey-brown, moist, crumbly to plastic (when worked), slightly sandy clay silt with small stones to 20 mm (mostly flints), occasional patches of grey silt or clay, and areas of rather paler brown colour with a sandier texture; elsewhere more clay (i.e. the texture rather heterogeneous). On disaggregation, a considerable humic content was

apparent. The 2 kg subsample was subjected to paraffin flotation followed by washover. It was examined only in assessment.

The flot was very rich in well-preserved seeds, especially *Chenopodium* Section *Pseudoblitum*, and *Urtica dioica*, with modest numbers of *Stellaria media*, *Hyoscyamus niger* and *Conium maculatum*, black nightshade (*Solanum nigrum*), deadnettle (*Lamium* Section *Lamiopsis*), oraches (*Atriplex* sp(p).), prickly sow-thistle (*Sonchus asper*) and a range of other taxa, most of which are indicators of disturbed and cultivated soils, especially places with nitrification from organic waste, e.g. in farmyards or near dung-heaps. A few marsh and other wetland taxa were present, but no good indicators of standing water as such.

The insect assemblage from this subsample was broadly similar to that from sample 352 (context 351), with a rich, varied and well-preserved group of beetles. Aquatic invertebrates, including *Daphnia* ephippia (of which there were 'many') and a second water flea, were sufficiently numerous to indicate deposition in water, and an assortment of decomposers likely to have originated in litter and dung was also recorded. The few plant-feeders present may have originated from disturbed weedy ground of the kind suggested by the plant remains.

The residue consisted of quartz sand and gravel to 25 mm.

**Context 345** [fill of boundary ditch 344; depth: 0.70 m]

Sample 638: Mid grey (with slight brownish cast), soft (working slightly plastic), very stony, sandy clay silt, with moderate numbers of stones 2-6 mm and traces of stones 6-20 mm, and traces of ?iron pan. The tiny washover from the 1 kg subsample processed gave moderate amounts of *Conium* fruit mostly as fragments and a small range of other taxa of kinds frequently encountered at this site but including spike-rush (*Eleocharis palustris*). The residue was of sand with moderate amounts of flint gravel to 20 mm and rare iron-rich concretions to 5 mm.

*Invertebrates:* There were none in the processed subsample.

Flint gravel (to 30 mm), with a little sandstone to 35 mm, made up the bulk of the residue from the 30 kg bulk-sieved sample; there were also traces of bone and some iron-concreted root channels or worm burrows.

#### Context 436 [lower fill of pit 435; depth: 0.18 m]

Sample 782: Rather varicoloured (pinkish- and reddish-grey and -brown), slightly crumbly (working slightly crumbly), slightly sandy silty clay with traces of charcoal.

There was a small washover from the 1 kg subsample processed; apart from modern rootlets there was a little charcoal to 2 mm. The residue was sand with traces of flint gravel to 35 mm and moderate amounts of charcoal to 30 mm.

Invertebrates: None were recorded.

Context 455 [burnt clay fill of pit 435; depth: 0.26 ml

Sample 491: Light/mid yellow-brown (with darker orange-brown and grey-brown patches), crumbly (working plastic), slightly sandy silty clay, with traces of flints 2-20 mm and much charcoal.

The small washover from the 1 kg subsample processed included some modern roots and rootlets and traces of charcoal to 5 mm. The only identifiable plant macrofossil was a *Stellaria media* seed which may have been recent in origin. The small residue was of sand with traces of gravel and charcoal (to 20 mm)

*Invertebrates:* None could be found. **Context 458** [layer; depth: 0.20 m]

Sample 459: Mid grey-brown, crumbly (working slightly plastic), slightly clay, slightly silty sand with traces of stones 2-20 mm.

A very small washover was obtained from the 1 kg subsample processed; it included traces of *Spergula arvensis* and *Polygonum aviculare* (cf. samples from contexts 337 and 493, above) with a little charcoal to 15 mm and of coal to 2 mm. The residue was of sand with a few flints to 30 mm and some iron-concreted sediment in clasts to 5 mm.

*Invertebrates:* None were recorded apart from two *Daphnia* ephippia and a single fly pupa.

The bulk-sieved subsample from 20 kg was essentially flint gravel to 25 mm, with a little bone (partly charred) and a very rounded fragment of brick/tile to 25 mm. A range of weed taxa was recorded in the very small washover, including *Urtica dioica*, *Marrubium vulgare* and *Ranunculus sceleratus*.

### Context 639 [charcoal fill of pit 640; depth: 0.13 m]

Sample 645: Mid orangeish-grey-brown, crumbly to soft (working slightly plastic), slightly clay sand, with traces of flints 2-20 mm and traces of charcoal.

There was a tiny washover from the 1 kg subsample processed; it included moderate numbers of *Spergula arvensis* seeds and traces of several other probable weeds. There were also some modern rootlets. The residue was of sand with moderate amounts of gravel to 15 mm and traces of charcoal to 10 mm.

*Invertebrates:* There were only poorly-preserved single individuals of three beetle taxa; it appears likely that other remains had decayed entirely.

#### **Context 712** [fill of pit 711; depth: 0.05 m]

Sample 726: Mid buff to light grey-brown, crumbly (working plastic), sandy clay silt with traces of stones 2-20 mm and traces of charcoal.

There was a single (?modern) *Spergula arvensis* seed, a trace of rootlets and of charcoal to 5 mm in the small washover from the 1 kg subsample examined; the residue was of sand with traces of gravel to 10 mm and more charcoal to 5 mm.

Invertebrates: None were observed.

# Context 722 [charcoal fill of pit 721; depth: 0.37 m]

Sample 723: Rather varicoloured (buff to mid grey-brown to mid brown to slightly orange-brown), crumbly (working slightly plastic), sandy clay silt with traces of stones 2-20 mm and flecks of charcoal. Modern rootlets present.

The very small washover yielded modern rootlets and traces of charcoal to 2 mm. The residue was of sand with traces of gravel to 20 mm and moderate amounts of slightly ferruginous concretion to 10 mm and traces of charcoal to 10 mm.

Invertebrates: None recovered.

# Unphased: Romano-British

**Context 680** [layer/fill over timbers 681; depth: 0.40 m]

Sample 682: Dark brown, crumbly, very humic, slightly sandy silt.

Two subsamples of this sample were processed as tests, one using a washover, the other a flot. The latter produced a very few plant remains but there were moderate numbers of oospores of Characeae (freshwater algae of clear, often calcareous water). The residue for this subsample was tiny, consisting of a few cm³ of sand with about 20% by volume herbaceous detritus, mostly <1 mm. The plant material included moderate numbers of pondweed (*Potamogeton* sp(p).) fruits and many more Characeae oogonia. Terrestrial taxa were represented by traces of raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*), elderberry and violet (*Viola* sp., probably one of the woodland or marsh species) and, intriguingly, traces of bud-scales of ?oak (cf. *Quercus*) and poplar/aspen (*Populus*).

*Invertebrates:* The only remains recovered were of single individuals of four beetle taxa. All were from natural or semi-natural habitats. Other remains may have decayed completely.

The bulk-sieved subsample of 18 kg gave a very small residue of flint gravel to 30 mm and some woody fragments which were probably roots, perhaps of post-depositional date. The very small washover obtained consisted mostly of rootlets which might have been post-depositional.

#### General characteristics of the biota

This section considers, for the most part, the evidence from plant and invertebrate macrofossils from the sediment samples from these excavations, and concentrates on almost exclusively on the results from the

deposits dated to the 2nd to 4th centuries AD.

The content of biological remains in the samples from these excavations was very variable; many deposits were effectively barren of any remains other than a little wood charcoal, whilst others gave rich assemblages of plant and invertebrate macrofossils preserved by anoxic waterlogging. As remarked above, bone was rare and poorly preserved.

The general pattern was that the ditch fills often gave useful assemblages of plant and insect remains, whilst pit fills (and notably those of pre-Roman date) were almost invariably unrewarding. Where upper and lower levels in a ditch fill were sampled and analysed, the lower was, not surprisingly, usually richer than the upper. A striking feature of the plant and invertebrate assemblages was that, where they were of interpretatively useful size, they were rather uniform and characteristic.

The larger plant macrofossil assemblages were characterised by a predominance of weed taxa, mainly annual weeds of cultivated and other disturbed soils (phytosociological classes Chenopodietea and Secalinetea) and biennial and perennial herbs of waste places, roadsides and hedgebanks (group Artemisietea). Many of the latter—such as hemlock (Conium maculatum) and stinging nettle (Urtica dioica)-may have been growing on the sides of the ditches, and plants in the class Bidentetea (plants of nutrient-rich soils in damp places) may actually have been growing in them. However, there was otherwise a marked of paucity of aquatics or aquatic-marginals; an exception was one of the two samples from context 317, which gave rather high scores for plants in POTA-floating leaved and submerged

aquatics—and the only record for *Lemna* (duckweed) for the site. There were amongst the plant taxa from the Romano-British samples as a whole perhaps only 5-6 taxa of standing water and 14-15 likely to have grown in marginal situations, nearly all recorded in very small amounts where they occurred. By contrast, there were some 54 taxa representing one or more of the 'weed' groups, and of these almost half were present at a score of 2 or more (on a four-point scale) in at least one assemblage.

The abundant *Daphnia* ephippia and the absence of highly developed aquatic insect communities from most of the ditch deposits suggests that the ditches did not generally carry permanent water. Of the assemblages large enough for any significance to be attached to their main statistics, only three had more than 10% of aquatics: those from contexts 258 (13 individuals, 14%), 405 (42, 34%) and 716 (11, 11%). The exceptional abundance of aquatics in the material from context 405 suggests that in this case there was long-lived standing water; this was probably of about neutral pH, and weedy, with the most abundant beetle (Tanysphyrus lemnae) being associated with duckweeds. This context did not, however, produce correspondingly significant numbers of aquatic plants.

Remains of aquatic molluscs were entirely lacking. This may, however, have been a function of the apparently very uncongenial preservational regime in these deposits for calcareous materials, rather than a failure of molluscs to colonise. The bone (see above) was sparse and extremely poorly preserved, and no terrestrial molluscs were found.

Vegetation types represented by moderate numbers of plant taxa, mostly present in small amounts, were grassland and woodland/scrub. Apart from some rather large numbers of elderberry (Sambucus nigra) seeds in a few samples and occasional records for hawthorn (Crataegus) and blackthorn (Prunus spinosa), there were only single records for alder (Alnus) fruits and for poplar/aspen (Populus) and perhaps also oak (Quercus) buds or bud-scales—certainly not enough to suggest that there was more than a little scrub or perhaps hedges in the vicinity.

The insects certainly give no evidence for living trees or shrubs, and only two individuals of species associated with dead wood were recorded (*Melasis buprestoides* and *?Anobium* sp.). This suggests that any areas of scrub or hedgerows which might be inferred from the plant remains were not immediately adjacent to the sites of deposition, local development of scrub, and eventually trees, presumably being suppressed directly or indirectly human activity.

Nettle feeders (Brachypterus sp., quadrimaculatus Cidnorhinus and Heterogaster urticae) were frequently present in small numbers and this the correlates well with plant evidence-stinging nettle was present in most samples and abundant in many (its achenes were recorded at a score of 4, the highest possible, from two of the ditch fills). Other plants indicated by phytophage insects were:

- Compositae (from *Apion onopordi*; perhaps feeding on thistles, *Carduus/Cirsium*, achenes of which were recorded in small amounts in several samples);
- Salix spp. (willows, from A. minimum);
- vetches or clovers (from *Sitona* spp.);

- crucifers (from *Ceutorhynchus erysimi*, *floralis* and *parvulus*);
- Rumex or Polygonum spp. (from Gastrophysa viridula and Chaetocnema concinna; remains from plants in these genera were widely recorded);
- Lamium album or Ballota nigra (from Sehirus bicolor).

A vegetation type represented by a few plant taxa but not seen amongst the insects was peatland. Sphagnum leaves or shoot fragments were recorded in moderate amounts in two samples (288 from context 290, and 725 from 716) and there were a few Calluna flowers in 725, too. One sample, 375 (context 258), even produced a few fragments of organic sediment which may have been peat. Some of the acidophilous taxa may have been present in areas of leached soils in vegetation that might be termed acid grassland rather than heathland sensu stricto. The peat may have originated in some local mire, now long since destroyed by cutting or draining.

of One component the plant assemblages—ARTE—shows striking similarities with assemblages from sites of similar date and type (rural, Romano-British) in the region: those from a site at North Cave, North Humberside (Allison et al. 1990) and Glebe Farm, South Humberside (Carrott et al. 1993). Data in Tables 3 and 4 show how prominent this group was at all three sites and certain taxa, especially the umbellifers Chaerophyllum temulentum and Anthriscus caucalis and the labiates Marrubium vulgare and Nepeta cataria, were present at both Leven and North Cave. Today very typical of hedgerows and roadside communities, these plants may have been growing in some kind of 'edge' vegetation at these sites, though the

presence of hedges with trees or shrubs at Leven is not substantiated by much archaeobotanical evidence and not at all by the insect data. It is noteworthy that two of these plants—A. caucalis and temulentum-were each abundant in at least one sample from Iron Age and Roman riverside deposits at Farmoor, Oxfordshire (Lambrick and Robinson 1979). A. caucalis was prominent in a sample from an Iron Age ditch, C. temulentum in a sample from a stone-lined Roman feature interpreted as a water-hole.

Evidence for human occupation, other than in the presence in abundance of plants of both weedy vegetation and of cultivated soils, was very limited in the plant assemblages. There was no evidence from the insects for the timber buildings inferred from the excavation record (K. Steedman, in litt. 15.3.94). Most of the plant taxa which might have been used as food (Table 2) were as likely to have been growing in waste places or scrub; none seem likely to have been cultivated in this context. There was a single record of charred cereal remains from a Phase 6 sample. The two taxa with known herbal uses (Marrubium vulgare and Nepeta cataria) were probably simply part of the local weedy vegetation.

There were no insects strongly indicative of human dwellings or the stalling of animals; a single record of the grain weevil Sitophilus granarius must be discounted as a likely contaminant (see above). The contrast with Robinson's evidence from Farmoor (Lambrick and Robinson 1979) is notable: at the Oxfordshire site there were several beetle species regarded as 'house fauna' (Kenward and Hall forthcoming) present in large numbers, particularly Ptinus fur, Anobium punctatum and Lathridius minutus group (as Enicmus minutus agg.), and there were small numbers of more strongly synanthropic species, including the mealworm *Tenebrio molitor*.

Another edifying contrast is with the insect assemblages from ditches at the Roman military establishment at Ribchester, Lancashire (Large et al. 1994). Here, although aquatics were often important, synanthropic were frequently species immensely abundant, apparently having been introduced in dumps of stable manure. It might be argued that differences in attitude towards organic waste and the tendency of the military to reconstruct its forts at intervals are responsible for this contrast. Rural communities dependent upon farming would hardly dispose of valuable manure into ditches, especially if those ditches represented barriers to stock, essential drainage, or sensitive property boundaries, so that their maintenance was important. On the other hand, the Roman military doubtless had an embarrassment of stable manure, and may not always have had the kind of relationship with the local farming populace which would have encouraged its deployment on the fields. Returning to the Leven site, even allowing for these arguments it seems most unlikely that the typical 'house' fauna would be totally unrepresented in the assemblages (having been incorporated into the deposits by accident in some way) if there were dwellings close by.

The ground beetles cast some light on the nature of the surroundings of the Leven site. They may be grouped by habitat type as follows:

- confined to damp habitats—*Elaphrus* cupreus, *Loricera pilicornis*, *Pterostichus* nigrita, *P. vernalis* and *Bembidion* biguttatum;
- typical of meadows and grasslands,

although frequently found elsewhere—Pterostichus cupreus, P. melanarius, Agonum dorsale and Calathus melanocephalus;

- particularly in open places—Bembidion obtusum, Calathus fuscipes, Agonum dorsale and Calathus melanocephalus;
- Pterostichus oblongopunctatus is associated with woodland in much of its range but can be found in unwooded places.

These records serve to emphasise the essentially treeless nature of the landscape, probably with some areas of bare soil.

Taken as a whole, the evidence from the lithology and from the flora and insect fauna point to deposition in man-made cuts, principally ditches, which were infilling slowly. They were in most cases probably only intermittently wet and sedimentation may have been a combination of wind-blow, occasional run-off and slumping from the sides of the cuts. The surroundings supported a rather rich herbaceous flora, mainly indicative of disturbance of one kind or another-this may even have included colonisers of upcast from digging and possibly cleaning ditches—and a diverse community of insects, mostly likely to have been associated with this vegetation. Subjectively, plausible the most reconstruction is of ditches fringed by annual and perennial plant communities whose further successional development was inhibited by human activity. There were sometimes enough dung beetles to hint at the presence of grazing stock, but the evidence was insufficiently strong to suggest extensive grazing land.

The land into which the cuts were set may have supported arable agriculture (there was an appreciable component of cornfield weeds, though of a rather limited range of taxa). Robinson (1983) discusses the reconstruction of arable/pastoral ratios from insect remains. A reading of his account underlines the slight importance of grazing at the Leven site. Robinson's report on the Iron Age and Roman material from Farmoor (Lambrick and Robinson 1979) illustrates the point very strongly: at that site, dung beetles, indicative of stock keeping, were extremely abundant in several of the samples.

The ecological consistency of assemblages of plant and invertebrate macrofossils from Leven has been remarked upon. The biota very largely indicate early stages in vegetational succession, with annual and perennial weeds well represented. This might be for one of two reasons: either the fossiliferous deposits all represent the same, very short, period of time, or conditions at the site remained essentially constant over a long period. Given the archaeological evidence for two phases of occupation in the earlier and later Roman periods, it appears more likely that the vegetation was maintained in an essentially unchanging state by human activity. Were this not the case, at least some of the ditch fills should have reflected later stages in vegetational development in the surroundings.

One last comment concerns climate. The nettle bug, *Heterogaster urticae* was recorded from three samples. Its frequent occurrence in Roman and early medieval deposits in Yorkshire is taken as evidence of summer temperatures considerably higher than at the present (Addyman *et al.* 1976; Kenward and Hall forthcoming).

# Retention/disposal

Sample of sediment in which some preservation of plant and invertebrate remains in at least modest numbers was observed should be retained for further research as long as their quality of preservation can be maintained. These are samples 270 and 271 (context 202), 374 and 375 (258), 288 (290), 318 and 319 (317), 352 (351), 391 (370), 498 (405) and 725 (716). It would be difficult to justify the long-term storage of the other material.

#### **Archive**

All paper and electronic archive material pertaining to the work described here is currently stored at the Environmental Archaeology Unit, University of York, along with samples of processed and unprocessed sediment, extracted plant and invertebrate fossils and the vertebrate remains.

# Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Ken Steedman, Humberside Archaeology Unit, for bringing this site to their attention and for supplying samples and archaeological information. KD, AH and HK thank English Heritage for permission to contribute to these investigations.

#### References

Addyman, P. V., Hood, J. S. R., Kenward, H. K., MacGregor, A. and Williams, D. (1976). Palaeoclimate in urban environmental archaeology at York, England: problems and potential. *World Archaeology* **8**, 220-233.

Allison, E. P., Hall, A. R., Kenward, H. K., McKenna, B. and Robertson, A. (1990). Biological remains from excavations at North Cave, N. Humberside. *Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report* **105/90.** 

Carrott, J., Hall, A., Kenward, H., Large, F. and Milles, A (1993). Plant and invertebrate remains from two fill contexts from a Romano-British pit at Glebe Farm, Barton-upon-Humber, S. Humberside (site code GFA92). Prepared for Humberside Archaeology Unit.

Dobney, K., Hall, A., Kenward, H. and Milles, A. (1993). An assessment of 'environmental' samples and bone from excavations on the route of the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass (site code LEV92). Report to Humberside Archaeology Unit.

Kenward, H. K., Engleman, C., Robertson, A., and Large, F. (1986). Rapid scanning of urban archaeological deposits for insect remains. *Circaea* **3** (for 1985), 163-72.

Kenward, H. K. and Hall, A. R. (forthcoming). Biological evidence from Anglo-Scandinavian deposits at 16-22 Coppergate. *The Archaeology of York* **14** (7).

Kenward, H. K., Hall, A. R. and Jones, A. K. G. (1980). A tested set of techniques for the extraction of plant and animal macrofossils from waterlogged archaeological deposits. *Science and Archaeology* **22**, 3-15.

Kloet, G. S. and Hincks, W. D. (1964-77). *A check list of British insects*. Second edition. London: Royal Entomological Society.

Lambrick, G. and Robinson, M. (1979). Iron Age and Roman riverside settlements at Farmoor, Oxfordshire. *Oxfordshire Archaeological Unit Report* **2**/*CBA Research Report* **32**.

Lancaster, S. (1994). What are we doing to our beetles? EAU Placement Student Project Report, March 1994.

Large, F., Kenward, H., Carrott, J., Nicholson, C. and Kent, P. (1994). Insect and other invertebrate remains from the Roman fort at Ribchester, Lancashire (site code RB89): Technical report. *Reports from the Environmental Archaeology Unit, York* **94/11**, 171 pp.

Smith, A. J. E. (1978). *The moss flora of Britain and Ireland*. Cambridge: University Press.

Tutin, T.G. *et al.* (1964-81). *Flora Europaea*. **1-5**. Cambridge: University Press.

uncharred and charred seed(s)

Table 1. Complete list of plant and invertebrate taxa recorded from samples from excavations on the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass. Latin binomials for plant taxa follow Tutin et al.(1964-80) and Smith (1978). Taxa marked \* were only recorded during the assessment of samples from these excavations. The parts of the plants recorded are also given; where not specified otherwise, all plant material was preserved by anoxic waterlogging. Order and nomenclature of Insecta follow Kloet and Hincks (1964-77). 'sp(p).' indicates probable additional taxon; 'sp(p). indet.' indicates may be (or includes) previously listed taxa. † against an ecological code indicates that there are reservations concerning its appropriateness. Ecological codes for plants and adult beetles and bugs are explained in Hall and Kenward (1990), tables 127-8 +—Sehirus bicolor was only recorded from a single subsample examined as part of a student project.

# Algae

Characeae (oogonia)

Mosses (all leaf/leaves and/or shoot fragment(s))

*Sphagnum* sp(p).

Sphagnum sp(p).

Aulacomnium palustre (Hedw.) Schwaegr.

Thuidium tamariscinum (Hedw.) Br. Eur.

Cratoneuron filicinum (Hedw.) Spruce

*Drepanocladus* sp(p).

Calliergon cuspidatum (Hedw.) Kindb.

Eurhynchium sp(p).

cf. Hypnum cupressiforme Hedw.

Rhytidiadelphus sp(p).

Hylocomium splendens (Hedw.) Br. Eur.

# Vascular plants

Montia fontana

ssp. chondrosperma (Fenzl) Walters

charred and uncharred
pinnule fragment(s)
stalk fragment(s)
*pinnule fragment(s)
bud(s) and/or bud-scale(s)
fruit(s)
fruit(s)
charred nut(s) and/or nutshell
fragment(s)
bud(s) and/or bud-scale(s)
achene(s)
achene(s)
fruit(s)
fruit(s)
fruit(s)
fruit fragment(s)
fruit(s)
uncharred and charred fruit(s)
seed(s)
seed(s)
seed(s)
seed(s)

blinks

Moehringia trinervia (L.) Clairv.	three-nerved sandwort	seed(s)
Stellaria media (L.) Vill.	chickweed	seed(s)
S. graminea L.	lesser stitchwort	seed(s)
Stellaria sp(p).	stitchworts	seed(s)
Cerastium sp(p).	mouse-ear chickweeds	seed(s)
*Scleranthus annuus L.	annual knawel	fruits(s)
		seed(s)
Spergula arvensis L.	corn spurrey	
Silene vulgaris (Moench) Garcke	bladder campion	seed(s)
S. alba (Miller) Krause in Sturm Ranunculus Section Ranunculus	white campion	seed(s)
	meadow/creeping/bulbous buttercup	achene(s)
R. sardous Crantz	hairy buttercup	achene(s)
R. sceleratus L.	celery-leaved crowfoot	achene(s)
R. flammula L.	lesser spearwort	achene(s)
R. Subgenus Batrachium	water crowfoots	achene(s)
Papaver argemone L.	long prickly-headed poppy	seed(s)
Cruciferae	cabbage family	seed(s)
Descurainia sophia (L.) Webb ex Prantl	flixweed	seed(s)
Thlaspi arvense L.	field penny-cress	seed(s)
Brassica rapa L.	turnip	seed(s)
Raphanus raphanistrum L.	wild radish	pod segments and/or
		fragment(s) and seeds
Filipendula ulmaria (L.) Maxim.	meadowsweet	achene(s)
Rubus idaeus L.	raspberry	seed(s)
R. fruticosus agg.	blackberry/bramble	seed(s)
Rubus sp(p).	blackberries, etc.	seed(s)
cf. Rosa sp(p).	?roses	prickle(s)
*Potentilla palustris L.	marsh cinquefoil	achene(s)
P. cf. erecta (L.) Räuschel	?tormentil	achene(s)
Potentilla sp(p).	cinquefoils, etc.	achene(s)
Aphanes microcarpa		
(Boiss. & Reuter) Rothm.	slender parsley-piert	achene(s)
Crataegus monogyna Jacq.	hawthorn	pyrene(s)
Crataegus sp./Prunus spinosa	hawthorn/sloe	thorn(s)
Prunus spinosa L.	sloe	fruitstone fragment(s)
Leguminosae	pea family	calyx/calyces
Linum catharticum L.	purging flax	seed(s)
Malva sylvestris L.	common mallow	nutlet(s)
Hypericum sp(p).	St John's-worts	seed(s)
Viola sp(p).	violets/pansies, etc	capsule segment(s) and seed(s)
	-	
Hydrocotyle vulgaris L.	marsh pennywort	mericarp(s)
Chaerophyllum temulentum L.	rough chervil	mericarp(s)
Anthriscus caucalis Bieb.	bur chervil	mericarp(s)
Aethusa cynapium L.	fool's parsley	mericarp(s)
Conium maculatum L.	hemlock	mericarp(s), including
		fragments
Pastinaca sativa/Heracleum sphondylium	wild parsnip/hogweed	mericarp(s)
Torilis japonica (Houtt.) DC.	upright hedge-parsley	mericarp(s)
Daucus carota L.	wild carrot	mericarp(s)
Calluna vulgaris (L.) Hull	heather, ling	flower(s)
Anagallis arvensis L.	scarlet pimpernel	seed(s)
Menyanthes trifoliata L.	bogbean	seed(s)
cf. Callitriche sp(p).	?water-starworts	fruit(s)
Marrubium vulgare L.	white horehound	nutlet(s)
mannount vugue L.	will holohound	nadous)

Galeopsis Subgenus Galeopsis	hemp-nettles	nutlet(s)
Lamium Section Lamiopsis	annual dead-nettles	nutlet(s)
Stachys sp(p).	woundworts	nutlet(s)
Nepeta cataria L.	cat-mint	nutlet(s)
Prunella vulgaris L.	selfheal	nutlet(s)
Hyoscyamus niger L.	henbane	seed(s)
Solanum nigrum L.	black nightshade	seed(s)
S. dulcamara L.	woody nightshade	seed(s)
Scrophularia sp(p).	figworts	seed(s)
Euphrasia sp./Odontites verna	eyebright/red bartsia	seed(s)
Pedicularis palustris L.	marsh lousewort	seed(s)
Rhinanthus sp(p).	yellow rattles	seed(s)
Plantago major L.	greater plantain	seed(s)
Sambucus nigra L.	elder	seed(s)
Campanula cf. rotundifolia L.	?harebell, bluebell	seed(s)
Eupatorium cannabinum L.	hemp agrimony	achene(s)
Anthemis cotula L.	stinking mayweed	achene(s)
Arctium sp(p).	burdocks	achene(s)
Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	thistles	achene(s)
Centaurea cf. nigra L.	?lesser knapweed	involucral bract(s)
Sonchus asper (L.) Hill	prickly sow-thistle	achene(s)
S. oleraceus L.	sow-thistle	achene(s)
Lapsana communis L.	nipplewort	achene(s)
Potamogeton sp(p).	pondweeds	pyrene(s)
Zannichellia palustris L.	fruit(s)	
Juncus bufonius L.	toad rush	seed(s)
Luzula sp(p).	woodrushes	seed(s)
Gramineae	grasses	waterlogged caryopsis/es
Cerealia indet.	cereals	charred caryopsis/es
Danthonia decumbens		
(L.) DC. in Lam. & DC.	heath grass	caryopsis/es
Lemna sp(p).	duckweeds	seed(s)
Scirpus setaceus L.	bristle club-rush	nutlet(s)
Eleocharis palustris sl	common spike-rush	nutlet(s)
Carex sp(p).	sedges	nutlet(s)

Invertebrata		Pentatomidae sp.	oap
Annelida		Pentatomidae sp. (nymph)	
Oligochaeta sp. (egg capsule)		Heterogaster urticae (Fabricius)	oap
		Pachybrachius ?fracticollis (Schilling)	oap
Arthropoda		Stygnocoris pedestris (Fallen)	oap
Crustacea		?Stygnocoris sp. indet.	oa
Daphnia sp. (ephippium)		Drymus ?brunneus (Sahlberg)	oap
Cladocera sp. (ephippium)		Drymus sp. indet.	oap
Ostracoda sp.		Scolopostethus sp.	oap
		Lygaeidae sp. indet.	oap
Insecta		Anthocoris sp.	oap
Forficula sp.		?Cimicidae sp. (nymph)	
Dermaptera sp.		Globiceps sp.	oap
		Miridae spp.	oap
Hemiptera		Saldidae sp.	oad
Sehirus bicolor (L.) +		Corixidae sp.	oaw
Pentatoma rufipes (Linnaeus)	oap	Heteroptera sp.	u

Heteroptera sp. (nymph)		Agonum dorsale (Pontoppidan)	oa
Philaenus or Neophilaenus sp.	oap	Amara sp.	oa
Macropsis sp.	oap	Harpalus sp.	oa
Agallia sp.	oap	Dromius ?melanocephalus Dejean	oa
Aphrodes ?bifasciatus (Linnaeus)	oapd	Metabletus sp.	oa ob
Aphrodes sp	oapd	Carabidae spp. indet.	ob
Aphrodes sp. Auchenorhyncha spp.	oap	Hygrotus sp. ?Hydroporus dorsalis (Fabricius)	oaw
Auchenorhyncha sp. (nymph)	oap	Potamonectes depressus elegans (Panzer)	oaw
Psylla sp.	000	Hydroporinae spp.	oaw
Aphidoidea sp.	oap	Agabus bipustulatus or striolatus (Linnaeus)	oaw
Apilidoidea sp.		Agabus or Ilybius spp.	oaw
Diptera		?Rhantus sp.	oaw
Bibionidae sp.		Colymbetes fuscus (Linnaeus)	oaw
Chironomidae sp. (larva)		Colymbetinae sp. indet.	oaw oaw
Nematocera sp.		Helophorus aquaticus (Linnaeus)	oaw
Diptera sp. (adult)		Helophorus nubilus Fabricius	oaw
Diptera sp. (larva)		Helophorus spp.	oaw
Diptera sp. (harva) Diptera sp. (pupa)		Sphaeridium ?bipustulatum Fabricius	rf
Diptera sp. (pupa)  Diptera sp. (puparium)		Cercyon analis (Paykull)	rt
Dipera sp. (puparium)		Cercyon haemorrhoidalis (Fabricius)	rf
Hymenoptera		Cercyon terminatus (Marsham)	rf
Formicidae sp		Cercyon ?tristis (Illiger)	oad
Hymenoptera Aculeata spp.		Cercyon ustulatus (Preyssler)	oad
Hymenoptera sp.		Cercyon spp. indet.	u
Trymenopiera sp.		Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	rt
Trichoptera		Hydrobius fuscipes (Linnaeus)	oaw
Trichoptera sp.		Laccobius sp.	oaw
		Enochrus sp.	oaw
Lepidoptera		Hydrophilinae spp. indet.	oaw
Lepidoptera sp. (larva)		Onthophilus striatus (Forster)	rt
		Histerinae sp.	u
Coleoptera		Ochthebius minimus (Fabricius)	oaw
Elaphrus cupreus Duftschmid	oad	Ochthebius sp. indet.	oaw
Loricera pilicornis (Fabricius)	oa	Hydraena britteni or rufipes	oaw
Dyschirius ?globosus (Herbst)	oa	Hydraena testacea Curtis	oaw
Clivina ?fossor (Linnaeus)	oa	Limnebius sp.	oaw
Trechus obtusus or quadristriatus	oa†	Ptenidium sp.	rt
Bembidion lampros or properans	oa	Acrotrichis sp.	rt
Bembidion ?gilvipes Sturm	oad	Leiodinae sp.	u
Bembidion obtusum Serville	oa	?Ptomaphagus sp.	u
Bembidion ?biguttatum (Fabricius)	oad	Nargus velox (Spence)	u
Bembidion sp. indet.	oa	Catops sp.	u
Pterostichus cupreus (Linnaeus)	oa	Colon sp.	u
Pterostichus (Poecilus) sp. indet.	oa	Silpha atrata Linnaeus	u
Pterostichus melanarius (Illiger)	ob	Silpha sp.	u
Pterostichus nigrita (Paykull)	oad	Silphidae sp. indet.	u
Pterostichus oblongopunctatus (Fabricius)	oa	Micropeplus porcatus (Paykull)	rt
Pterostichus vernalis (Panzer)	oa	Micropeplus staphylinoides (Marsham)	rt
Pterostichus sp. indet. ob		Micropeplus sp. indet.	rt
Calathus ?ambiguus (Paykull)	oa	Megarthrus sp.	rt
Calathus fuscipes (Goeze)	oa	Anthobium ?atrocephalum (Gyllenhal)	oa
Calathus melanocephalus (Linnaeus)	oa	Anthobium sp. indet.	oa
Calathus sp. indet.	oa	Olophrum sp.	oa

Acidota cruentata Mannerheim	oa	Oxyomus sylvestris (Scopoli)	rt
Lesteva heeri Fauvel	oad	Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	oap
Lesteva longoelytrata (Goeze)	oad	Cyphon sp.	oad
Lesteva sp. indet.	oad	Byrrhidae sp.	oap
Omalium caesum or italicum	rt	Dryops sp.	oad
Omalium ?rivulare (Paykull)	rt	Agrypnus murinus (Linnaeus)	oap
Omalium sp. indet.	rt	Agrypnus murinus (larva)	1
Omaliinae sp.	u	Athous haemorrhoidalis (larva)	
Carpelimus bilineatus Stephens	rt	Athous hirtus (Herbst)	oap
Carpelimus rivularis (Motschulsky)	obd	Athous sp. indet.	oap
Carpelimus sp.	u	Selatosomus ?incanus (Gyllenhal)	oa
Aploderus caelatus (Gravenhorst)	rt	Agriotes obscurus (Linnaeus)	oap
Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	rf	?Agriotes sp. indet.	oap
Platystethus degener Mulsant & Rey	oad	Elateridae spp. indet.	ob
Platystethus cornutus group	oad	Elateridae sp. indet. (larva)	
Platystethus nitens (Sahlberg)	oad	Melasis buprestoides (Linnaeus)	1
Platystethus nodifrons (Mannerheim)	oad	Cantharis sp.	ob
Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	rtd†	Rhagonycha ?lignosa (Muller)	ob
Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	rt	Cantharidae sp.	ob
Anotylus sculpturatus group	rt	?Anobium sp.	1
Oxytelus sculptus Gravenhorst	rt	Brachypterus sp.	oap
Stenus spp.	u	Meligethes spp.	oap
Lathrobium sp.	u	Nitidulidae sp.	u
Rugilus rufipes Germar	rt	Atomaria spp.	rd
?Rugilus sp. indet.	rt	Ephistemus globulus (Paykull)	rd
Othius punctulatus (Goeze)	rt	Phalacridae sp.	oap
Gyrohypnus ?angustatus Stephens	rt	?Sericoderus lateralis (Gyllenhal)	rt
Gyrohypnus sp. indet.	rt	Orthoperus sp.	rt
Xantholinus gallicus or linearis	rt	Coccinellidae sp.	oap
Xantholinus ?linearis (Olivier)	rt	Lathridius minutus group	rd
Xantholinus linearis group indet.	rt	Enicmus histrio/transversus.	rt
Philonthus spp.	u	?Corticaria sp.	rt
Quedius sp.	u	Corticarina sp.	rt
Staphylininae sp. indet.	u	Corticariinae sp. indet.	rt
Mycetoporus sp.	u	Lagria sp.	oap
Tachyporus solutus Erichson	u	?Chrysolina sp.	oap
Tachyporus sp.	u	Gastrophysa viridula (Degeer)	oap
Tachinus ?signatus Gravenhorst	u	Phaedon sp.	oap
Tachinus sp.	u	Prasocuris phellandrii (Linnaeus)	oapd
Cordalia obscura (Gravenhorst)	rt	Chrysolina or Chrysomela sp.	oap
Falagria thoracica Stephens	rt	Chrysomelinae sp. indet.	oap
Falagria or Cordalia sp. indet.	rt	Phyllotreta spp.	oap
?Dinaraea sp.	u	Aphthona sp.	oap
Drusilla canaliculata (Fabricius)	u	Longitarsus sp.	oap
Oxypoda sp.	u	Chaetocnema concinna (Marsham)	oap
Aleocharinae spp.	u	?Chaetocnema sp. indet.	oap
Staphylinidae sp.	u	Halticinae sp. indet.	oap
Tychus ?niger (Paykull)	u	Chrysomelidae sp.	oap
?Pselaphidae sp.	u	Apion (Ceratapion) onopordi Kirby	oap
Geotrupes sp.	oarf	Apion (Ceratapion) sp. indet.	oap
Colobopterus haemorrhoidalis (Linnaeus)	oarf	Apion (Eutrichapion) minimum Herbst	oap
Aphodius villosus Gyllenbal	oarf	Apion (Protapion) sp.	oap
Aphodius villosus Gyllenhal	oap	Apion spp.	oap
Aphodius spp.	obrf	Phyllobius or Polydrusus sp.	oap

Sitona humeralis Stephens	oap
Sitona lineatus (Linnaeus)	oap
Sitona spp. indet.	oap
Tanysphyrus lemnae (Paykull)	oawp
Sitophilus granarius (Linnaeus)	g
Notaris acridulus (Linnaeus)	oadp
Notaris sp. indet.	oadp
Cidnorhinus quadrimaculatus (Linnaeus)	oap
Ceutorhynchus erysimi (Fabricius)	oap
Ceutorhynchus floralis (Paykull)	oap
Ceutorhynchus parvulus Brisout	oap
Ceutorhynchus ?pollinarius (Forster)	oap
Ceutorhynchus sp. indet.	oap
Ceuthorhynchinae sp.	oap
Curculionidae spp.	oa†
Coleoptera spp.	u
Coleoptera spp. (larva)	

Insecta sp. pupa

# Arachnida

Acarina sp.

Aranae sp.

Opiliones sp.

Pseudoscorpiones sp.

Table 2. List of taxa and numbers of records of plants possibly or probably used for food (group FOOS), as a medicinal herbs (group HERB), or for litter (group USEF), by phase for samples of Romano-British date from excavations on the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass.

FOOS: Cerealia indet., Crataegus monogyna, Daucus carota, Prunus spinosa, Rubus fruticosus agg., R. idaeus, Sambucus nigra, Corylus avellana

HERB: Marrubium vulgare, Nepeta cataria

USEF: Pteridium aquilinum (stalk fgts)

Period	Phase	FOOS	HERB	USEF
Prehistoric	1	1	-	-
Romano- British	2/3/4	3	1	-
	3	7	6	1
	3/4	2	1	-
	4	-	2	-
	6	2	-	-
	7	6	2	-
	?	2	-	-

Table 3. Taxa scored in the vegetation group ARTE (Artemisietea—communities of biennial and perennial herbs typically found on roadsides, in hedgerows and on neglected waste ground with a high nutrient status) from samples of Romano-British date from the excavations on the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass and some other sites of similar date. G—from late Romano-British (C4th) deposits at Glebe Farm, near Brigg, S. Humberside; N—from Romano-British (C2nd-C4th) samples at North Cave, N. Humberside. Of these taxa, only Eupatorium cannabinum was missing from the list from N. Cave; taxa marked (G) were identified only tentatively at Glebe Farm. Taxa from the latter site scored in ARTE but absent at Leven were Melissa officinalis, Pastinaca sativa and Stellaria cf. neglecta

Urtica dioica NG
Moehringia trinervia N
Silene vulgaris N
S. alba N
Ranunculus Section Ranunculus NG
Descurainia sophia N
Brassica rapa NG
Filipendula ulmaria N
Rubus fruticosus agg. NG
Malva sylvestris NG
Chaerophyllum temulentum N
Anthriscus caucalis N

Conium maculatum N
Torilis japonica N
Daucus carota N(G)
Marrubium vulgare N
Galeopsis Subgenus Galeopsis NG
Nepeta cataria N
Hyoscyamus niger NG
Solanum dulcamara N(G)
Eupatorium cannabinum
Arctium sp(p). N
Sonchus oleraceus N
Lapsana communis N

Table 4. Statistics concerning the vegetation group ARTE (explained in caption to Table 3) for samples of Romano-British date from excavations on the Leven Brandesburton by-pass and from some other sites of similar date in the area.

Site/period	Mean (Min, Max) % ARTE taxa	Mean (Min, Max) AIV	No. samples
Leven-Brandesburton by-pass			
2 (EC2)	0	0	1
2/3/4	26.5	30.0	1
3 (?C3)	29.1 (22.4, 35.3)	28.4 (19, 42)	5
3/4	26.8	29.0	1
4 (LC4)	25.0 (0, 57.1)	10.3 (0, 20)	3
6 (LC4)	15.6 (0, 100.0)	0.5 (0, 2)	8
7 (LC4)	25.9 (0, 66.7)	4.6 (0, 22)	13
All R/B	23.0 (0, 100.0)	9.3 (0, 42)	32
North Cave			
2 (EC2)	17.0 (0, 33.0)	3.7 (0, 7)	3
3 (LC2-EC3)	18.0 (0, 50.0)	12.1 (0, 29)	27
4 (LC3-LC4)	8.8 (0, 26.0)	8.4 (0, 26)	12
All R/B	15.3 (0, 50.0)	10.5 (0, 29)	42
Glebe Farm			
Mid/Late C4	21.0 (20.0, 22.0)	17.5 (10, 25)	2

*Table 5. Main statistics for the assemblages of adult beetles and bugs from scan- and rapid-scan* recorded subsamples from excavations on the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass. Parallel data for the sites at North Cave and Glebe Farm are added for comparison. For P%NOB etc: P%Nx -'period percentage', i.e. percentage based all individuals from that phase and parameter. For explanation of abbreviations see Appendix Table A4. For 'number of assemblages', the number in parentheses indicates the number assemblages with 20 of individuals. For the  $\alpha$  values, the number in parentheses indicates the number of assemblages where the value of  $\alpha$  exceeded its error, or half its standard error; other standard values have been excluded from calculation of means, and  $\alpha$  values have not in any case been calculated for assemblages of less than 20 individuals. Note the small number of cases available for some calculations. \* – first number is mean for all assemblages, number in parentheses is mean for assemblages where N>0; †—see text for comment on the single record from this category.

	Leven-Brandesburton	North Cave	Glebe Farm	
	by-pass			
Number of assemblages (no. with N>0)	38 (8)	28 (14)	1	
S	14.9 (40.5)*	35.2 (47.0)*	233	
N	19.4 (57.7)*	50.0 (66.7)*	796	
Where SE alpha less	than alpha:			
α	213.0 (7)	146.0 (14)	111.0 (1)	
α ΟΒ	118.0 (7)	111.0 (10)	60.0 (1)	
αRT	31.0 (4)	29.3 (6)	26.0 (1)	
Where SE alpha less than alpha/2:				
α	156 (6)	137.0 (9)	111.0 (1)	
α ΟΒ	87 (4)	98.1 (6)	60.0 (1)	

	Leven-Brandesburton by-pass	North Cave	Glebe Farm
αRT	27 (3)	23.6 (5)	26.0 (1)
Total no. individuals	739	1402	796
Phase percentages			
P%NOB	57.9	60.3	53.9
P%NW	12.9	7.4	26.3
P%ND	10.7	9.5	10.3
P%NP	23.4	32.3	17.1
P%NM	0.0	0.0	0.0
P%NL	0.3	0.9	1.1
P%NG	0.1†	0.0	0.0
P%NRT	27.5	23.5	33.4
P%NRD	2.0	3.3	6.7
P%NRF	6.5	4.4	4.0

Table 6. Vertebrate remains: species identifications and numbers of fragments per recorded context (IA = Iron Age, remaining contexts being Romano-British).

Context	Cattle	Caprovid	Pig	Horse	Unidentified
47	3				12
55	1				14
179 (IA)	4				12
218	3				
231	10	1	1		
258		1			9
282	1				
286	2				4
290	4				4
331	1				17
336	26	1	1		
337	7				
345	1			1	13
358					10
370	1				
378	4				16
441	4				2
458	4				25
468	5			10	21
472	1			1	6
480	1				8
537	-				19
543	2				
633	1				
Total (24)	86	3	2	12	192

# **Appendix**

# Plant data

Table A1. Lists of plant taxa and other components recorded from samples from excavations on the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass in context/sample order. For each subsample, 'other components' are listed first, then identified plant remains in taxonomic order.

Context: 11		Stellaria media		1		
Sample: 12/T		Conium maculatum		2	Context: 97	
Pre-Quaternary megaspores	2	Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	1		Sample: 112/T	
coal	2				flint gravel	2
flint gravel	1	Context: 47			herbaceous detritus	1
root/rootlet fgts	1	Sample: 108/X			sand	2
sand	3	Pre-Quaternary megaspores		1		
		charcoal		1	Urtica dioica 1	
		coal		1	Chenopodium Sect. Pseudoblitum	1
Sample: 15/T		flint gravel		4	Rubus cf. fruticosus agg.	1
'char'	1	iron-rich concretions		1	Conium maculatum	1
?coal	1	root/rootlet fgts		1	Hyoscyamus niger	1
charcoal	1	sand		2	Juncus bufonius	1
flint gravel	1				Gramineae	1
root/rootlet fgts	1	Urtica dioica		1		
sand	3	Spergula arvensis		1		
		Conium maculatum		1	Context: 202	
Bilderdykia convolvulus (ff)	1				Sample: 270/T	
Montia fontana ssp. chondrosperma	1				?bryozoa	2
		Context: 68			Daphnia (ephippia)	1
		Sample: 88/T			Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1
Context: 13		Pre-Quaternary megaspores		1	charcoal	1
Sample: 14/T		coal		2	earthworm egg caps	1
charcoal	1	flint gravel		2	flint gravel	2
charred herbaceous detritus	1	root/rootlet fgts		1	sand	4
flint gravel	1	sand		3		
pottery	1				Urtica dioica	3
root/rootlet fgts	1				Polygonum aviculare agg.	1
sand	3	Context: 74			Rumex $sp(p)$ .	3
		Sample: 78/T			Chenopodium Sect. Pseudoblitum	1
cf. Corylus avellana (ch)	1	charcoal		2	Stellaria media	1
Montia fontana ssp. chondrosperma	1	flint gravel		1	Ranunculus Sect. Ranunculus	1
cf. Danthonia decumbens	1	root/rootlet fgts		1	Ranunculus sceleratus	1
					Potentilla sp(p).	1
					Viola sp(p).	1
Context: 43		Context: 90			Viola sp(p). (caps segs)	1
Sample: 107/T		Sample: 143/TA			Anthriscus caucalis	2
charcoal	1	Pre-Quaternary megaspores		1	Conium maculatum	2
flint gravel	1	charred ?herbaceous detritus		1	Marrubium vulgare	1
iron-rich concretions	2	flint gravel		1	Lamium Sect. Lamiopsis	1
root/rootlet fgts	1	herbaceous detritus		1	Stachys sp(p).	1
wood fgts	1	sand		3	Nepeta cataria	1
**					Hyoscyamus niger	1
Urtica dioica	1				Plantago major	1

C 1 (C' : ()	1			D ( CH C	
Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	1	E:1:1 (-: f-4-)	1	Potentilla cf. erecta	1
Sonchus asper	1	Filicales (pinn fgts)	1	Anthriscus caucalis	2
Juncus bufonius	1 1	cf. Myrica gale Urtica dioica	1 3	Conium maculatum Torilis japonica	2
Carex sp(p).	1	Urtica uioica Urtica urens	2	Marrubium vulgare	1
		Polygonum persicaria	1	Lamium Sect. Lamiopsis	1 1
Sample, 271/T		Polygonum lapathifolium	1	Prunella vulgaris	1
Sample: 271/T Daphnia (ephippia)	1	Rumex sp(p).	2	Hyoscyamus niger	1
earthworm egg caps	1	Rumex sp(p). Rumex acetosella agg.	1	Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	2
flint gravel	1	Chenopodium Sect. Pseudoblitum	3	Sonchus asper	1
sand 3	1	Chenopodium album	1	Lapsana communis	1
sand 3		Atriplex sp(p).	1	Juncus bufonius	1
Urtica dioica	3	Stellaria media	3	Gramineae	1
Polygonum persicaria	1	Spergula arvensis	1	Danthonia decumbens	1
Rumex sp(p).	1	Raphanus raphanistrum (s)	1	Carex sp(p).	1
Chenopodium Sect. Pseudoblitum	3	Potentilla cf. erecta	1	Sphagnum sp(p). (lvs)	2
Stellaria media	1	Anthriscus caucalis 2	1	Hylocomium splendens	1
Ranunculus Sect. Ranunculus	1	Conium maculatum	1	Try locolinum spielidens	1
Ranunculus sceleratus	2	Marrubium vulgare	2		
Rubus fruticosus agg.	1	Lamium Sect. Lamiopsis	1	Context: 317	
Viola sp(p).	1	Stachys sp(p).	1	Sample: 318/T	
Conium maculatum	2	Hyoscyamus niger	1	Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1
Lamium Sect. Lamiopsis	1	Campanula cf. rotundifolia	1	charcoal	1
Stachys sp(p).	1	Anthemis cotula	1	coal	1
Hyoscyamus niger	2	Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	2	flint gravel	1
Plantago major	1	Sonchus asper	1	sand	3
Lapsana communis	1	Juncus bufonius	1		
Juncus bufonius	1	Carex sp(p).	1	Urtica dioica	2
Carex sp(p).	1	Sphagnum sp(p). (lvs)	1	Ranunculus Subgenus Batrachium	3
Content 250		Contont 200		Content 217	
Context: 258 Sample: 374/T		Context: 290 Sample: 288/TAC		Context: 317 Sample: 318/T	
herbaceous detritus	1	Daphnia (ephippia)	1	Rubus idaeus	1
nerbaceous detritus	1	beetles	1	cf. Chaerophyllum temulentum	1
Urtica dioica	2	fly puparia	1	Conium maculatum	1
Stellaria media	1	root/rootlet fgts	1	Lamium Sect. Lamiopsis	1
Anthriscus caucalis	1	wood fgts	1	Solanum nigrum	1
Conium maculatum	1	wood igts	1	Sambucus nigra	1
Marrubium vulgare	1	Urtica dioica	3	Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	1
Lamium Sect. Lamiopsis	1	Urtica urens	1	Juncus bufonius	1
Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	1	Polygonum aviculare agg.	1	Gramineae	1
cardady chorain sp(p).	-	Polygonum persicaria	1	Lemna sp(p).	1
		Rumex sp(p).	1	Sample: 319/T	•
Sample: 375/TA		Rumex acetosella agg.	1	Daphnia (ephippia)	1
?peat fgts	1	Chenopodium Sect. Pseudoblitum	1	charcoal	1
Daphnia (ephippia)	2	Chenopodium album	1	earthworm egg caps	1
Heterodera (cysts)	1	Atriplex sp(p).	1	flint gravel	1
beetles	2	Montia fontana ssp. chondrosperma	1	mites	1
charcoal	1	Stellaria media	2	root/rootlet fgts	1
earthworm egg caps	1	Spergula arvensis	1	sand	3
flint gravel	1	Ranunculus Sect. Ranunculus	1		
root/rootlet fgts	1	Ranunculus sceleratus	1	Urtica dioica	3
sand	2	Rubus fruticosus agg.	1	Urtica urens	1

Polygonum persicaria	1			Urtica dioica	3
Polygonum lapathifolium	1			Urtica urens	2
Rumex acetosella agg.	1	Sample: 383/T		Polygonum aviculare agg.	2
Chenopodium album	1	charcoal	1	Polygonum persicaria	1
Atriplex sp(p).	1	coal	1	Polygonum lapathifolium	1
Montia fontana ssp. chondrosperma		flint gravel	2	Rumex sp(p).	1
Moehringia trinervia	1	root/rootlet fgts	1	Rumex acetosella agg.	2
Stellaria media	1	sand	3	Chenopodium album	1
Stellaria graminea	1	sand	3	Atriplex sp(p).	1
Ranunculus Sect. Ranunculus	1	Chenopodium album	1	Stellaria media	2
		Atriplex sp(p).	1	Silene vulgaris	1
Ranunculus Subgenus Batrachium	1			9	
Papaver argemone	1	Spergula arvensis	1	Silene alba	1
Brassica rapa	1	Sambucus nigra	1	Ranunculus Sect. Ranunculus	1
Rubus idaeus	1			Ranunculus flammula	1
Rubus fruticosus agg.	1			Papaver argemone	1
Potentilla cf. erecta	1	Sample: 673/T		Descurainia sophia	1
Hypericum sp(p).	1	charcoal	1	Thlaspi arvense	1
Conium maculatum	1	coal	1	Brassica cf. rapa	1
Marrubium vulgare	1	flint gravel	2	Raphanus raphanistrum (pod segs/fg	ts)
Galeopsis Subgenus Galeopsis	1	sand	4		2
Stachys sp(p).	1			Raphanus raphanistrum (s)	1
Solanum nigrum 1		Polygonum aviculare agg.	1	Rubus fruticosus agg.	1
Solanum dulcamara	1	Spergula arvensis	1	cf. Rosa sp(p). (prickles)	1
Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	1			Potentilla cf. erecta	1
Centaurea cf. nigra (inv br)	1			Prunus spinosa (fgts)	1
Sonchus asper	2	Context: 345		Linum catharticum	1
Juncus bufonius	1	Sample: 638/T		Hydrocotyle vulgaris	1
Gramineae	1	Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1	Chaerophyllum temulentum	1
Eurhynchium sp(p).	1	charcoal	1	Anthriscus caucalis	1
J 147		flint gravel	2	Aethusa cynapium 1	
		iron-rich concretions	1	Conium maculatum	1
Context: 336		sand	3	Daucus carota	1
Sample: 430/T		Suite	5	Anagallis arvensis	1
Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1	Urtica dioica	1	Menyanthes trifoliata	1
charcoal	1	Rumex sp(p). (ch)	1	Marrubium vulgare	1
flint gravel	1	Ranunculus sceleratus	1	Prunella vulgaris	1
iron-rich concretions	2	Rubus idaeus	1	Hyoscyamus niger	2
sand	3	Conium maculatum	2	Solanum nigrum	1
Sand	3				
TTuring diving	1	Marrubium vulgare	1	Euphrasia sp./Odontites verna	2
Urtica dioica	1	Hyoscyamus niger	1	Sambucus nigra	3
Rubus sp(p).	1	Eleocharis palustris sl	1	Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	1
Conium maculatum (mf)	1			Sonchus asper	1
				Eleocharis palustris sl	1
		Context: 351		Carex sp(p).	1
Context: 337		Sample: 352/T		Thuidium tamariscinum	1
Sample: 382/T		Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1	Drepanocladus sp(p).	1
flint gravel	2	beetles	1	cf. Hypnum cupressiforme	1
root/rootlet fgts	1	charcoal	1		
sand	3	coal	1		
		flint gravel	1	Context: 370	
Urtica dioica	1	root bark/epidermis fgts	1	Sample: 391/T	
Spergula arvensis	2	sand	3	?umbel fgts	1
Ranunculus sceleratus	1			Daphnia (ephippia)	1
Carex sp(p).	1	Filicales (pinn fgts)	1	Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1
				· -	

earthworm egg caps	1	root/rootlet fgts	1		
flint gravel	2	sand	3		
fly puparia	1	Sand	5	Context: 436	
sand	3	Polygonum aviculare agg.	1	Sample: 782/T	
wood fgts	1	Chenopodium album	1	charcoal	2
wood Igus	1	Scirpus setaceus	1	flint gravel	1
Urtica dioica	3	Scripus scraecus	1	root/rootlet fgts	1
Urtica urens	2			sand	2
Polygonum aviculare agg.	1	Context: 405		Sand	_
Polygonum persicaria	1	Sample: 498/T			
Polygonum lapathifolium	1	?bryozoa	1	Context: 455	
Rumex sp(p).	1	Daphnia (ephippia)	3	Sample: 491/T	
Rumex acetosella agg.	1	beetles	2	charcoal	1
Chenopodium Sect. Pseudoblitum	1	earthworm egg caps	1	flint gravel	1
Chenopodium album	1	flint gravel	1	root/rootlet fgts	2
Atriplex sp(p).	1	mites	1	sand	2
Montia fontana ssp. chondrosperma		sand	2	Sand	_
Stellaria media	1	wood fgts	2	Stellaria media	1
Spergula arvensis	1	wood igts	2	Stenara media	1
Ranunculus Sect. Ranunculus	1	Alnus glutinosa	1		
Papaver argemone	1	Urtica dioica	4	Context: 458	
Brassica rapa	1	Polygonum aviculare agg.	1	Sample: 459/T	
Raphanus raphanistrum (pod segs/f		Polygonum persicaria	2	charcoal	1
Raphanus Taphanistium (pou segs/1	1	Rumex sp(p).	2	coal	1
Raphanus raphanistrum (s)	1	Atriplex sp(p).	1	flint gravel	1
Rubus fruticosus agg.	1	Montia fontana ssp. chondrosperma		iron-rich concretions	1
Chaerophyllum temulentum	1	Stellaria media	2	root/rootlet fgts	1
Anthriscus caucalis	2	Ranunculus Sect. Ranunculus	1	sand	4
Conium maculatum	1	Ranunculus Subgenus Batrachium	2	Sand	7
Menyanthes trifoliata	1	Rubus idaeus	1	Polygonum aviculare agg.	1
Marrubium vulgare	1	Aphanes microcarpa	1	Spergula arvensis	1
Stachys sp(p).	1	Crataegus monogyna	1	Context: 493	•
Prunella vulgaris	1	Malva sylvestris	1	Sample: 497/T	
Hyoscyamus niger	1	Chaerophyllum temulentum	2	Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1
Solanum nigrum 1	•	Aethusa cynapium	1	flint gravel	2
Solanum dulcamara	1	Conium maculatum (mf)	1	root/rootlet fgts	1
Scrophularia sp(p).	1	Pastinaca sativa/Heracleum	1	sand	4
Pedicularis palustris	1	sphondylium	1	Suria	-
Sambucus nigra	2	Torilis japonica	2	Polygonum aviculare agg.	1
Arctium sp(p).	1	cf. Callitriche sp(p).	_	Spergula arvensis	1
Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	1	Marrubium vulgare	1	Spergula at ventil	-
Sonchus asper	1	Lamium Sect. Lamiopsis	1		
Gramineae	1	Stachys sp(p).	1	Context: 541	
Scirpus setaceus	1	Solanum nigrum	1	Sample: 546/T	
Carex sp(p).	1	Solanum dulcamara	1	charcoal	1
Sphagnum sp(p). (lvs)	1	Scrophularia sp(p).	3	charred herbaceous detritus	1
Drepanocladus sp(p).	1	Plantago major	1	flint gravel	3
Hylocomium splendens	1	Sambucus nigra	2	root/rootlet fgts	1
y-seoman spienaens	-	Arctium sp(p).	1	sand	4
		Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	2		
Context: 401		Sonchus asper	1	Filicales (ch pinn fgts)	1
Sample: 727/T		Zannichellia palustris	1	Spergula arvensis	1
flint gravel	1	Gramineae	1		1
iron-rich concretions	1	Cratoneuron filicinum	1		
	-		-		

				Filipendula ulmaria	1
Context: 544		Context: 696		Rubus sp(p).	1
Sample: 566/T		Sample: 697/TA		Potentilla cf. erecta	2
?root bark/epidermis fgts	1	?root bark/epidermis fgts	1	Crataegus sp./Prunus spinosa (thorn	
bone fgts	1	charcoal	1	Leguminosae (cal)	1
charcoal	1	flint gravel	1	Linum catharticum	1
flint gravel	3	sand	3	Malva sylvestris	1
root/rootlet fgts	1			Viola sp(p). (caps segs)	1
sand	4	Cerealia indet.	1	Anthriscus caucalis	2
				Conium maculatum	2
Urtica dioica	1			Calluna vulgaris (fls)	1
		Context: 712		Marrubium vulgare	1
		Sample: 726/T		Galeopsis Subgenus Galeopsis	1
Context: 567		charcoal	1	Stachys sp(p).	2
Sample: 569/T		flint gravel	1	Nepeta cataria	1
Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1	root/rootlet fgts	1	Prunella vulgaris	1
charcoal	1	sand	3	Hyoscyamus niger	3
charred ?herbaceous detritus	1			Solanum nigrum	1
coal	1	Spergula arvensis	1	Pedicularis palustris	1
root/rootlet fgts	1			Rhinanthus sp(p).	1
				Sambucus nigra	1
Chenopodium album	1	Context: 716		Eupatorium cannabinum	1
Montia fontana ssp. chondrosperma		Sample: 725/TA		Anthemis cotula	1
(ch)	1	Daphnia (ephippia)	3	Carduus/Cirsium sp(p).	1
Spergula arvensis	1	Pre-Quaternary megaspores	1	Sonchus asper	2
		charcoal	1	Sonchus oleraceus	1
		coal	1	Zannichellia palustris	1
Context: 639		dicot lf fgts	1	Juneus bufonius	1
Sample: 645/T		earthworm egg caps	1	Luzula sp(p).	1
charcoal	1	fly puparia	1	Gramineae	1
flint gravel	2	sand	2	Carex sp(p).	1
root/rootlet fgts	1	wood fgts	1	Sphagnum sp(p). (shts)	2
sand	3			Aulacomnium palustre	1
		Filicales (pinn fgts)	1	Calliergon cuspidatum	1
Polygonum aviculare agg.	1	Pteridium aquilinum (stalk fgts)	1	cf. Hypnum cupressiforme	1
Rumex acetosella agg.	1	Urtica dioica	4	Rhytidiadelphus sp(p).	1
Chenopodiaceae	1	Urtica urens	1	Hylocomium splendens	2
Spergula arvensis	2	Polygonum aviculare agg.	2		
		Polygonum persicaria	1		
		Polygonum lapathifolium	2	Context: 722	
Context: 680		Rumex sp(p).	1	Sample: 723/T	
Sample: 682/T1	_	Rumex acetosella agg.	1	?root bark/epidermis fgts	1
root/rootlet fgts	2	Chenopodium Sect. Pseudoblitum	3	charcoal	1
flint gravel	1	Chenopodium album	2	flint gravel	1
sand	2	Atriplex sp(p).	1	iron-rich concretions	2
Populus sp(p). (b/bs)	1	Stellaria sp(p).	1	root/rootlet fgts	1
cf. Quercus sp(p). (b/bs)	1	Stellaria media	3	sand	3
Rubus idaeus 1	1	Cerastium sp(p).	1		
Viola sp(p).	1	Ranunculus Sect. Ranunculus	2		
Stachys sp(p).	1	Ranunculus sardous	2		
Sambucus nigra	1	Ranunculus sceleratus	3		
Potamogeton sp(p).	2	Papaver argemone	1		
Characeae	4	Cruciferae 1	1		
		Brassica rapa	1		

Table A2. Abundance-indicator (AIV) values for a series of groups representing plant ecology and uses for the samples from excavations on the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass. The groups are explained in Table A3.

Context: 11	Sample 12/T				No. taxa	17
No. taxa	_	0	Context: 74	Sample 78/T		
			No. taxa 0		Unclassified	
Context: 11	Sample:				UNCL	0
15/T			Context: 90	Sample 143/TA		
No. taxa		2	No. taxa	0	Uses	
					FOOS	3
Vegetation			Context: 97	Sample:		
ISNA		3	112/T		Vegetation	
CHEN		2	No. taxa	7	CHEN	22
MOCA		1			ARTE	19
			Unclassified		RHPR	10
Context: 13	Sample:		UNCL	0	QUFA	9
14/T					MOAR	8
No. taxa		3	Uses		ALNE	6
			FOOS	3	SECA	5
Uses					ISNA	3
FOOS		1	Vegetation		FEBR	2
			ARTE	7	PHRA	1
Vegetation			CHEN	4		
ISNA		3	BIDE	3		
MOAR		1	ALNE	2	Context: 258	Sample: 374/T
			NACA	1	No. taxa	7
Context: 43	Sample:					
107/T	_		Context: 202	Sample: 270/T	Unclassified	
No. taxa		4	No. taxa	22	UNCL	0
Unclassified			Unclassified		Uses	
UNCL		0	UNCL	0	HERB	1
Vegetation		_	Ecology		Vegetation	4.4
ARTE		6	CALC	2	ARTE	11
MOAR		4			CHEN	7
ALNE		2	Uses	_	ALNE	4
~ · · •			HERB	2	BIDE	2
Context: 47	Sample:				a	~ -
108/X		_	Vegetation		Context: 258	Sample:
No. taxa		3	ARTE	23	375/TA	
			CHEN	20	No. taxa	28
Ecology			BIDE	8		
FUGE		3	QUFA	7	Unclassified	
			ALNE	6	UNCL	0
Vegetation			ISNA	3	Ecology	
ARTE		4	FEBR	2	FUGE	3
SECA		3	PHRA	1		
ALNE		2			Mosses	
Context: 68	Sample 88/T				BOGS	3
No. taxa		0	Context: 202	Sample: 271/T		

Uses				Ecology	
HERB	2	Vegetation		FUGE	6
		POTA	9		
Vegetation		RHPR 8		Vegetation	
CHEN 46		ARTE	7	SECA 6	
BIDE	21	EPIL	6	CHEN	4
ARTE	20	CHEN	5	BIDE	3
SECA	16	ALNE	4	ALNE	2
EPIL	8	LEMN	3		
ALNE	6	MOAR	2	Context: 337	Sample: 383/T
MOAR	4			No. taxa	4
ISNA	3	Context: 317	Sample: 319/T		
CAKI	2	No. taxa	31	Ecology	
QUER	1			FUGE	3
		Unclassified			
Context: 290	Sample:	UNCL	0	Uses	
288/TA				FOOS	3
No. taxa	32	Mosses			
		UNCL	0	Vegetation	
Unclassified				CHEN	7
UNCL	0	Uses		SECA	3
		FOOS	6	BIDE	2
Ecology		FOOO	1		
FUGE	3			Context: 337	Sample: 673/T
		Vegetation		No. taxa	2
Mosses		CHEN	30		
BOGS	6	ARTE	22	Ecology	
GRAS	2	EPIL	14	FUGE	3
		QUFA	13		
Uses		RHPR	10	Vegetation	
FOOS	3	ALNE	8	SECA	5
HERB	1	ISNA	7	CHEN	4
		CAKI	4	PLAN	3
Vegetation		PHRA	3		
CHEN	36	MOCA	2	~	G
ARTE	26	QUER	1	Context: 345	Sample: 638/T
BIDE	14	G 224	G 1 430/F	No. taxa	8
MOAR	13	Context: 336	Sample: 430/T	TT 1 'C' 1	
RHPR	10	No. taxa	3	Unclassified	0
QUFA	9	Unclassified		UNCL	0
EPIL	8		0		
NACA	7	UNCL	U	Hann	
ALNE FEBR	6			Uses FOOS	2
CAKI	3 2	Vagatation		HERB	3
MOCA	1	Vegetation ARTE	4	ПЕКЬ	1
Context: 317		ALNE	2	Vegetation	
No. taxa	<b>Sample: 318/T</b> 12	ALNE	2	ARTE	11
110. taxa	12	Context: 337	Sample: 382/T	MOAR	6
Unclassified		No. taxa	4	EPIL	4
UNCL	0	110. taxa	7	BIDE	3
ONCL	U	Unclassified		ALNE	2
Uses		UNCL	0	1111111	2
FOOS	6	CITCL	J	Context: 351	Sample: 352/T
1000	U			Contrat. 331	Dumpic. JUM I

No. taxa	47	RHPR BIDE	12 11	Context: 458 No. taxa	<b>Sample: 459/T</b> 2
Unclassified		EPIL	10	No. taxa	2
	0			E1	
UNCL	0	ALNE	8	Ecology	
3.4		PLAN 7		FUGE 3	
Mosses		ISNA	6	**	
LIGN	3	PHRA	5	Vegetation	
SLIT	2	CAKI	4	SECA	5
HEMO	1	FEBR	2	CHEN	4
UNCL	0	MOCA	1	PLAN	3
Uses		Context: 401	Sample: 727/T	Context: 493	Sample: 497/A
FOOS	16	No. taxa	3	No. taxa	2
FOOO	1				
		Vegetation		Ecology	
Vegetation		CHEN	5	FUGE	3
CHEN	57	ISNA	3		
SECA	33	SECA	2	Vegetation	
ARTE	32	22011	_	SECA	5
MOAR	17	Context: 405	Sample: 498/T	CHEN	4
QUFA	15	No. taxa	34	PLAN	3
	13	No. taxa	34	FLAN	3
RHPR		II1		0 4 541	C 1 546/70
PLAN	12	Unclassified	0	Context: 541	Sample: 546/T
BIDE	10	UNCL	0	No. taxa	2
FEBR	9				
PHRA	7	Mosses		Ecology	
ALNE	6	DUNS	2	FUGE	3
LITT	4				
TRGE	3	Uses			
CAKI	2	FOOS	11	Vegetation	
OXSP	1	HERB	1	SECA	3
				CHEN	2
Context: 370	Sample: 391/T				
No. taxa	41	Vegetation			
		ARTE	30		
Unclassified		CHEN	26		
UNCL	0	RHPR	16		
01,02	v	QUFA	15		
Ecology		ALNE	13		
FUGE	3	EPIL	12		
Mosses	3	BIDE	10		
BOGS	3	ISNA			
			6		
GRAS	2	CAKI	4		
UNCL	0	MOCA	3		
**		FEBR	2		
Uses		LITT	1		
FOOS	9				
FOOO	1	Context: 455	Sample: 491/T		
		No. taxa	1		
Vegetation					
CHEN	45	Vegetation			
ARTE	29	BIDE	2		
SECA	21				
QUFA	13				

No. taxa	Context: 544	Sample: 566/T			ISNA	7
Vegetation	No. taxa	1		Sample:		
ALNE	Vegetation			1		3
ARTE         2         Uses         1         Context: 722         Sample: 723/T           QUFA         2         Context: 712         Sample: 726/T         No. taxa         0           RHPR         2         Context: 712         Sample: 726/T         No. taxa         0           Context: 569 Sample: 569/T         SECOlogy         FUGE         3         FUGE         4         FUGE         3         FUGE         4         FUGE         4         FUGE         4         FUGE         5         FUGE         FUGE         5         FUGE		2	110. taxa	1		
EPIL         2         FOOS         1         Context: 722         Sample: 723/T           QUFA         2         Context: 712         Sample: 726/T         No. taxa         0           RHPR         2         Context: 712         Sample: 726/T         No. taxa         0           Context: 567         Sample: 569/T           No. taxa         Ecology         FUGE         3         Context: 670         3         Context: 670         3         Context: 670         3         Context: 670         4         Context: 670         Context:			Uses		2232	-
Output				1	Context: 722	Sample: 723/T
RHPR         2         Context: 712 No. taxa         Sample: 726/T No. taxa         1           Context: 567 No. taxa         Sample: 569/T No. taxa         Secology FUGE         3           Ecology FUGE         3         Vegetation SECA         3           CHEN         2         CHEN         2           CHEN         1         725/TA         Sample: MoCA         1         725/TA         Sample: MoCA         1         725/TA         No. taxa         58         No. taxa         60         No. taxa         60         No. taxa         70         No. taxa         70         No. taxa         10         <						_
No. taxa			Context: 712	Sample: 726/T		
No. taxa			No. taxa	_		
FUGE	Context: 567	Sample: 569/T				
Ecology   FUGE	No. taxa	3	Ecology			
FUGE         3         Vegetation SECA         3           Vegetation         CHEN         2           CHEN         5           ISNA         3         Context: 716         Sample:           MOCA         1         725/TA         No. taxa         58           Context: 639         Sample: 645/T         No. taxa         58           Context: 639         Sample: 645/T         O         Unclassified           UNCL         0         Unclassified         O         O           UNCL         0         Ecology         CALC         2         Ecology           FUGE         6         Mosses         BOGS         8         Vegetation         FOGS         8         Vegetation         FOGS         8         FOGS         8         FOGS         8         FOGS         9         FOGS         9         FOGS         9         FOGS         9         FOGS         9         FOGS         1         FOGS         3         FOGS         9         FOGS         3         FO			FUGE	3		
SECA   3   CHEN   2						
Vegetation CHEN         CHEN         2           CHEN         5           ISNA         3         Context: 716         Sample:           MOCA         1         725/TA         No. taxa         58           Context: 639         Sample: 645/T           No. taxa         4         Unclassified UnCL         0           UNCL         0         Ecology CALC         2           Ecology         CALC         2           FUGE         6         Mosses BOGS 8           FUGE         6         Mosses BOGS 8           Vegetation         HEMO         7           CHEN         8         GRAS         6           PLAN         5         WOOF         5           EPIL         2         FENS         2           LIGN         1         1           Context: 680         Sample:         UNCL         0           682/T1         No. taxa         8         Uses           FOOS         3         1           Unclassified         HERB         2           UNCL         0         FOOS         3           FOOS         6         CHEN         70	FUGE	3				
CHEN						
ISNA		_	CHEN	2		
MOCA         1         725/TA No. taxa         58           Context: 639         Sample: 645/T         Volume         Sample: 645/T           No. taxa         4         Unclassified UNCL         0           Unclassified         UNCL         0           UNCL         0         Ecology           FUGE         6         Mosses           BOGS         8         Vegetation           CHEN         8         GRAS         6           PLAN         5         WOOF         5           EPIL         2         FENS         2           LIGN         1         1           Context: 680         Sample:         UNCL         0           682/T1         UNCL         0           No. taxa         8         Uses         FOOS         3           Unclassified         HERB         2         2           UNCL         0         FOOO         1           Uses         Vegetation         70           FOOS         6         CHEN         70           WOOD         2         ARTE         42           BIDE         32         SECA         31			~ · ·	~ .		
No. taxa				Sample:		
Context: 639   Sample: 645/T	MOCA	1		<b>7</b> 0		
No. taxa	G 4 4 620	C 1 (45/7)	No. taxa	58		
Unclassified UNCL  UNCL  0 Ecology CALC  CALC  2 Ecology FUGE  6 Mosses BOGS 8  Vegetation CHEN  8 GRAS 6 PLAN  5 WOOF  5 EPIL  1 EONCL  1 Context: 680 Sample: UNCL  0 682/T1  No. taxa  8 Uses FOOS  UNCL  0 FOOO  1  Uses  Vegetation FOOS  6 CHEN  FOOS  6 CHEN  8 Uses FOOS  1 UNCL  0 FOOO  1  Uses  Vegetation FOOS  6 CHEN  FOOS  FOOS  6 CHEN  FOOS  FO		_	I In alassifis d			
Unclassified UNCL  0 Ecology  FUGE  6 Mosses  BOGS 8  Vegetation  CHEN  8 GRAS  6 PLAN  5 WOOF  5 EPIL  2 FENS  2 LIGN  1 UNCL  0 682/T1  No. taxa  8 Uses  FOOS  3 Unclassified  UNCL  0 FOOO  1  Uses  Vegetation  FOOS  6 CHEN  70  WOOD  2 ARTE  FOOS  3 UNCL  USES  FOOS  6 CHEN  FOOS  1  Uses  FOOS  6 CHEN  FOOS  1  Uses  Vegetation  FOOS  6 CHEN  FOOS  7 CHEN  1 CONTENT OF THE PROOF OF THE PR	No. taxa	4		0		
UNCL 0 Ecology FUGE 6 Mosses	Unclassified		UNCL	U		
CALC   2		0	Ecology			
Ecology   FUGE	ONCL	O		2		
FUGE	Ecology		CILLE	2		
Vegetation         HEMO         7           CHEN         8         GRAS         6           PLAN         5         WOOF         5           EPIL         2         FENS         2           LIGN         1         1           Context: 680         Sample:         UNCL         0           682/T1         UNCL         0         0           No. taxa         8         Uses         FOOS         3           Unclassified         HERB         2         2           UNCL         0         FOOO         1           Uses         Vegetation         FOOS         6           FOOS         6         CHEN         70           WOOD         2         ARTE         42           BIDE         32         SECA         31           Vegetation         MOAR         18           CHAR         12         QUFA         15           POTA         6         EPIL         14           RHPR         4         RHPR         13           QUFA         3         PLAN         10           EPIL         2         NACA         9 <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>Mosses</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		6	Mosses			
Vegetation         HEMO         7           CHEN         8         GRAS         6           PLAN         5         WOOF         5           EPIL         2         FENS         2           LIGN         1         1           Context: 680         Sample:         UNCL         0           682/T1         UNCL         0         0           No. taxa         8         Uses         FOOS         3           Unclassified         HERB         2         2           UNCL         0         FOOO         1           Uses         Vegetation         70           WOOD         2         ARTE         42           BIDE         32           SECA         31           Vegetation         MOAR         18           CHAR         12         QUFA         15           POTA         6         EPIL         14           RHPR         4         RHPR         13           QUFA         3         PLAN         10           EPIL         2         NACA         9						
CHEN       8       GRAS       6         PLAN       5       WOOF       5         EPIL       2       FENS       2         LIGN       1       1         Context: 680 Sample:       UNCL       0         682/T1       No. taxa       8       Uses         FOOS       3       1         Unclassified       HERB       2         UNCL       0       FOOO       1         Uses       Vegetation       70         WOOD       2       ARTE       42         BIDE       32         SECA       31         Vegetation       MOAR       18         CHAR       12       QUFA       15         POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9	Vegetation			7		
PLAN       5       WOOF       5         EPIL       2       FENS       2         LIGN       1         Context: 680       Sample:       UNCL       0         682/T1       UNCL       0       0         No. taxa       8       Uses       Vegetation         FOOS       3       HERB       2         UNCL       0       FOOO       1         Uses       Vegetation       70         WOOD       2       ARTE       42         BIDE       32         SECA       31         Vegetation       MOAR       18         CHAR       12       QUFA       15         POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9		8	GRAS			
LIGN	PLAN	5	WOOF			
Context: 680 Sample:       UNCL       0         682/T1       Wo. taxa       8 Uses         FOOS       3         Unclassified       HERB       2         UNCL       0 FOOO       1         Uses       Vegetation         FOOS       6 CHEN       70         WOOD       2 ARTE       42         BIDE       32         SECA       31         Vegetation       MOAR       18         CHAR       12 QUFA       15         POTA       6 EPIL       14         RHPR       4 RHPR       13         QUFA       3 PLAN       10         EPIL       2 NACA       9	EPIL	2	FENS	2		
682/T1         No. taxa       8       Uses         FOOS       3         Unclassified       HERB       2         UNCL       0       FOOO       1         Uses       Vegetation         FOOS       6       CHEN       70         WOOD       2       ARTE       42         BIDE       32         SECA       31         Vegetation       MOAR       18         CHAR       12       QUFA       15         POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9			LIGN	1		
FOOS   3     Unclassified   HERB   2     UNCL   0   FOOO   1       Uses   Vegetation   FOOS   6   CHEN   70   WOOD   2   ARTE   42   BIDE   32   SECA   31   Vegetation   MOAR   18   CHAR   12   QUFA   15   POTA   6   EPIL   14   RHPR   4   RHPR   13   QUFA   3   PLAN   10   EPIL   2   NACA   9		Sample:	UNCL	0		
Unclassified       HERB       2         UNCL       0       FOOO       1         Uses       Vegetation       70         FOOS       6       CHEN       70         WOOD       2       ARTE       42         BIDE       32         SECA       31         Vegetation       MOAR       18         CHAR       12       QUFA       15         POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9	No. taxa	8				
UNCL         0         FOOO         1           Uses         Vegetation         70           FOOS         6         CHEN         70           WOOD         2         ARTE         42           BIDE         32         32           SECA         31           Vegetation         MOAR         18           CHAR         12         QUFA         15           POTA         6         EPIL         14           RHPR         4         RHPR         13           QUFA         3         PLAN         10           EPIL         2         NACA         9						
Uses         Vegetation           FOOS         6         CHEN         70           WOOD         2         ARTE         42           BIDE         32           SECA         31           Vegetation         MOAR         18           CHAR         12         QUFA         15           POTA         6         EPIL         14           RHPR         4         RHPR         13           QUFA         3         PLAN         10           EPIL         2         NACA         9						
FOOS       6       CHEN       70         WOOD       2       ARTE       42         BIDE       32         SECA       31         Vegetation       MOAR       18         CHAR       12       QUFA       15         POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9	UNCL	0	FOOO	1		
FOOS       6       CHEN       70         WOOD       2       ARTE       42         BIDE       32         SECA       31         Vegetation       MOAR       18         CHAR       12       QUFA       15         POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9	**		¥7			
WOOD       2       ARTE       42         BIDE       32         SECA       31         Vegetation       MOAR       18         CHAR       12       QUFA       15         POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9				70		
BIDE       32         SECA       31         Vegetation       MOAR       18         CHAR       12       QUFA       15         POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9						
SECA         31           Vegetation         MOAR         18           CHAR         12         QUFA         15           POTA         6         EPIL         14           RHPR         4         RHPR         13           QUFA         3         PLAN         10           EPIL         2         NACA         9	WOOD	2				
Vegetation         MOAR         18           CHAR         12         QUFA         15           POTA         6         EPIL         14           RHPR         4         RHPR         13           QUFA         3         PLAN         10           EPIL         2         NACA         9						
CHAR       12       QUFA       15         POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9	Vegetation					
POTA       6       EPIL       14         RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9		12				
RHPR       4       RHPR       13         QUFA       3       PLAN       10         EPIL       2       NACA       9						
QUFA 3 PLAN 10 EPIL 2 NACA 9						
EPIL 2 NACA 9						
	QUER		ALNE	8		

### Table A3. Explanation of groups used in Table A2.

F 1			
Ecology		IGNIA	grassland
CALC	Calcicolous plants	ISNA	Short-lived dwarf rush communities of
FUGE	Calcifuge plants		winter-wet (often sandy) habitats, pond
			edges, etc.
Mosses		LEMN	Free-floating aquatic communities of
BOGS	Mosses found in bogs		eutrophic waters
DUNS	Mosses of dune-slacks	LITT	Rooted aquatic vegetation at the edge of
FENS	Mosses of fens		(usually) oligotrophic waters
GRAS	Mosses of grassland	MOAR	Plants of grassland, including the wetter
HEMO	Mosses of heathland/moorland		hay meadows and pastures, and adjacent
LIGN	Mosses of living and dead bark and		paths
	wood	MOCA	Plants of oligotrophic springs and flushes,
MARS	Mosses of marshes		mainly upland
OLIT	Mosses of drier, unshaded rocks, stones,	NACA	Plants of grass and
	and walls		dwarf-shrub- (typically Calluna-)
SLIT	Mosses of shaded, moist rocks, stones,		dominated dry heaths and moors
	and walls	OXSP	Plants of raised bogs and wet heaths
SOIL	Mosses of bare, usually well-drained soil	PHRA	Freshwater reedswamp communities
	in unshaded places	PLAN	Plant communities of trampled places
WOOF	Mosses of woodland floor habitats,	POTA	Rooted aquatic vegetation of still or
	principally humus and litter		slow-moving water
		QUER	Deciduous woodland on poorer soils
Uses		QUFA	Deciduous woodland on better soils
FOOO	Plants with oil-seeds	RHPR	Woodland edge scrub communities
FOOS	Plants forming a major component of	RUPP	Submerged communities of brackish
	diet - cereals, pulses, nuts, fruit,		water
	vegetables	SCCA	Communities of poor and intermediate
HERB	Plants used for medicinal purposes	Beer 1	fens (acid to mildly basic peat)
USEF	Plants useful in some way other than	SECA	Weeds of cereal fields
CSEI	those already defined	SESC	Established vegetation of sand dunes and
WOOD	Parts of woody plants other than	BLBC	other sandy acidic soils
WOOD	fruits/seeds	SESL	Montane dwarf-shrub heaths and
	Tutto/seeds	SESE	grassland, mainly on calcareous
Vegetation			substrates (some taxa not exclusively
ALNE	Plants of alder carr		montane)
ARTE	Nitrophilous tall-herb weed communities	TRGE	Species rich communities of
AKIE	1	INGE	<u>*</u>
	of waste places, river banks, waysides		grassland/scrub boundaries, often
DIDE	and hedgerows		calcicolous
BIDE	Nitrophilous weed communities of pond		
	edges, ditches and other places subject to		
CATT	periodic inundation		
CAKI	Nitrophilous weedy communities of		
~~~	shingle beaches and sandy strandlines		
CHAR	Submerged aquatic vegetation dominated		
	by Characeae		
CHEN	Nitrophilous weed communities of		
	cultivated and other disturbed land		
	(especially rootcrop fields and gardens)		
EPIL	Nitrophilous woodland edge and clearing		
	communities		
FEBR	Plants of drier, typically calcareous,		

### **Insect data**

Table A4. Main statistics and species lists in rank order for the scan, rapid-scan and semi-quantitatively rapid-scan recorded assemblages from Ribchester, Lancashire. Nomenclature follows Kloet and Hincks (1964-77). Main statistics (other than S and N) are given only where N was greater than 9.

Site: LEV92; Context: 11; Sample: 12/T					NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS				
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS					Site: LEV92; Context: 97; Sample: 112/T	Site: LEV92; Context: 97; Sample: 112/T			
Site: LEV92; Context: 13; Sample: 14/T					NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS				
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS					Site: LEV92 Context: 202 Sample: 270/T - beetle/bu <sub>1</sub> statistics	g main			
Site: LEV92; Context: 11; Sample: 15/T									
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS					Erosion = 4; Fragmentation = 4; Weight = 1.000kg				
					Number of individuals estimated as	N = 17			
					Number of taxa	S = 17			
Site: LEV92 Context: 43 Sample: 107/T -	beetl	e/bug	mai	n	Index of diversity not calculated, $n = s$ or $n < 20$				
statistics					Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa SOA = 11				
					Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA = 65			
Erosion = $0$ Fragmentation = $0$ ; Weight = $1$ .	000k	g			Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA = 11			
					Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA = 65			
Number of individuals estimated as				N = 1	Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 12			
Number of taxa				S = 1	Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB = 71			
					Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB = 12			
					Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	%NOB = 71			
Site: LEV92 Context: 43 Sample: 107/T -	speci	ies list	in r	ank order	Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or N	NOB < 20			
					Number of aquatic taxa	SW = 3			
Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes	Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW = 18			
					Number of aquatic individuals	NW = 3			
Coleoptera sp.	1	100	1	u	Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW = 18			
					Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD = 1			
					Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD = 6			
Site: LEV92; Context: 47; Sample: 108/B					Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND = 1			
					Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND = 6			
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS					Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP = 6			
					Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP = 35			
					Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP = 6			
Site: LEV92; Context: 68; Sample: 88/T					Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	% NP = 35			
					Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM = 0			
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS					Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM = 0			
					Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM = 0			
Site: LEV92; Context: 74; Sample: 78/T					Number of wood-associated taxa	SL = 0			
					Number of wood-associated individuals	NL = 0			
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS					Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL = 0			
Site: LEV92; Context: 90; Sample: 143/T	A				Number of decomposer taxa	SRT = 1			
					Percentage of decomposer taxa	% SRT = 6			

North and decrease in dividual.				NDT 1	Name of the state of any ball and an arrangement	COD 0	
Number of decomposer individuals				NRT = 1	Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 8	
Percentage of decomposer individuals				%NRT = 6	Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa  %SOB = 62		
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa				SRD = 0	Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB = 8	
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa				%SRD = 0	Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	%NOB = 62	
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals				NRD = 0	Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or No		
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals			(	%NRD = 0	Number of aquatic taxa	SW = 1	
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa				SRF = 0	Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW = 8	
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa				%SRF = 0	Number of aquatic individuals	NW = 1	
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals				NRF = 0	Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW = 8	
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	3			%NRF = 0	Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD = 0	
Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT	S' = SF	RT or	NRT -	< 20	Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD = 0	
Number of individuals of grain pests				NG = 0	Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND = 0	
Percentage of individuals of grain pests				%NG = 0	Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND = 0	
Number of individuals of grain pests				NG = 0	Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP = 3	
Number of uncoded taxa				SU = 4	Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP = 23	
Percentage of uncoded individuals				PNU = 24	Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP = 3	
					Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	% NP = 23	
					Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM = 0	
Site: LEV92 Context: 202 Sample: 270/I	' - spe	ecies l	ist in	rank	Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM = 0	
order					Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM = 0	
					Number of wood-associated taxa	SL = 0	
Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes	Number of wood-associated individuals	NL = 0	
					Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL = 0	
Scolopostethus sp.	1	6	1	oa p	Number of decomposer taxa	SRT = 3	
Corixidae sp.	1	6	1	oa w	Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT = 23	
Philaenus or Neophilaenus sp.	1	6	1	oa p	Number of decomposer individuals	NRT = 3	
Auchenorhyncha sp.	1	6	1	oa p	Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT = 23	
Colymbetes fuscus (Linnaeus)	1	6	1	oa w	Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD = 0	
Cercyon sp.	1	6	1	u	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD = 0	
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	1	6	1	rt	Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD = 0	
Dytiscidae sp. or Hydrophilidae sp.	1	6	1	oa w	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals %NRD = 0		
?Ptomaphagus sp.	1	6	1	u	Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = 1	
Omaliinae sp.	1	6	1	u	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	% SRF = 8	
Tachinus sp.	1	6	1	u	Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF = 1	
Elateridae sp.	1	6	1	ob	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF = 8	
Apion sp.	1	6	1	oa p	Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT or NR	T < 20	
Notaris acridulus (Linnaeus)	1	6	1	oa d p	Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0	
Ceutorhynchus sp.	1	6	1	oa p	Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG = 0	
Curculionidae sp. A	1	6	1	oa	Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0	
Curculionidae sp. B	1	6	1	oa	Number of uncoded taxa	SU = 3	
					Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU = 23	

# Site: LEV92 Context: 202 Sample: 271/T - beetle/bug main statistics

Erosion = 1 Fragmentation = 1; Weight = 1.000kg

Number of individuals estimated as	N = 13
Number of taxa	S = 13
Index of diversity not calculated, $n = s$ or $n < 20$	
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA = 5
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA = 38
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA = 5
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA = 38

Site: LEV92 Context: 202 Sample: 271	/T - spe	ecies l	ist in	Number of decomposer taxa SRT = 7		
order	•				Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT = 29
					Number of decomposer individuals	NRT = 7
Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes	Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT = 29
					Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD = 2
Lygaeidae sp.	1	8	1	oa p	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD = 8
Carabidae sp.	1	8	1	ob	Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD = 2
Helophorus sp.	1	8	1	oa w	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD = 8
Cercyon sp.	1	8	1	u	Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = 1
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	1	8	1	rt	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	% SRF = 4
Onthophilus striatus (Forster)	1	8	1	rt	Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF = 1
Tachinus ?signatus Gravenhorst	1	8	1	u	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF = 4
Aphodius sp.	1	8	1	ob rf	Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT or	NRT < 20
Elateridae sp.	1	8	1	ob	Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0
Chrysomelinae sp.	1	8	1	oa p	Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG = 0
Ceutorhynchus sp.	1	8	1	oa p	Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0
Curculionidae sp.	1	8	1	oa	Number of uncoded taxa	SU = 5
Coleoptera sp.	1	8	1	u	Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU = 21
Site: LEV92 Context: 258 Sample: 374	/T - be	etle/b	ug ma	ain		
statistics			-		Site: LEV92 Context: 258 Sample: 374/T - species li order	ist in rank

#### Erosion = 4 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

Number of wood-associated individuals

Percentage of wood-associated individuals

#### Taxon R Number of individuals estimated as N = 24Stygnocoris ?pedestris (Fallen) S = 24Number of taxa 4 1 oa p Index of diversity not calculated, n = s or n < 20Lygaeidae sp. 1 oa p Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa SOA = 9Auchenorhyncha sp. 1 oa p Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa %SOA = 38Dyschirius ?globosus (Herbst) 1 oa Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA = 9Carabidae sp. A 1 ob Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals %NOA = 38Carabidae sp. B 4 1 ob SOB = 134 Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa Helophorus sp. 1 oa w %SOB = 54Megasternum obscurum (Marsham) 4 Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa 1 1 rt Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals NOB = 13?Lesteva sp. 4 1 oa d Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals %NOB = 54Omaliinae sp. 4 1 u 4 Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or NOB < 20 1 Platystethus nitens (Sahlberg) 1 oa d Number of aquatic taxa SW = 1Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius) 1 rt %SW = 44 Percentage of aquatic taxa Anotylus sculpturatus group rt NW = 1Number of aquatic individuals Stenus sp. 1 u %NW = 4Xantholinus gallicus or linearis 4 Percentage of aquatic individuals 1 rt Number of damp ground/waterside taxa SD = 2Tachinus sp. 4 1 %SD = 8Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa Aphodius sp. 1 ob rf Number of damp ground/waterside individuals ND = 2Elateridae sp. 1 ob %ND = 84 Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals Atomaria sp. 1 rd Number of strongly plant-associated taxa SP = 4Ephistemus globulus (Paykull) 4 rd Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa %SP = 17Chrysomelinae sp. 1 1 oa p 4 Number of strongly plant-associated individuals NP = 4Curculionidae sp. 1 1 oa Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals %NP = 17Coleoptera sp. A 1 4 1 Number of heathland/moorland taxa SM = 0Coleoptera sp. B NM = 0Number of heathland/moorland individuals Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals %NM = 0Site: LEV92 Context: 258 Sample: 375/TA - beetle/bug main Number of wood-associated taxa SL = 0statistics

Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

NL = 0

%NL = 0

Site: LEV92 Context: 258 Sample:	375/TA -	· species l	ist in 1	rank
order				

		Site. LE V92 Context. 230 Sample. 37	3/1A - S	pecies	inst ii	1 I alik
Number of individuals estimated as	N = 96	order				
Number of taxa	S = 78					
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha = 193	Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha $= 49$					
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA = 36	Ochthebius minimus (Fabricius)	5	5	1	oa w
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA = 46	Stygnocoris ?pedestris (Fallen)	3	3	2	oa p
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA = 44	Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	3	3	2	rt d
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA = 46	?Drymus sp.	2	2	4	oa p
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 40	Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	2	2	4	rt
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 51	Carpelimus sp.	2	2	4	u
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB = 49	Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	2	2	4	rt
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	s %NOB = 51	Anotylus sculpturatus group	2	2	4	rt
Index of diversity of outdoor component	alpha OB = 100	Xantholinus ?linearis (Olivier)	2	2	4	rt
Standard error	SE alpha OB = 36	Falagria or Cordalia sp.	2	2	4	rt
Number of aquatic taxa	SW = 8	Aleocharinae sp. A	2	2	4	u
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW = 10	Aphodius sp. B	2	2	4	ob rf
Number of aquatic individuals	NW = 13	Tanysphyrus lemnae (Paykull)	2	2	4	oa w p
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW = 14	Pentatomidae sp.	1	1	14	oa p
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD = 8	?Stygnocoris sp.	1	1	14	oa
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD = 10	Scolopostethus sp.	1	1	14	oa p
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND = 10	Anthocoris sp.	1	1	14	oa p
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	% ND = 10	Saldidae sp.	1	1	14	oa d
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP = 15	Aphrodes flavostriatus (Donovan)	1	1	14	oa p d
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP = 19	Auchenorhyncha sp.	1	1	14	oa p u
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP = 19	Psylla sp.	1	1	14	oa p
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP = 20	Trechus obtusus or quadristriatus	1	1	14	oa p oa
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM = 0	•	1	1	14	oa
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM = 0	Bembidion sp. Pterostichus cupreus (Linnaeus)	1	1	14	oa
	%NM = 0 $%NM = 0$	•	1	1	14	oa
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	% NVI = 0 SL = 0	Harpalus sp.	1	1	14	
Number of wood-associated taxa		Dromius ?melanocephalus Dejean		1		oa
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL = 0	Carabidae sp.	1		14	ob
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL = 0	Hydroporus sp.	1	1	14	oa w
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT = 23	Colymbetinae sp.	1	1	14	oa w
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT = 29	Helophorus aquaticus (Linnaeus)	1	1	14	oa w
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT = 31	Helophorus nubilus Fabricius	1	1	14	oa
Percentage of decomposer individuals	% NRT = 32	Helophorus sp.	1	1	14	oa w
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD = 2	Cercyon ?tristis (Illiger)	1	1	14	oa d
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD = 3	Cercyon ustulatus (Preyssler)	1	1	14	oa d
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD = 2	Cercyon sp.	1	1	14	u
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD = 2	Hydrobius fuscipes (Linnaeus)	1	1	14	oa w
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = 3	Hydrophilinae sp.	1	1	14	oa w
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF = 4	Onthophilus striatus (Forster)	1	1	14	rt
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF = 4	Ptenidium sp.	1	1	14	rt
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF = 4	Acrotrichis sp.	1	1	14	rt
Index of diversity of decomposer component	alpha $RT = 41$	Catops sp.	1	1	14	u
Standard error	SE alpha RT = 17	Micropeplus sp.	1	1	14	rt
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0	Megarthrus sp.	1	1	14	rt
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG = 0	Anthobium sp.	1	1	14	oa
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0	Omalium caesum or italicum	1	1	14	rt
Number of uncoded taxa	SU = 17	Omalium ?rivulare (Paykull)	1	1	14	rt
Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU = 20	Aploderus caelatus (Gravenhorst)	1	1	14	rt
		Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	1	1	14	rf
		Platystethus cornutus group	1	1	14	oa d

Platystethus nitens (Sahlberg)	1	1	14	oa d	Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD = 3
Oxytelus ?sculptus Gravenhorst	1	1	14	rt	Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD = 8
Stenus sp. A	1	1	14	u	Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND = 4
Stenus sp. B	1	1	14	u	Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND = 9
Xantholinus sp.	1	1	14	u	Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP = 9
Philonthus sp.	1	1	14	u	Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP = 23
Tachyporus sp.	1	1	14	u	Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP = 11
?Oxypoda sp.	1	1	14	u	Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	% NP = 25
Aleocharinae sp. B	1	1	14	u	Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM = 0
Aleocharinae sp. C	1	1	14	u	Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM = 0
Aleocharinae sp. D	1	1	14	u	Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM = 0
Aleocharinae sp. E	1	1	14	u	Number of wood-associated taxa	SL = 0
Staphylinidae sp.	1	1	14	u	Number of wood-associated individuals	NL = 0
Aphodius sp. A	1	1	14	ob rf	Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL = 0
Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	1	1	14	oa p	Number of decomposer taxa	SRT = 10
?Cyphon sp.	1	1	14	oa d	Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT = 26
Elateridae sp.	1	1	14	ob	Number of decomposer individuals	NRT = 13
Brachypterus sp.	1	1	14	oa p	Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT = 30
Nitidulidae sp.	1	1	14	u	Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD = 1
Atomaria sp.	1	1	14	rd	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD = 3
Phalacridae sp.	1	1	14	oa p	Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD = 1
Orthoperus sp.	1	1	14	rt	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD = 2
Coccinellidae sp.	1	1	14	oa p	Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = 2
Lathridius minutus group	1	1	14	rd	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	% SRF = 5
Enicmus histrio/transversus.	1	1	14	rt	Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF = 3
Lathridiidae sp.	1	1	14	rt	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF = 7
Aphthona sp.	1	1	14	oa p	Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT or N	NRT < 20
?Phyllobius or Polydrusus sp.	1	1	14	oa p	Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 1
Coleoptera sp.	1	1	14	u	Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG = 2
					Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 1
					Number of uncoded taxa	SU = 9
Site: LEV92 Context: 290 Sample: 28	88/TA - b	eetle	/bug n	nain	Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU = 20

## Site: LEV92 Context: 290 Sample: 288/TA - beetle/bug main statistics

### Erosion = 2 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

# Site: LEV92 Context: 290 Sample: 288/TA - species list in rank order

		oruci				
Number of individuals estimated as	N = 44					
Number of taxa	S = 39	Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha = 161					
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha = 74	Brachypterus sp.	3	7	1	oa p
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA = 18	Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	2	5	2	rt d
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA = 46	Xantholinus ?linearis (Olivier)	2	5	2	rt
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA = 20	Aphodius sp. A	2	5	2	ob rf
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA = 45	Heterogaster urticae (Fabricius)	1	2	5	oa p
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 21	Scolopostethus sp.	1	2	5	oa p
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB = 54	Auchenorhyncha sp.	1	2	5	oa p
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB = 24	Hygrotus sp.	1	2	5	oa w
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	%NOB = 55	Helophorus sp.	1	2	5	oa w
Index of diversity of outdoor component	alpha OB = 77	Cercyon sp.	1	2	5	u
Standard error S	E alpha OB = 45	Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	1	2	5	rt
Number of aquatic taxa	SW = 5	Hydrobius fuscipes (Linnaeus)	1	2	5	oa w
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW = 13	Laccobius sp.	1	2	5	oa w
Number of aquatic individuals	NW = 5	Enochrus sp.	1	2	5	oa w
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW = 11	Lesteva ?longoelytrata (Goeze)	1	2	5	oa d

Site: LEV92 Context: 317 Sample: 318/T - species list in rank

?Carpelimus sp.	1	2	5	u	Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP = 42
Platystethus nitens (Sahlberg)	1	2	5	oa d	Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM = 0
Anotylus sculpturatus group	1	2	5	rt	Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM = 0
Cordalia obscura (Gravenhorst)	1	2	5	rt	Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM = 0
Oxypoda sp.	1	2	5	u	Number of wood-associated taxa	SL = 0
Aleocharinae sp. A	1	2	5	u	Number of wood-associated individuals	NL = 0
Aleocharinae sp. B	1	2	5	u	Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL = 0
Aleocharinae sp. C	1	2	5	u	Number of decomposer taxa	SRT = 2
Aleocharinae sp. D	1	2	5	u	Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT = 17
Aleocharinae sp. E	1	2	5	u	Number of decomposer individuals	NRT = 2
Tychus ?niger (Paykull)	1	2	5	u	Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT = 17
Aphodius sp. B	1	2	5	ob rf	Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD = 0
Oxyomus sylvestris (Scopoli)	1	2	5	rt	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD = 0
Agrypnus murinus (Linnaeus)	1	2	5	oa p	Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD = 0
?Athous sp.	1	2	5	oa p	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD = 0
Selatosomus ?incanus (Gyllenhal)	1	2	5	oa	Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = 2
Elateridae sp.	1	2	5	ob	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF = 17
Atomaria sp.	1	2	5	rd	Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF = 2
Orthoperus sp.	1	2	5	rt	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF = 17
Chrysomelinae sp.	1	2	5	oa p	Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT or I	NRT < 20
Sitophilus granarius (Linnaeus)	1	2	5	g	Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0
Ceutorhynchus ?floralis (Paykull)	1	2	5	oa p	Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG = 0
Ceuthorhynchinae sp.	1	2	5	oa p	Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0
Curculionidae sp.	1	2	5	oa	Number of uncoded taxa	SU = 1
					Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU = 8

#### Site: LEV92 Context: 317 Sample: 318/T - beetle/bug main statistics

#### Erosion = 4 Fragmentation = 4; Weight = 1.000kg

		Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes
Number of individuals estimated as	N = 12					
Number of taxa	S = 12	Colymbetinae sp.	1	8	1	oa w
Index of diversity not calculated, $n = s$ or $n < 20$		Histerinae sp.	1	8	1	u
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA = 8	Aphodius sp. A	1	8	1	ob rf
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA = 67	Aphodius sp. B	1	8	1	ob rf
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA = 8	Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	1	8	1	oa p
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA = 67	Dryops sp.	1	8	1	oa d
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 11	?Elateridae sp.	1	8	1	ob
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB = 92	Tanysphyrus lemnae (Paykull)	1	8	1	oa w p
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB = 11	Notaris sp.	1	8	1	oa d p
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	%NOB = 92	Cidnorhinus quadrimaculatus (Linnaeus)	1	8	1	oa p
Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or N	Ceuthorhynchinae sp.	1	8	1	oa p	
Number of aquatic taxa	SW = 2	Curculionidae sp.	1	8	1	oa
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW = 17					
Number of aquatic individuals	NW = 2					
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW=17	Site: LEV92 Context: 317 Sample: 319/T	- bee	tle/bu	g ma	in
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD = 2	statistics				
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD = 17					
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND = 2	Erosion = $3$ Fragmentation = $3$ ; Weight = $1$	.000kg	g		
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND = 17					
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP = 5	Number of individuals estimated as	N =	61		
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP = 42	Number of taxa				S = 58
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP = 5	Index of diversity (alpha)			a	pha = 560

order

Standard error of alpha		CE al	lpha = 317	Brachypterus sp.	3	5	1	00.5
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa			SOA = 28	Enicmus sp.	2	3	2	oa p rt
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa			SOA = 28 $SOA = 48$	•	1	2	3	
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals			SOA = 46 $NOA = 30$	Heterogaster urticae (Fabricius)	1	2	3	oa p
				Globiceps sp.	1	2	3	oa p
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals		%0.	NOA = 49	Aphrodes sp.				oa p
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor ta		0/	SOB = 35	Auchenorhyncha sp.	1	2	3	oa p
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor			SOB = 60	Clivina ?fossor (Linnaeus)	1	2	3	oa
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor in			NOB = 37	Bembidion sp.	1	2	3	oa
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor in	idividuals		NOB = 61	Pterostichus melanarius (Illiger)	1	2	3	ob
Index of diversity of outdoor component		•	OB = 302	?Harpalus sp.	1	2	3	oa
Standard error	SE	alpha	OB = 205	Carabidae sp. A	1	2	3	ob
Number of aquatic taxa			SW = 5	Carabidae sp. B	1	2	3	ob
Percentage of aquatic taxa %SW =	9			Hydroporinae sp.	1	2	3	oa w
Number of aquatic individuals			NW = 5	Helophorus sp.	1	2	3	oa w
Percentage of aquatic individuals			%NW = 8	Cercyon terminatus (Marsham)	1	2	3	rf
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa			SD = 2	Cercyon sp.	1	2	3	u
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa			%SD = 3	Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	1	2	3	rt
Number of damp ground/waterside individu	ıals		ND = 2	Hydrophilinae sp.	1	2	3	oa w
Percentage of damp ground/waterside indiv	iduals		%ND = 3	Onthophilus striatus (Forster)	1	2	3	rt
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa			SP = 14	Limnebius sp.	1	2	3	oa w
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	ı		%SP = 24	Colon sp.	1	2	3	u
Number of strongly plant-associated individ	luals		NP = 16	Micropeplus staphylinoides (Marsham)	1	2	3	rt
Percentage of strongly plant-associated indi	viduals		%NP = 26	Olophrum sp.	1	2	3	oa
Number of heathland/moorland taxa			SM = 0	Acidota cruentata Mannerheim	1	2	3	oa
Number of heathland/moorland individuals			NM = 0	Platystethus nitens (Sahlberg)	1	2	3	oa d
Percentage of heathland/moorland individua	als		%NM = 0	Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	1	2	3	rt
Number of wood-associated taxa			SL = 0	Anotylus sculpturatus group	1	2	3	rt
Number of wood-associated individuals			NL = 0	Lathrobium sp.	1	2	3	u
Percentage of wood-associated individuals			%NL = 0	Quedius sp.	1	2	3	u
Number of decomposer taxa			SRT = 15	Staphylininae sp.	1	2	3	u
Percentage of decomposer taxa		%	SRT = 26	Tachyporus sp.	1	2	3	u
Number of decomposer individuals			NRT = 16	Tachinus sp.	1	2	3	u
Percentage of decomposer individuals			NRT = 26	Falagria ?thoracica Stephens	1	2	3	rt
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa		70	SRD = 1	•	1	2	3	
• •			SRD = 1 SRD = 2	Aleocharinae sp. A	1	2	3	u
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa		7		Aleocharinae sp. B		2	3	u
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals		0	NRD = 1	Aleocharinae sp. C	1			u
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals		9	6 NRD = 2	Aphodius ?contaminatus (Herbst)	1	2	3	oa rf
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa			SRF = 4	Aphodius sp. A	1	2	3	ob rf
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa			%SRF = 7	Aphodius sp. B	1	2	3	ob rf
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals			NRF = 4	Oxyomus sylvestris (Scopoli)	1	2	3	rt
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals			%NRF = 7	Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	1	2	3	oa p
Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT	= SRT or	NRT <	< 20	Cyphon sp.	1	2	3	oa d
Number of individuals of grain pests			NG = 0	Elateridae sp.	1	2	3	ob
Percentage of individuals of grain pests			%NG = 0	Cantharidae sp.	1	2	3	ob
Number of individuals of grain pests			NG = 0	Meligethes sp. A	1	2	3	oa p
Number of uncoded taxa			SU = 11	Meligethes sp. B	1	2	3	oa p
Percentage of uncoded individuals			PNU = 18	Nitidulidae sp.	1	2	3	u
				Atomaria sp.	1	2	3	rd
				Orthoperus sp.	1	2	3	rt
Site: LEV92 Context: 317 Sample: 319/T	- species l	ist in 1	rank	Corticariinae sp.	1	2	3	rt
order				Chrysomelinae sp.	1	2	3	oa p
				Apion sp.	1	2	3	oa p
Taxon	n %	R	Ecodes	Tanysphyrus lemnae (Paykull)	1	2	3	oa w p
				Cidnorhinus quadrimaculatus (Linnaeus)	1	2	3	oa p
				1				r

Ceutorhynchus sp.	1	2	3	oa p	Si
Ceuthorhynchinae sp.	1	2	3	oa p	sta
Curculionidae sp. A	1	2	3	oa	
Curculionidae sp. B	1	2	3	oa	Er
					Nι
Site: LEV92; Context: 336; Sample:	430/T				Nι
					Inc
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BU	GS				Sta
					Nι
					Pe
Site: LEV92; Context: 337; Sample:	382/T				Nι
					Pe
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BU	GS				Nι
					Pe
					Nι
Site: LEV92; Context: 337; Sample:	383/T				Pe
					In
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BU	GS				St
					Nι
					Pe
Site: LEV92; Context: 337; Sample:	673/T				Nι

Site: LEV92; Context: 345; Sample: 638/T
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS

NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS

Site: LEV92	Context: 3	351 Sample:	352/T -	beetle/bug main
statistics				

Erosion = 4 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 3.000kg

	-
Number of individuals estimated as	N = 181
Number of taxa	S = 105
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha = 104
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha = 14
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA = 55
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA = 52
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA = 78
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA = 43
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 58
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor ta	xa %SOB = 55
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor indiv	viduals NOB = 82
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor indiv	viduals %NOB = 45
Index of diversity of outdoor component	alpha OB = 88
Standard error	SE alpha $OB = 20$
Number of aquatic taxa	SW = 5
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW = 5
Number of aquatic individuals	NW = 7
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW = 4
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD = 10
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD = 10
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND = 28
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individu	wals $\%$ ND = 15
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP = 29
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP = 28
Number of strongly plant-associated individua	ls $NP = 37$
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individ	Showing the second sec
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM = 0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM = 0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM = 0
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL = 2
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL = 2
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL = 1
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT = 31
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT = 30
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT = 64
Percentage of decomposer individuals	% NRT = 35
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD = 2
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD = 2
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD = 4
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD = 2
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = 6
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF = 6
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF = 13
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF = 7
Index of diversity of decomposer component	alpha $RT = 24$
Standard error	SE alpha $RT = 5$
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG = 0
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0

Number of uncoded taxa				SU = 18	Pterostichus oblongopunctatus (Fabricius)	1	1	33	oa
Percentage of uncoded individuals				PNU = 24	Agonum dorsale (Pontoppidan)	1	1	33	oa
					Amara sp.	1	1	33	oa
					?Harpalus sp.	1	1	33	oa
Site: LEV92 Context: 351 Sample: 352/	Г - spe	cies li	st in	rank	Hygrotus sp.	1	1	33	oa w
order	_				Helophorus aquaticus (Linnaeus)	1	1	33	oa w
					Sphaeridium ?bipustulatum Fabricius	1	1	33	rf
Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes	Cercyon sp. A	1	1	33	u
					Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	1	1	33	rt
Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	14	8	1	rt d	Hydrophilinae sp.	1	1	33	oa w
Aleocharinae sp. D	8	4	2	u	Onthophilus striatus (Forster)	1	1	33	rt
Aleocharinae sp. F	8	4	2	u	Acrotrichis sp.	1	1	33	rt
Aphodius contaminatus (Herbst)	7	4	4	oa rf	Silpha sp.	1	1	33	u
Platystethus nitens (Sahlberg)	5	3	5	oa d	Micropeplus porcatus (Paykull)	1	1	33	rt
?Dinaraea sp.	5	3	5	u	Micropeplus staphylinoides (Marsham)	1	1	33	rt
Cercyon analis (Paykull)	4	2	7	rt	Lesteva heeri Fauvel	1	1	33	oa d
Aleocharinae sp. E	4	2	7	u	Omalium ?rivulare (Paykull)	1	1	33	rt
Scolopostethus sp.	3	2	9	oa p	Omalium sp.	1	1	33	rt
Oxypoda sp.	3	2	9	u	Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	1	1	33	rf
Meligethes sp.	3	2	9	oa p	Platystethus cornutus group	1	1	33	oa d
Atomaria sp. A	3	2	9	rd	Lathrobium sp.	1	1	33	u
Enicmus histrio/transversus.	3	2	9	rt	Rugilus rufipes Germar	1	1	33	rt
Bembidion lampros or properans	2	1	14	oa	Xantholinus ?linearis (Olivier)	1	1	33	rt
Helophorus sp.	2	1	14	oa w	Tachinus sp.	1	1	33	u
Ochthebius minimus (Fabricius)	2	1	14	oa w	Falagria thoracica Stephens	1	1	33	rt
Anthobium sp.	2	1	14	oa	Aleocharinae sp. A	1	1	33	u
Lesteva longoelytrata (Goeze)	2	1	14	oa d	Aleocharinae sp. B	1	1	33	u
Carpelimus bilineatus Stephens	2	1	14	rt	Aleocharinae sp. G	1	1	33	u
Carpelimus sp.	2	1	14	u	Aleocharinae sp. H	1	1	33	u
Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	2	1	14	rt	Geotrupes sp.	1	1	33	oa rf
Anotylus sculpturatus group	2	1	14	rt	Colobopterus haemorrhoidalis (Linnaeus)	1	1	33	oa rf
Gyrohypnus sp.	2	1	14	rt	Aphodius villosus Gyllenhal	1	1	33	oa p
Philonthus sp.	2	1	14	u	Oxyomus sylvestris (Scopoli)	1	1	33	rt
Aleocharinae sp. C	2	1	14	u	Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	1	1	33	oa p
Aphodius sp.	2	1	14	ob rf	Cyphon sp.	1	1	33	oa d
Agrypnus murinus (Linnaeus)	2	1	14	oa p	?Dryops sp.	1	1	33	oa d
Brachypterus sp.	2	1	14	oa p	Athous hirtus (Herbst)	1	1	33	oa p
?Corticaria sp.	2	1	14	rt	?Athous sp.	1	1	33	oa p
Corticarina sp.	2	1	14	rt	?Selatosomus incanus (Gyllenhal)	1	1	33	oa
Apion (Protapion) sp.	2	1	14	oa p	Elateridae sp.	1	1	33	ob
Sitona lineatus (Linnaeus)	2	1	14	oa p	Melasis buprestoides (Linnaeus)	1	1	33	1
Heterogaster urticae (Fabricius)	1	1	33	oa p	Rhagonycha ?lignosa (Muller)	1	1	33	ob
Pachybrachius ?fracticollis (Schilling)	1	1	33	oa p	?Anobium sp.	1	1	33	1
Stygnocoris pedestris (Fallen)	1	1	33	oa p	Atomaria sp. B	1	1	33	rd
Heteroptera sp.	1	1	33	u	?Sericoderus lateralis (Gyllenhal)	1	1	33	rt
Agallia sp.	1	1	33	oa p	Orthoperus sp.	1	1	33	rt
Aphrodes ?bifasciatus (Linnaeus)	1	1	33	oa p d	Enicmus sp.	1	1	33	rt
Aphrodes flavostriatus (Donovan)	1	1	33	oa p d	Lagria sp.	1	1	33	oa p
Aphrodes sp.	1	1	33	oa p	Phyllotreta sp. A	1	1	33	oa p
Elaphrus cupreus Duftschmid	1	1	33	oa d	Phyllotreta sp. B	1	1	33	oa p
Loricera pilicornis (Fabricius)	1	1	33	oa	Chrysomelidae sp.	1	1	33	oa p
Trechus obtusus or quadristriatus	1	1	33	oa	Apion (Ceratapion) onopordi Kirby	1	1	33	oa p
Bembidion obtusum Serville	1	1	33	oa	Apion (Eutrichapion) minimum Herbst	1	1	33	oa p
Pterostichus cupreus (Linnaeus)	1	1	33	oa	Phyllobius or Polydrusus sp.	1	1	33	oa p

Sitona humeralis Stephens	1	1	33	oa p	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF = 10
Ceutorhynchus erysimi (Fabricius)	1	1	33	oa p	Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF = 6
Ceutorhynchus parvulus Brisout	1	1	33	oa p	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF = 11
Ceutorhynchus ?pollinarius (Forster)	1	1	33	oa p	Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT	or NRT < 20
Ceutorhynchus sp.	1	1	33	oa p	Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0
Coleoptera sp.	1	1	33	u	Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG = 0
					Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0
					Number of uncoded taxa	SU = 10
Site: LEV92 Context: 370 Sample: 391/	T - bee	etle/bi	ıg ma	in	Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU = 19

### Site: LEV92 Context: 370 Sample: 391/T - beetle/bug main statistics

### Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

## Site: LEV92 Context: 370 Sample: 391/T - species list in rank order

		order				
Number of individuals estimated as	N = 54					
Number of taxa	S = 48	Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha = 204					
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha $= 86$	Helophorus sp.	3	6	1	oa w
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA = 22	Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	2	4	2	rt d
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA = 46	Anotylus sculpturatus group	2	4	2	rt
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA = 25	Aphodius sp. B	2	4	2	ob rf
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA = 46	Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	2	4	2	oa p
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 28	Scolopostethus sp.	1	2	6	oa p
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB = 58	Auchenorhyncha sp.	1	2	6	oa p
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB = 32	Pterostichus (Poecilus) sp.	1	2	6	oa
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	%NOB = 59	Pterostichus sp.	1	2	6	ob
Index of diversity of outdoor component	alpha $OB = 104$	Calathus sp.	1	2	6	oa
Standard error	SE alpha OB = 53	Harpalus sp.	1	2	6	oa
Number of aquatic taxa	SW = 2	Metabletus sp.	1	2	6	oa
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW = 4	?Rhantus sp.	1	2	6	oa w
Number of aquatic individuals	NW = 4	Cercyon ?haemorrhoidalis (Fabricius)	1	2	6	rf
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW = 7	Cercyon sp.	1	2	6	u
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD = 2	Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	1	2	6	rt
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD = 4	Acrotrichis sp.	1	2	6	rt
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND = 3	Anisotomidae sp.	1	2	6	u
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND = 6	Silphidae sp.	1	2	6	u
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP = 11	Acidota cruentata Mannerheim	1	2	6	oa
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP = 23	Lesteva sp.	1	2	6	oa d
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP = 12	Omalium sp.	1	2	6	rt
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	% NP = 22	Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	1	2	6	rt
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM = 0	Xantholinus linearis group	1	2	6	rt
Number of heathland/moorland individuals $NM = 0$		Philonthus sp. A	1	2	6	u
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM = 0	Philonthus sp. B	1	2	6	u
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL = 0	Tachinus sp.	1	2	6	u
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL = 0	Aleocharinae sp. A	1	2	6	u
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL = 0	Aleocharinae sp. B	1	2	6	u
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT = 14	Aleocharinae sp. C	1	2	6	u
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT = 29	Aleocharinae sp. D	1	2	6	u
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT = 17	Geotrupes sp.	1	2	6	oa rf
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT = 31	Aphodius sp. A	1	2	6	ob rf
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD = 1	Aphodius sp. C	1	2	6	ob rf
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD = 2	?Agriotes sp.	1	2	6	oa p
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD = 1	Elateridae sp. A	1	2	6	ob
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD = 2	Elateridae sp. B	1	2	6	ob
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = 5	Atomaria sp.	1	2	6	rd

Orthoperus sp.	1	2	6	rt	Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT = 20
Phyllotreta sp.	1	2	6	oa p	Number of decomposer individuals	NRT = 21
Chaetocnema concinna (Marsham)	1	2	6	oa p	Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT = 17
Apion (Ceratapion) sp.	1	2	6	oa p	Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD = 2
Apion sp. A	1	2	6	oa p	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD = 2
Sitona sp. A	1	2	6	oa p	Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD = 3
Sitona sp. B	1	2	6	oa p	Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD = 2
Cidnorhinus quadrimaculatus (Linnaeus)	1	2	6	oa p	Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = 2
Curculionidae sp. A	1	2	6	oa	Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	% SRF = 2
Curculionidae sp. B	1	2	6	oa	Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals NR	F = 2
					Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF = 2
Site: LEV92; Context: 401; Sample: 727/	T'				Index of diversity of decomposer component	alpha $RT = 43$
					Standard error	SE alpha $RT = 25$
NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS					Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0
					Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG = 0
					Number of individuals of grain pests	NG = 0
Site: LEV92 Context: 405 Sample: 498/T	'A - b	eetle/	bug n	nain	Number of uncoded taxa	SU = 14
statistics					Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU = 13

Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

Site: L	EV92 Context: 405	Sample: 4	498/TA - s	pecies list in	rank
andan					

		Site 22 / >2 Content for Sumpler is	0, 111	pecie		
Number of individuals estimated as	N = 125	order				
Number of taxa	S = 87					
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha = 126	Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha = $23$					
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA = 52	Tanysphyrus lemnae (Paykull)	9	7	1	oa w p
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA = 60	Helophorus sp. A	8	6	2	oa w
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA = 84	Ochthebius minimus (Fabricius)	7	6	3	oa w
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA = 67	Trechus obtusus or quadristriatus	3	2	4	oa
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 58	Lesteva longoelytrata (Goeze)	3	2	4	oa d
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 67	Bembidion ?biguttatum (Fabricius)	2	2	6	oa d
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB = 90	Hydroporinae sp.	2	2	6	oa w
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	%NOB = 72	Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	2	2	6	rt
Index of diversity of outdoor component	alpha $OB = 70$	Hydrobius fuscipes (Linnaeus)	2	2	6	oa w
Standard error	SE alpha OB = 14	?Laccobius sp.	2	2	6	oa w
Number of aquatic taxa	SW = 18	Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	2	2	6	rt d
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW = 21	Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	2	2	6	rt
Number of aquatic individuals	NW = 42	Xantholinus sp.	2	2	6	u
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW = 34	Aleocharinae sp. B	2	2	6	u
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD = 7	Cyphon sp.	2	2	6	oa d
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD = 8	Atomaria sp. B	2	2	6	rd
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND = 12	Halticinae sp.	2	2	6	oa p
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND = 10	Phyllobius or Polydrusus sp.	2	2	6	oa p
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP = 21	Hydroporus dorsalis (Fabricius)	1	1	19	oa w
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP = 24	Pentatomidae sp.	1	1	19	oa p
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP = 31	Drymus ?brunneus (Sahlberg)	1	1	19	oa p
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP=25	Scolopostethus sp.	1	1	19	oa p
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM = 0	Heteroptera sp.	1	1	19	u
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM = 0	Philaenus or Neophilaenus sp.	1	1	19	oa p
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM = 0	Macropsis sp.	1	1	19	oa p
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL = 0	Aphrodes flavostriatus (Donovan)	1	1	19	oa p d
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL = 0	Auchenorhyncha sp. A	1	1	19	oa p
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL = 0	Auchenorhyncha sp. B	1	1	19	oa p
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT = 17	Auchenorhyncha sp. C	1	1	19	oa p

Loricera pilicornis (Fabricius)	1	1	19	oa	Apion sp. 1 1 19 oa p	n
Bembidion lampros or properans	1	1	19	oa	Ceutorhynchus floralis (Paykull) 1 1 19 oa p	•
Bembidion ?gilvipes Sturm	1	1	19	oa d	Curculionidae sp. 1 1 19 oa	r
Pterostichus sp.	1	1	19	ob	Coleoptera sp. 1 1 19 u	
Calathus melanocephalus (Linnaeus)	1	1	19	oa		
Amara sp.	1	1	19	oa		
?Harpalus sp.	1	1	19	oa	Site: LEV92; Context: 436; Sample: 782/T	
Carabidae sp. A	1	1	19	ob		
Carabidae sp. B	1	1	19	ob	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS	
Hydroporus sp.	1	1	19	oa w		
Potamonectes depressus elegans (Panzer)	1	1	19	oa w		
Agabus bipustulatus or striolatus (Linnaeus)	1	1	19	oa w	Site: LEV92; Context: 455; Sample: 491/T	
Agabus or Ilybius sp. A	1	1	19	oa w	•	
Agabus or Ilybius sp. B	1	1	19	oa w	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS	
Agabus or Ilybius sp. C	1	1	19	oa w		
Colymbetinae sp.	1	1	19	oa w		
Helophorus nubilus Fabricius	1	1	19	oa	Site: LEV92; Context: 458; Sample: 459/T	
Helophorus sp. B	1	1	19	oa w	-	
Hydraena britteni or rufipes	1	1	19	oa w	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS	
Hydraena testacea Curtis	1	1	19	oa w		
Limnebius sp.	1	1	19	oa w		
Leiodidae sp.	1	1	19	u	Site: LEV92; Context: 493; Sample: 497/T	
Silphidae sp.	1	1	19	u		
Micropeplus sp.	1	1	19	rt	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS	
Anthobium ?atrocephalum (Gyllenhal)	1	1	19	oa		
Omalium ?rivulare (Paykull)	1	1	19	rt		
Omalium sp.	1	1	19	rt	Site: LEV92; Context: 541; Sample: 546/T	
Omaliinae sp.	1	1	19	u		
Carpelimus bilineatus Stephens	1	1	19	rt	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS	
Carpelimus rivularis (Motschulsky)	1	1	19	ob d		
Carpelimus sp.	1	1	19	u		
Oxytelus sculptus Gravenhorst	1	1	19	rt		
Stenus sp.	1	1	19	u	Site: LEV92; Context: 544; Sample: 566/T	
Othius punctulatus (Goeze)	1	1	19	rt		
Cordalia obscura (Gravenhorst)	1	1	19	rt	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS	
Drusilla canaliculata (Fabricius)	1	1	19	u		
Aleocharinae sp. A	1	1	19	u	Site: LEV92; Context: 567; Sample: 569/T	
Aleocharinae sp. C	1	1	19	u	No prooppe of promise of proof	
?Scarabaeidae sp.	1	1	19	u	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS	
Aphodius contaminatus (Herbst)	1	1	19	oa rf		
Aphodius sp.	1	1	19	ob rf	CILL X EXXON CITTLE A CONTROL A CAPITE	
Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	1	1	19	oa p	Site: LEV92 Context: 639 Sample: 645/T - beetle/bug main	
?Athous sp.	1	1	19	oa p	statistics	
?Agriotes sp.	1	1	19	oa p	English O Francisco Co Weight 1 000lg	
?Cantharidae sp.	1	1	19	ob	Erosion = $0$ Fragmentation = $0$ ; Weight = $1.000$ kg	
Nitidulidae sp.	1	1	19	u d	Number of individuals estimated as	N = 3
Atomaria sp. A Phalacridae sp.	1	1 1	19	rd		N = 3 $S = 3$
Orthoperus sp.	1	1	19 19	oa p rt	Number of taxa	D – D
Enicmus sp.	1	1	19	rt		
?Corticarina sp.	1	1	19	rt	Site: LEV92 Context: 639 Sample: 645/T - species list in rank	
Chrysolina or Chrysomela sp.	1	1	19	oa p	order	
Longitarsus sp.	1	1	19	oa p	V2.002	
?Chaetocnema sp.	1	1	19	oa p	Taxon n % R Eco	odes
op.	•	-	-/	5 P	1 /v K LC0	. 203

Curculionidae sp.	1	33	1	oa
Coleoptera sp. A	1	33	1	u
Coleontera sn. R	1	33	1	11

### Site: LEV92; Context: 712; Sample: 726/T

## ext: 716 Sample: 725/TA - beetle/bug main

N = 104

entation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

Coleoptera sp. A	1	33	1	u	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUG
Coleoptera sp. B	1	33	1	u	
					Site: LEV92 Context: 716 Sample: 725 statistics
Site: LEV92 Context: 680 Sample: 682/ statistics	Г1 - b	eetle/l	oug n	nain	Erosion = 2 Fragmentation = 3; Weight =
Erosion = 0 Fragmentation = 0; Weight =	1.0001	kg			Number of individuals estimated as
Number of individuals estimated as				N = 4	Number of taxa $S = 79$ Index of diversity (alpha)
Number of taxa				S = 4	Standard error of alpha
					Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa
					Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa
Site: LEV92 Context: 680 Sample: 682/	T1 - s	pecies	list i	n rank	Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals
order					Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individual
					Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor
Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes	Percentage of 'certain' and probable outd
					Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor
Hydroporinae sp.	1	25	1	oa w	Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor
Platystethus nitens (Sahlberg)	1	25	1	oa d	Index of diversity of outdoor component
Byrrhidae sp.	1	25	1	oa p	Standard error
Curculionidae sp.	1	25	1	oa	Number of aquatic taxa
					Percentage of aquatic taxa
					Number of aquatic individuals
Site: LEV92; Context: 696; Sample: 697	7/TA				Percentage of aquatic individuals
					Number of damp ground/waterside taxa
NO RECORDS OF REETLES OR BUGS					Percentage of damp ground/waterside tax

NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS

Number of taxa $S = 79$	
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha = 149
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha $= 33$
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA = 44
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA = 56
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA = 55
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA = 53
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB = 49
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB = 62
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB = $65$
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB = 63
Index of diversity of outdoor component	alpha OB = 90
Standard error	SE alpha OB = 25
Number of aquatic taxa	SW = 7
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW = 9
Number of aquatic individuals	NW = 11
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW = 11
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD = 10
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD = 13
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND = 14
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND = 13
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP = 22
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	% SP = 28
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP = 28
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP = 27
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM = 0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM = 0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM = 0
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL = 0
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL = 0
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL = 0
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT = 16
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT = 20
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT = 28
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT = 27
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD = 1
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD = 1
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD = 1
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD = 1
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = 5
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF = 6
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF = 12
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF = 12

Index of diversity of decomposer compone	y of decomposer component alpha $RT = 16$			Hydrobius fuscipes (Linnaeus)	1	1	18	oa w	
Standard error			SE alı	oha RT = 5	Ochthebius sp.	1	1	18	oa w
Number of individuals of grain pests				NG = 0	Nargus velox (Spence)	1	1	18	u
Percentage of individuals of grain pests				%NG = 0	Silpha atrata Linnaeus	1	1	18	u
Number of individuals of grain pests				NG = 0	Anthobium sp.	1	1	18	oa
Number of uncoded taxa				SU = 17	Lesteva longoelytrata (Goeze)	1	1	18	oa d
Percentage of uncoded individuals				PNU = 18	Lesteva sp.	1	1	18	oa d
					?Omalium sp.	1	1	18	rt
					Platystethus degener Mulsant & Rey	1	1	18	oa d
Site: LEV92 Context: 716 Sample: 725/7	^A - s	pecies	list i	n rank	Stenus sp. B	1	1	18	u
order		-			?Rugilus sp.	1	1	18	rt
					Philonthus sp. A	1	1	18	u
Taxon	n	%	R	Ecodes	Philonthus sp. B	1	1	18	u
					Staphylininae sp.	1	1	18	u
Aphodius sp. B	5	5	1	ob rf	Mycetoporus sp.	1	1	18	u
Tanysphyrus lemnae (Paykull)	4	4	2	oa w p	Tachyporus solutus Erichson	1	1	18	u
Trechus obtusus or quadristriatus	3	3	3	oa	Tachinus sp.	1	1	18	u
Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	3	3	3	rf	Falagria or Cordalia sp.	1	1	18	rt
Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	3	3	3	rt d	Aleocharinae sp. A	1	1	18	u
Anthocoris sp.	2	2	6	oa p	Aleocharinae sp. C	1	1	18	u
Helophorus sp.	2	2	6	oa w	Aleocharinae sp. D	1	1	18	u
Platystethus nitens (Sahlberg)	2	2	6	oa d	?Pselaphidae sp.	1	1	18	u
Platystethus nodifrons (Mannerheim)	2	2	6	oa d	Aphodius sp. A	1	1	18	ob rf
Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	2	2	6	rt	Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	1	1	18	oa p
Stenus sp. A	2	2	6	u	?Cyphon sp.	1	1	18	oa d
Gyrohypnus ?angustatus Stephens	2	2	6	rt	Athous sp.	1	1	18	oa p
Aleocharinae sp. B	2	2	6	u	Agriotes obscurus (Linnaeus)	1	1	18	oa p
Aphodius sp. C	2	2	6	ob rf	Cantharis sp.	1	1	18	ob
Oxyomus sylvestris (Scopoli)	2	2	6	rt	Atomaria sp.	1	1	18	rd
Brachypterus sp.	2	2	6	oa p	Orthoperus sp.	1	1	18	rt
Gastrophysa viridula (Degeer)	2	2	6	oa p	Corticaria sp.	1	1	18	rt
Drymus sp.	1	1	18	oa p	?Chrysolina sp.	1	1	18	oa p
Scolopostethus sp.	1	1	18	oa p	Phaedon sp.	1	1	18	oa p
Lygaeidae sp.	1	1	18	oa p	Prasocuris phellandrii (Linnaeus)	1	1	18	oa p d
Miridae sp. A	1	1	18	oa p	Halticinae sp.	1	1	18	oa p
Miridae sp. B	1	1	18	oa p	Ceutorhynchus sp.	1	1	18	oa p
Aphrodes flavostriatus (Donovan)	1	1	18	oa p d	Ceuthorhynchinae sp.	1	1	18	oa p
Auchenorhyncha sp. A	1	1	18	oa p u	Curculionidae sp.	1	1	18	oa p
Auchenorhyncha sp. A  Auchenorhyncha sp. B	1	1	18	oa p	Coleoptera sp.	1	1	18	u
Auchenorhyncha sp. C	1	1	18	oa p	сосорыта эр.	1	1	10	u
Pterostichus nigrita (Paykull)	1	1	18	oa d					
Pterostichus vernalis (Panzer)	1	1	18	oa u oa	Site: LEV92; Context: 722; Sample: 72.	2/Т			
Pterostichus sp.	1	1	18	ob	Site. LE v 92, Context. 722, Sample. 72.	)/ <b>1</b>			
Calathus ?ambiguus (Paykull)	1	1	18	oa	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS				
Calathus fuscipes (Goeze)	1	1	18	oa	NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BOOS				
Calathus ?melanocephalus (Linnaeus)	1	1	18						
•				oa					
Harpalus sp.	1	1	18	oa oa w					
?Hygrotus sp.		1	18	oa w					
Hydroporius sp.	1	1	18	oa w					
Hydroporinae sp.	1	1	18	oa w					
Helophorus ?nubilus Fabricius	1	1	18	oa					
Cercyon has marked delic (Fabriciya)	1	1	18	rt					
Cercyon haemorrhoidalis (Fabricius)	1	1	18	rf					
Cercyon sp.	1	1	18	u					

Table A5. List of samples and contexts examined from excavations on the Leven-Brandesburton by-pass, in order of phase and archaeological period.

Phase	Period	Context	Context type	Sa mp le
1	Neo	52	*Fill from pit 53	61
1	Neo	778	*Fill from pit 777	779
1	B/A	173	*Fill from Bronze Age pit 172	242
1	B/A	173	*Fill from Bronze Age pit 172	243
1	I/A	11	Upper fill from pit 10	12
1	I/A	11	Upper fill from pit 10	15
1	I/A	13	*Lower fill from pit 10	14
1	I/A	68	Burnt fill from gulley 67	88
1	I/A	90	Lower fill from ditch 48	143
2	EC2	70	*Fill from pit 69	71
2	EC2	74	*Lower ashy fill from pit 72	78
2/3/4	R/B	405	Fill from ditch 404	498
3	?C3	202	Upper fill from ditch 201	270
3	?C3	202	Upper fill from ditch 201	271
3	?C3	290	Lower fill from ditch 201	288
3	?C3	351	*Fill from sump 350	352
3	?C3	716	Lower fill from ditch 715	725
3/4	C3-4	370	Fill from ditch 369	391
4	LC4	258	Fill from ditch 257	374
4	LC4	258	Fill from ditch 257	375
4	LC4	358	*Charcoal fill from pit 357	367
4	LC4	401	Fill from gully 400	727
6	LC4	337	Fill from depression 373	382
6	LC4	337	Fill from depression 373	383
6	LC4	337	Fill from depression 373	673
6	LC4	493	Burnt fill of pit 492	497
6	LC4	541	Charcoal fill of pit 540	546

6	LC4	544	Fill from construction slot 545	566
6	LC4	567	Fill from ditch 568	569
6	LC4	696	Lower fill from pit 646	697
7	LC4	43	Fill from ditch 42	107
7	LC4	47	Fill from ditch 46	108
7	LC4	97	Fill from ditch 96	112
7	LC4	317	Fill from ditch 316	318
7	LC4	317	Fill from ditch 316	319
7	LC4	336	Fill from ditch 335	430
7	LC4	336	Fill from ditch 335	442
7	LC4	345	Fill from boundary ditch 344	638
7	LC4	436	Lower fill from pit 435	782
7	LC4	455	Burnt clay fill of pit 435	491
7	LC4	458	Layer 458	459
7	LC4	639	Charcoal fill from pit 640	645
7	LC4	712	Fill from pit 711	726
7	LC4	722	Charcoal fill from pit 721	723
?	R/B	680	Layer/fill over timbers 681	682